# 1937 - CID510071\_Gloucester County\_CFPF2

## **Review Details**

Funding Opportunity:	1447-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Project Grants - CY23 Round 4
Program Area:	Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund
Application Status:	Under Review
Stage:	
Organization:	Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission
Applicant:	Jackie Rickards
Internal Status:	
Round:	1
Reviewer:	Sidney Huffman
Туре:	Internal
Role:	Primary
Review Status:	Submitted
Submitted Date:	Dec 5, 2023 9:45 AM
Score:	75.00

## Projects Scoring - Round 4

## Eligibility and Scoring

## Eligibility

Is the applicant a local government (including counties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created by the General Assembly or pursuant to the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)? Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration		
Local Government*:	Yes	
Does the local government have an approved resilience plan and has provided a copy or link to the plan with this application? Yes = Eligible for consideration under all categories No = Eligible for consideration for studies, capacity building, and planning only		
Resilience Plan*:	Yes	
<b>If the applicant is <u>not a town, city, or county</u>, are let Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration</b>	ters of support from all affected local governments included in this application?	
Letters of Support*:	Yes	
Has this or any portion of this project been included Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration	d in any application or program previously funded by the Department?	
Previously Funded*:	No	
Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration N/A = Match not required	provide the required matching funds?	
Required Matching Funds*:	No	
<b>Is the project eligible for consideration?</b> Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration		

#### Project Eligible for Consideration\*:

#### **Eligibility Comments:**

**Eligibility Comments** 

1. The application does not meet the eligibility criteria because the applicant has not provided evidence of an ability to provide the required matching funds. The Budget Narrative references Gloucester County's VA Port Authority

No

Waterway Maintenance Funding (VPA-WMF) award as the source of matching funds, but the letter from the municipality does not confirm the amount or source of funds.

**General Application Comments** 

1. Budget Narrative describes third party work by contractors, but does not include estimates. Budget Narrative does not include detailed project estimates, and does not include clearly show how the unit costs in the Budget Narrative and work described in the Scope of Work Narrative adds up to the total project cost.

2. "No adverse impact" narrative statement is included.

3. A detailed maintenance plan is not included. The application only includes general statements that debris removal, vegetation management, and erosion control monitoring will be performed. No specific schedules or standards are included. The plan notes that county staff will maintain the project, but does not state which staff or departments are charged with this task.

### Scoring - Eligible Projects

Applicants may select multiple categories. Total score cannot exceed 100

The category chosen must be the primary project in the application.

#### Category Scoring:

Acquisition of developed property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures and where the flood mitigation benefits will be achieved as a part of the same project as the property acquisition - 30 points

Wetland restoration - 25 points

Floodplain restoration - 25 points

Construction of swales and settling ponds - 25 points

Living shorelines and vegetated buffers - 25 points

Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by ConserveVirginia Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool - 25 points

Dam removal - 25 points

Stream bank restoration or stabilization - 25 points

Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function - 25 points

Any other nature-based approach - 20 points

All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution - 15 points

All other projects - 10 points

#### Please Note:

Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands is identified as having flood resilience value by ConserveVirginia Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar

data driven analytic tool

Developing flood warning and response systems, may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events

#### Project Category\*:

Is the project area socially vulnerable? (based on ADAPT Virginia?s Social Vulnerability Index Score)

30

Social Vulnerability Scoring:

Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) - 10 Points

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) - 8 Points

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) - 5 Points

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) - 0 Points

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) - 0 Points

#### Socially Vulnerable\*:

#### Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0)

Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community?s probation or suspension from the NHP?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

NFIP\*: No Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined below?

"Low-income geographic area" means any locality, or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local median household income, or any area in the Commonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.

(If Yes - 10 Points | If No - 0 Points)

#### Low-Income Geographic Area\*: No

Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan?

(If Yes - 5 Points   If No - 0 Points)
Reduction of Nutrient and SedimentYesPollution*:
Does this project provide ?community scale? benefits?
More than one census block - 30 points
50-100% of census block - 25 points
25-49% of census block - 20 points
Less than 25% of census block - 0 points
Community Scale Benefits*: 30
Expected Lifespan of Project

#### Lifespan Scoring:

10 -14 Years - 3 Point 15 - 20 Years - 5 Points Over 20 Years - 10 Points

#### Expected Lifespan of Project\*:

Over 20 Years

75

#### Scoring Comments:

#### Scoring summary:

Category [Living shorelines and vegetated buffers, 25 + Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function 25 pts], 30 pts + SVI, Low, 0 pts + NFIP, 0 pts + LIGA, 0 pts + RNSP, 5 pts + CSB, 30 pts + ELP, 10 pts = 75 pts

#### Total Score\*:

#### **Special Conditions:**

1. Submit detailed third party cost estimates. Submit basis for project construction costs, including quantities and unit costs used to arrive at project total cost.