1962 - ADAPT - Long Creek Blueway

Review Details

Funding Opportunity:	1447-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Project Grants - CY23 Round 4	
Program Area:	Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund	
Application Status:	Under Review	
Stage:		
Organization:	HAMPTON, CITY OF	
Applicant:	Jasmine Bryson	
Internal Status:		
Round:	1	
Reviewer:	Sidney Huffman	
Туре:	Internal	
Role:	Primary	
Review Status:	Submitted	
Submitted Date:	Dec 4, 2023 4:46 PM	
Score:	75.00	

Projects Scoring - Round 4

Eligibility and Scoring

Eligibility

	nties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created tution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)?
Local Government*:	Yes
Does the local government have an approved resil Yes = Eligible for consideration under all categories No = Eligible for consideration for studies, capacity b	
Resilience Plan*:	Yes
If the applicant is <u>not a town, city, or county</u>, are left Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration	tters of support from all affected local governments included in this application?
Letters of Support*:	N/A
Has this or any portion of this project been included in any application or program previously funded by the Department? Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration	
Previously Funded*:	No
Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration N/A = Match not required	provide the required matching funds?
Required Matching Funds*:	Yes
Is the project eligible for consideration? Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration	

Project Eligible for Consideration*: Yes

Eligibility Comments:

Eligibility Comments:

Applicant has submitted a Budget narrative, but the cost estimate includes only four line items, with no supporting documentation for how these values were calculated. Applicant must provide more detail to support these values.

General Application Comments:

Maintenance plan is not included because the scope of work is design only. Applicant states that maintenance plan will be developed later in the design phase (90% stage).

Scoring - Eligible Projects

Applicants may select multiple categories. Total score cannot exceed 100

The category chosen must be the primary project in the application.

Category Scoring:

Acquisition of developed property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures and where the flood mitigation benefits will be achieved as a part of the same project as the property acquisition - 30 points

Wetland restoration - 25 points

Floodplain restoration - 25 points

Construction of swales and settling ponds - 25 points

Living shorelines and vegetated buffers - 25 points

Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by ConserveVirginia Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool - 25 points

Dam removal - 25 points

Stream bank restoration or stabilization - 25 points

Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function - 25 points

Any other nature-based approach - 20 points

All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution - 15 points

All other projects - 10 points

Please Note:

Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands is identified as having flood resilience value by ConserveVirginia Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool

Developing flood warning and response systems, may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events

Project Category*: 30 Is the project area socially vulnerable? (based on ADAPT Virginia?s Social Vulnerability Index Score)

Social Vulnerability Scoring:

Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) - 10 Points

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) - 8 Points

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) - 5 Points

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) - 0 Points

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) - 0 Points

Socially Vulnerable*:

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0)

Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community?s probation or suspension from the NHP?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

NFIP*: No

Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined below?

"Low-income geographic area" means any locality, or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local median household income, or any area in the Commonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.

(If Yes - 10 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Low-Income Geographic Area*: No

Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

()	
Reduction of Nutrient and Sediment Pollution*:	No
Does this project provide ?community scale	e? benefits?
More than one census block - 30 points	
50-100% of census block - 25 points	
25-49% of census block - 20 points	
Less than 25% of census block - 0 points	
Community Scale Benefits*:	30
Expected Lifespan of Project	
Lifespan Scoring:	
10 -14 Years - 3 Point 15 - 20 Years - 5 Points	
Over 20 Years - 10 Points	
Even stad Life man of Drais att.	

Expected Lifespan of Project*:

Over 20 Years

Scoring Comments:

1. Applicant self-scores 5 pts for "Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community?s probation or suspension from the NFIP?"/Yes, however, CIS indicates program is in good standing, with no probation or suspension. Points not awarded.

2. Applicant answered "Less than 25% of census block" for Community Scale Benefits in the "Scoring" section of WebGrants application, but "More than one census tract" in the "Criteria" section. The SVI analysis, project maps, and Scope of Work Narrative show that more than one census block is affected, so 30 pts are awarded for this item.

3. Scoring summary: Category, [All other projects, 10 points + Construction of swales and settling ponds, 25 points], 30 pts + SVI, Moderate, 5 pts + NFIP, 0 pts + Low Inc, 0 pts + RNSP, 0 pts + CSB, 30 pts + ELP, 10 pts = 75 pts

Total Score*: 75

Special Conditions:

1. Applications states: "Conceptual designs and feasibility modeling conducted as part of the water plan project will demonstrate that the proposed projects minimize flood vulnerabilities and does not create flooding or increased flooding to other properties." Applicant must submit completed "no adverse impact" analysis to DCR.