1936 - MPPDC_DCR1_Multi_CFPF

Review Details

Funding Opportunity: 1446-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Capacity Building/Planning Grants - CY23 Round 4

Program Area: Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund

Application Status: Under Review

Stage:

Organization: Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission

Applicant: Jackie Rickards

Internal Status:

Round:

Reviewer: Sidney Huffman

Type: Internal

Role: Primary

Review Status: Submitted

Submitted Date: Dec 5, 2023 9:08 AM

Score: 0.00

Capacity Building & Planning Scoring Sheet - Round 4

Eligibility and Scoring

Eligibility

Is the applicant a local government (including counties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created by the General Assembly or pursuant to the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Local Government*: Yes

Does the local government have an approved resilience plan and has provided a copy or link to the plan with this application?

Yes = Eligible for consideration under all categories

No = Eligible for consideration for studies, capacity building, and planning only

Resilience Plan*: Yes

If the applicant is not a town, city, or county, are letters of support from all affected local governments included in this application?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Letters of Support*: No

Has this or any portion of this project been included in any application or program previously funded by the Department?

Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration

Previously Funded*: No

Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to provide the required matching funds?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Evidence of Matching Funds*: No

Is the project eligible for consideration?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Project Eligible for Consideration*: No

Eligibility Comments:

Eligibility Comments

- 1. The application does not meet eligibility requirements because no letter of support from King and Queen County is included. This County is one of the affected local governments.
- 2. The budget narrative states: ?MPPDC is offering an additional \$40,284 of value in MPPDC living shoreline loan funds utilized for constructing living shorelines within the Middle Peninsula region as leveraged match against this total request.? Neither the pledge letter nor the authorization letter states the amount of funds authorized, and the total amount of funds available in the living shoreline loan fund is not documented in either letter. The living shoreline loan fund is also being used as the match source for Grant 1983.
- 3. The applicant, MPPDC, is not a low-income community, and the project includes the entire PDC area. Median of the median incomes for the MPPDC member counties is \$68,506/\$80,615 (VA median) = 85%. Evidence of match should be for 25% (All Other Areas) based on the whole PDC.

General Application Comments

- 1. The Budget Narrative table includes "Indirect Costs" of \$67,497. The grant manual excludes indirect costs from funding. See Special Conditions for requirement to submit revised budget excluding these costs.
- 2. Budget Narrative includes work to be completed by a third party, but only shows line item value for this work; no detailed estimate is included. See Special Conditions for requirement to submit detailed estimates.
- 3. Mathews and Middlesex letters are undated. Mathews letter notes "Round 4".

Eligible Capacity Building and Planning Activities (Select all that apply)? Maximum 100 points.

Development of a new resilience plan - 95 points

Revisions to existing resilience plans and modifications to existing comprehensive and hazard mitigation plans - 60 points

Resource assessments, planning, strategies and development - 40 points

Policy management and/or development - 35 points

Stakeholder engagement and strategies - 35 points

Goal planning, implementation and evaluation - 25 points

Long term maintenance strategy - 25 points

Other proposals that will significantly improve protection from flooding on a statewide or regional basis approved by the Department - 15 points

Capacity Building and Planning*: 100.00

Is the project area socially vulnerable? (based on ADAPT Virginia?s Social Vulnerability Index Score)

Social Vulnerability Scoring:

Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) - 10 Points

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) - 8 Points

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) - 5 Points

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) - 0 Points

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) - 0 Points

Socially Vulnerable*:

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0)

Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community?s probation or suspension from the NFIP?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

NFIP*:

No

Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined below?

"Low-income geographic area" means any locality, or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local median household income, or any area in the Commonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.

(If Yes - 5 points | If no - 0 points)

Low-Income Geographic Area*:

No

Does this project provide ?community scale? benefits?

More than one census block - 30 points

50-100% of census block - 25 points

25-49% of census block - 20 points

Less than 25% of census block - 0 points

Community Scale Benefits*:

More than one census block

Scoring Comments:

- 1. Low income status: Based on 2021 dollars, the median of median incomes for the applicant, MPPDC, is \$68,506, which is 85% (\$68,506/\$80,615) of the VA median, and therefore not low income. The application uses 2020 dollars and an alternate method to determine low income status.
- 2. Moderate SVI score of 0.235, determined as follows from county scores:

SVI average for MPPDC = 1.3 (Essex) + -0.03 (King William) + 0.64 (King and Queen) + 0.47 (Middlesex) + -0.37 (Gloucester) + -0.6 (Matthews)

- = 1.41/6 communities
- = 0.235 average SVI for MPPDC; median is .22

Scoring summary:

Category, [Resilience Plan update, 60 pts + : Scope of work narrative includes Resource assessments, planning, strategies and development, 35 pts + Stakeholder engagement and strategies, 35], 100 pts + SVI, 5 pts + NFIP, 0 pts + LIGA, 0 pts + CSB, 30 pts = 135 pts

Project Total Score*:

135

Special Conditions:

- 1. Fund request must be recalculated based on revised match of 25% for "All other areas". Evidence of ability to provide match funding must be based on this revised match amount.
- 2. Indirect costs are not eligible for grant funding (see 2023 Funding Manual, p. 9, Eligible Costs, and p. 31, Budget Narrative); this amount should be removed from grant total and match requirement.

3. Approval contingent on submittal of detailed, itemized third party expenses prior to disbursement of funds.	