1859 - CID510130_RoanokeCity_CFPF-1

Review Details

Funding Opportunity:	1447-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Project Grants - CY23 Round 4
Program Area:	Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund
Application Status:	Under Review
Stage:	
Organization:	ROANOKE CITY
Applicant:	Marcus Aguilar
Internal Status:	
Round:	1
Reviewer:	Karyn Reid
Туре:	Internal
Role:	Primary
Review Status:	Submitted
Submitted Date:	Dec 1, 2023 11:24 AM
Score:	58.00

Projects Scoring - Round 4

Eligibility and Scoring

Eligibility

Is the applicant a local government (including counties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created by the General Assembly or pursuant to the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)? Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration		
Local Government*:	Yes	
Does the local government have an approved resilience plan and has provided a copy or link to the plan with this application? Yes = Eligible for consideration under all categories No = Eligible for consideration for studies, capacity building, and planning only		
5		
Resilience Plan*:	Yes	
If the applicant is <u>not a town, city, or county</u> , are let Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration	ters of support from all affected local governments included in this application?	
Letters of Support*:	N/A	
Has this or any portion of this project been included in any application or program previously funded by the Department? Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration		
Previously Funded*:	No	
Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to provide the required matching funds? Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration N/A = Match not required		
Required Matching Funds*:	No	
Is the project eligible for consideration? Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration		

Project Eligible for Consideration*: No

Eligibility Comments:

The amounts in the WebGrants Budget Summary do not account for the "Pre-award and Startup" costs. Within the Budget Narrative "The City requests reimbursement for these pre-award design services, as the deliverables from these contracts constitute the necessary construction documents for the proposed work." The total pre-award cost (\$75,200) is ~8% of the total requested amount (\$946,625.68), which is permitted by CFPF Grant Manual, page 9. The City is requesting a reimbursement of \$71,440 (95% of the total pre-award costs).

The Budget Narrative states "the total additional commitment needed from the City would be \$40,200.00 which would be appropriated out of the City?s FY2025 general obligation bond issuance on July 1, 2024. In FY2025, it is anticipated that the Stormwater Division?s component of the bond issuance will be \$3M, of which this project would only require a small portion. This project is identified in the Stormwater Division?s capital improvement program, further demonstrating the City?s commitment to funding this project." No evidence is provided to support the claim that "the Stormwater Division?s component of the bond issuance will be \$3M" nor that "This project is identified in the Stormwater Division?s capital improvement program."

Scoring - Eligible Projects

Applicants may select multiple categories. Total score cannot exceed 100

The category chosen must be the primary project in the application.

Category Scoring:

Acquisition of developed property consistent with an overall comprehensive local or regional plan for purposes of allowing inundation, retreat, or acquisition of structures and where the flood mitigation benefits will be achieved as a part of the same project as the property acquisition - 30 points

Wetland restoration - 25 points

Floodplain restoration - 25 points

Construction of swales and settling ponds - 25 points

Living shorelines and vegetated buffers - 25 points

Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by Conserve Virginia Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool - 25 points

Dam removal - 25 points

Stream bank restoration or stabilization - 25 points

Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function - 25 points

Any other nature-based approach - 20 points

All hybrid approaches whose end result is a nature-based solution - 15 points

All other projects - 10 points

Please Note:

Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands is identified as having flood resilience value by ConserveVirginia Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool

Developing flood warning and response systems, may include gauge installation, to notify residents of potential emergency flooding events

Project Category*:

30

Is the project area socially vulnerable? (based on ADAPT Virginia?s Social Vulnerability Index Score)

Social Vulnerability Scoring:

Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) - 10 Points

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) - 8 Points

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) - 5 Points

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) - 0 Points

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) - 0 Points

Socially Vulnerable*: High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5)

Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community?s probation or suspension from the NFIP?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

NFIP*: No

Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined below?

"Low-income geographic area" means any locality, or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local median household income, or any area in the Commonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.

(If Yes - 10 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Low-Income Geographic Area*: Yes

Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan?

Expected Lifespan of Project*:

Over 20 Years

Scoring Comments:

The City of Roanoke meets the definition of a low-income geographic area with a local median household income of \$48,476 which is 60% of the state median household income (\$80,615).

The City of Roanoke is not covered as part of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan, according to the WIP III DEQ Active Map (https://vadeq.maps.arcgis.com/apps/Cascade/index.html?appid=3de3a0cab04648eeb1eb6c1739174dbe).

This application receives a total of 58 points with 30 points for the project category, 8 points for a high social vulnerability, 10, points for being a low-income geographic area, and 10 points for expected lifespan.

Total Score*:

58

Special Conditions:

Proof justifying why "it is anticipated that the Stormwater Division?s component of the bond issuance will be \$3M" or other evidence of an ability to provide the required matching funds must be submitted before awarding.