Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Community Flood Preparedness Fund Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

Project Scoring Only				
Applicant Name:	CFPF-22-03-42 CHESAPEAKE, CITY OF	=		
	Scoring Information			
Criterion		Point Value	Points Awarded	
6. Eligible Projects (Sele	ct all that apply)			
•	nents of both 1.a. and 1.b. below; however, only one category rethe primary project in the application.	may be ch	osen. The	
· · · ·	erty consistent with an overall comprehensive local or es of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures.	50		
 Wetland restoration, floodplain restoration Living shorelines and vegetated buffers. Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by <i>ConserveVirginia</i> Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool Dam removal Stream bank restoration or stabilization. Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function. Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events. 		45		
1.b. any other nature-based approach		40		
All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution		35		
All other projects		25	25	
7. ADAPT VA's Social Vu	Inerability Index Score0.35522927970371465			
Very High Social Vulneral	Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5)			
High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5)		12		
Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0)		8		
Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0)		0	0	

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Community Flood Preparedness Fund Application Review Sheet –Round 3 Grants: Closed 4/8/2022

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0)				
8. Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community's probat the NFIP?	on or suspe	nsion from		
Yes	10			
No	0	0		
9. Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined in this manual?				
Yes	10			
No	0	0		
10. Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan?				
Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake	nt of Enviro	nmental		
Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake	nt of Enviro	nmental		
Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Watershed Implementation Plan?	nt of Enviro Bay TMDL Pl	nmental		
Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Watershed Implementation Plan? Yes	nt of Enviro Bay TMDL Pl	nmental hase III		
Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Watershed Implementation Plan? Yes No	nt of Enviro Bay TMDL Pl	nmental hase III		
Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Watershed Implementation Plan? Yes No 11. Does this project provide "community scale" benefits?	nt of Enviro Bay TMDL Pl	nmental hase III		