1888 - CID510090_LoudounCounty_CFPF_Bull Run Watershed Management Plan

Review Details

Funding Opportunity:	1448-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Study Grants - CY23 Round 4
Program Area:	Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund
Application Status:	Under Review
Stage:	
Organization:	Loudoun County
Applicant:	Barb Lawrence
Internal Status:	
Round:	1
Reviewer:	Tasheem Crosby
Туре:	Internal
Role:	Primary
Review Status:	Submitted
Submitted Date:	Dec 1, 2023 9:30 AM
Score:	200.00

Studies Grant Scoring - Round 4

Eligibility and Scoring

Eligibility

Is the applicant a local government (including counties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created by the General Assembly or pursuant to the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)?

Yes = Eligible for consideration		
No = Not eligible for consideration		
Local Government*:	Yes	
Does the local government have an approved resilience plan and has provided a copy or link to the plan with this application?		
Yes = Eligible for consideration under all cate	jories	
No = Eligible for consideration for studies, cap	acity building, and planning only	
Resilience Plan*:	Yes	
If the applicant is not a town, city, or county,	are letters of support from all affecte	ed local governments included in this application?
		- **
Yes = Eligible for consideration		
No = Not eligible for consideration		
Letters of Support*:	N/A	
Has this or any portion of this project been in	cluded in any application or program	n previously funded by the Department?
The the stary porton of the project been		Providency function by the population to
Yes = Not eligible for consideration		
No = Eligible for consideration		
0	No	
Previously Funded*:		
Has the applicant provided evidence of an a	ility to provide the required matching	g funds?
Yes = Eligible for consideration		
No = Not eligible for consideration		

N/A = Match not required

Required Matching Funds*:	Yes
Project Eligible for Consideration*:	No

Eligibility Comments:

They attached a signed Grant Application Summary Form. There is no official Authorization to Request Funding letter from the governing body, and there is also no letter or section confirming the amount or source of the match funds, but the amount and source is included in the budget narrative.

The requested fund and match fund amounts on the Grant Application Summary Form do not reflect the amounts that were included on the application as well.

Scoring - Eligible Studies (Select all that apply)

Revising floodplain ordinances to maintain compliance with the NFP or to incorporate higher standards that may reduce the risk of flood damage. This must include establishing processes for implementing the ordinance, including but not limited to, permitting, record retention, violations, and variances. This may include revising a floodplain ordinance when the community is getting new Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), updating a floodplain ordinance to include floodplain setbacks or freeboard, or correcting issues identified in a Corrective Action Plan.

(If Yes - 30 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Revising Floodplain Ordinances*: No

Creating tools or applications to identify, aggregate, or display information on flood risk or creating a crowd-sourced mapping platform that gathers data points about real-time flooding. This could include a locally or regionally based web-based mapping product that allows local residents to better understand their flood risk.

(If Yes - 25 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Mapping Platform*:

Conducting hydrologic and hydraulic studies of floodplains. Applicants who create new maps must apply for a Letter of Map Revision or a Physical Map Revision through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

(If Yes - 15 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies*:

Studies and Data Collection of Statewide and Regional Significance. Funding of studies of statewide and regional significance and proposals will be considered for the following types of studies:

Updating precipitation data and IDF information (rain intensity, duration, frequency estimates) including such data at a sub-state or regional scale on a periodic basis.

(If Yes - 45 | If No - 0)

Updating Precipitation Data and IDF No Information*:

Regional relative sea level rise projections for use in determining future impacts.

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Projections*:

Vulnerability analysis either statewide or regionally to state transportation, water supply, water treatment, impounding structures, or other significant and vital infrastructure from flooding.

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Vulnerability Analysis*:

Yes

No

No

Yes

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Flash Flood Studies*:

Statewide or regional stream gauge monitoring to include expansion of existing gauge networks.

Yes

No

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Stream Gauge Monitoring*:

New or updated delineations of areas of recurrent flooding, stormwater flooding, and storm surge vulnerability in coastal areas that include projections for future conditions based on sea level rise, more intense rainfall events, or other relevant flood risk factors.

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Delineations of Areas of Recurrent Yes Flooding*:

Regional flood studies in riverine communities that may include watershed-scale evaluation, updated estimates of rainfall intensity, or other information.

(If Yes - 50 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Regional Flood Studies*: Yes Regional Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies of Floodplains

(If Yes - 45 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Regional Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies Yes of Floodplains*:

Studies of potential land use strategies that could be implemented by a local government to reduce or mitigate damage from coastal or riverine flooding.

(If Yes - 40 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Potential Land Use Strategies*: Yes
Other proposals that will significantly improve protection from flooding on a statewide or regional basis.

(If Yes - 35 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Other Proposals*:

Is the project area socially vulnerable? (based on ADAPT Virginia?s Social Vulnerability Index Score)

Yes

Social Vulnerability Scoring:

Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) - 10 Points

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) - 8 Points

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) - 5 Points

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) - 0 Points

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) - 0 Points

Socially Vulnerable

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0)

Scoring*:

Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community?s probation or suspension from the NFIP?

(If Yes - 5 Points If No - 0 Points)	
NFIP*:	No
Is the proposed project in a low-income geograph	ic area as defined below?
median household income, or any area in the Com	or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local nonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of f any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.
(If Yes - 10 Points If No - 0 Points)	
Low-Income Geographic Area*:	No
Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutri local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs.	ent and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving
	n of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency mental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III
(If Yes - 5 Points If No - 0 Points)	
Reduction of Nutrient and Sediment Pollution*:	Yes
Scoring Comments:	
Project Total Score*:	200
Special Conditions:	
They may need an Authorization to Request	Funding letter from the governing body to include the amount and source of match funds.

They may also need to correct the information on the Grant Application Summary Form so that the grant fund and match info reflect the same amounts on the application.