1876 - CPDC_DCR1_Multi_CFPF

Review Details

Funding Opportunity: 1446-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Capacity Building/Planning Grants - CY23 Round 4

Program Area: Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund

Application Status: Under Review

Stage:

Organization: Crater Planning District Commission

Applicant: Kit Friedman

Internal Status:

Round:

Reviewer:Karyn ReidType:InternalRole:PrimaryReview Status:Submitted

Submitted Date: Nov 30, 2023 12:53 PM

Score: 0.00

Capacity Building & Planning Scoring Sheet - Round 4

Eligibility and Scoring

Eligibility

Is the applicant a local government (including counties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created by the General Assembly or pursuant to the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Local Government*: Yes

Does the local government have an approved resilience plan and has provided a copy or link to the plan with this application?

Yes = Eligible for consideration under all categories

No = Eligible for consideration for studies, capacity building, and planning only

Resilience Plan*:

If the applicant is not a town, city, or county, are letters of support from all affected local governments included in this application?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Letters of Support*: Yes

Has this or any portion of this project been included in any application or program previously funded by the Department?

Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration

Previously Funded*: No

Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to provide the required matching funds?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Evidence of Matching Funds*: No

Is the project eligible for consideration?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Project Eligible for Consideration*: No

Eligibility Comments:

A Letter of Support for this application was signed by the Prince George County Planning Director, unlike the other letters that were signed by the County Administrators/City Managers. The Prince George County Planning Director does not also serve as the County Administrator according to their website.

"Indirect as Direct Supporting Costs" is the only source for the matching funds provided. I believe this is an attempt to use existing salaries as a match as allowed by CFPF Grant Manual, pg. 9, but it is not clear this the intention. The budget narrative also includes "new tasks for existing staff" instead of "create new organizational roles".

No detailed cost estimate is provided.

No match waiver is being requested.

Eligible Capacity Building and Planning Activities (Select all that apply) ? Maximum 100 points.

Development of a new resilience plan - 95 points

Revisions to existing resilience plans and modifications to existing comprehensive and hazard mitigation plans - 60 points

Resource assessments, planning, strategies and development - 40 points

Policy management and/or development - 35 points

Stakeholder engagement and strategies - 35 points

Goal planning, implementation and evaluation - 25 points

Long term maintenance strategy - 25 points

Other proposals that will significantly improve protection from flooding on a statewide or regional basis approved by the Department - 15 points

Capacity Building and Planning*:

100.00

Is the project area socially vulnerable? (based on ADAPT Virginia?s Social Vulnerability Index Score)

Social Vulnerability Scoring:

Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) - 10 Points

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) - 8 Points

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) - 5 Points

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) - 0 Points

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) - 0 Points

Socially Vulnerable*:

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5)

Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community?s probation or suspension from the NFP?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

NFIP*:

No

Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined below?

"Low-income geographic area" means any locality, or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local median household income, or any area in the Commonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.

(If Yes - 5 points | If no - 0 points)

Low-Income Geographic Area*:

Yes

Does this project provide ?community scale? benefits?

More than one census block - 30 points

50-100% of census block - 25 points

25-49% of census block - 20 points

Less than 25% of census block - 0 points

Community Scale Benefits*:

More than one census block

Scoring Comments:

No information/documentation was provided to support the claimed very high social vulnerability index. I calculated an average social vulnerability index of 1.04 ((1.67 (Petersburg) + 0.58 (Colonial Heights) + 1.33 (Charles City County) + 0.56 (Dinwiddie County) + 1.62 (Hopewell) + (-0.10) (Chesterfield County) + 1.67 (Emporia) + 1.19 (Surry County) + 1.29 (Sussex County) + 1.34 (Greensville County)) / 11 communities = 1.04), which due to rounding, would be categorized as high social vulnerability.

Crater PDC meets the definition of a low-income geographic area. The average household income for CPDC is \$59,780 ((\$44,890 (Petersburg) + \$65,570 (Colonial Heights) + \$59,543 (Charles City County) + \$68,918 (Dinwiddie County) + \$78,895 (Prince George County) + \$44,209 (Hopewell) + \$88,315 (Chesterfield County) + \$36,111 (Emporia) + \$61,105 (Surry County) + \$56,968 (Sussex County) + \$53,063 (Greensville County)) / 11 communities = \$59,780) which is 74% of the state median household income (\$80,615).

This application receives a total of 143 points with 100 points for Capacity Building, 8 points for high social vulnerability, 5 points for being a low-income geographic area and 30 points for community scale benefits.

Project Total Score*:

143

Special Conditions:

A detailed cost estimate must be submitted before awarding.