1972 - CID510173_CityofWinchester_CFPF-2

Review Details

Funding Opportunity: 1448-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Study Grants - CY23 Round 4

Program Area: Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund

Application Status: Under Review

Stage:

Organization: Kimley-Horn

Applicant: Jonathan D'Alessandro

Internal Status:

Round:

Reviewer: Tasheem Crosby

Type: Internal

Role: Primary

Review Status: Submitted

Submitted Date: Dec 1, 2023 4:23 PM

Score: 118.00

Studies Grant Scoring - Round 4

Eligibility and Scoring

Eligibility

Is the applicant a local government (including counties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created by the General Assembly or pursuant to the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Local Government*: Yes

Does the local government have an approved resilience plan and has provided a copy or link to the plan with this application?

Yes = Eligible for consideration under all categories

No = Eligible for consideration for studies, capacity building, and planning only

Resilience Plan*: Yes

If the applicant is not a town, city, or county, are letters of support from all affected local governments included in this application?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Letters of Support*: N/A

Has this or any portion of this project been included in any application or program previously funded by the Department?

Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration

Previously Funded*: No

Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to provide the required matching funds?

Yes = Eligible for consideration

No = Not eligible for consideration

N/A = Match not required

Required Matching Funds*:

No

Project Eligible for Consideration*:

No

Eligibility Comments:

The Authorization to Request Funds letter does not contain the amount or the source of match funding. The match funds are also not referenced in the budget narrative.

Scoring - Eligible Studies (Select all that apply)

Revising floodplain ordinances to maintain compliance with the NFIP or to incorporate higher standards that may reduce the risk of flood damage. This must include establishing processes for implementing the ordinance, including but not limited to, permitting, record retention, violations, and variances. This may include revising a floodplain ordinance when the community is getting new Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), updating a floodplain ordinance to include floodplain setbacks or freeboard, or correcting issues identified in a Corrective Action Plan.

(If Yes - 30 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Revising Floodplain Ordinances*:

No

Creating tools or applications to identify, aggregate, or display information on flood risk or creating a crowd-sourced mapping platform that gathers data points about real-time flooding. This could include a locally or regionally based web-based mapping product that allows local residents to better understand their flood risk.

(If Yes - 25 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Mapping Platform*:

No

Conducting hydrologic and hydraulic studies of floodplains. Applicants who create new maps must apply for a Letter of Map Revision or a Physical Map Revision through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

(If Yes - 15 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies*:

Yes

Studies and Data Collection of Statewide and Regional Significance. Funding of studies of statewide and regional significance and proposals will be considered for the following types of studies:

Updating precipitation data and IDF information (rain intensity, duration, frequency estimates) including such data at a sub-state or regional scale on a periodic basis.

(If Yes - 45 | If No - 0)

Updating Precipitation Data and IDF

Nο

Information*:

Regional relative sea level rise projections for use in determining future impacts.

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Projections*:

No

Vulnerability analysis either statewide or regionally to state transportation, water supply, water treatment, impounding structures, or other significant and vital infrastructure from flooding.

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Vulnerability Analysis*:

No

Hash flood studies and modeling in riverine regions of the state.

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Flash Flood Studies*:

Yes

Statewide or regional stream gauge monitoring to include expansion of existing gauge networks.

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Stream Gauge Monitoring*:

Nο

New or updated delineations of areas of recurrent flooding, stormwater flooding, and storm surge vulnerability in coastal areas that include projections for future conditions based on sea level rise, more intense rainfall events, or other relevant flood risk factors.

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Delineations of Areas of Recurrent

No

Flooding*:

Regional flood studies in riverine communities that may include watershed-scale evaluation, updated estimates of rainfall intensity, or other information.

(If Yes - 50 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Regional Flood Studies*:

No

Regional Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies of Hoodplains

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Regional Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies No of Floodplains*:

Studies of potential land use strategies that could be implemented by a local government to reduce or mitigate damage from coastal or riverine flooding.

(If Yes - 40 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Potential Land Use Strategies*:

Yes

Other proposals that will significantly improve protection from flooding on a statewide or regional basis.

(If Yes - 35 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Other Proposals*:

No

Is the project area socially vulnerable? (based on ADAPT Virginia?s Social Vulnerability Index Score)

Social Vulnerability Scoring:

Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) - 10 Points

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) - 8 Points

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) - 5 Points

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) - 0 Points

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) - 0 Points

Socially Vulnerable

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5)

Scoring*:

Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community?s probation or suspension from the NFIP?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

NFIP*:

No

Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined below?

"Low-income geographic area" means any locality, or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local median household income, or any area in the Commonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.

(If Yes - 10 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Low-Income Geographic Area*:

Yes

Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs.

Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Reduction of Nutrient and Sediment

No

Pollution*:

Scoring Comments:

Project Total Score*:

118

Special Conditions:

Match funding needs to be referenced in the Authorization to Request Funding letter and also in the budget narrative.