1965 - Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Development (CID510173_CityofWinchester-1)

Application Details

Funding Opportunity:	1448-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Study Grants - CY23 Round 4		
Funding Opportunity Due Date:	Nov 12, 2023 11:59 PM		
Program Area:	Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund		
Status:	Under Review		
Stage:	Final Application		
Initial Submit Date:	Nov 9, 2023 3:45 PM		
Initially Submitted By:	Jared Hodes		
Last Submit Date:			

Contact Information

Last Submitted By:

Primary Contact Information

Active User*:	Yes			
Туре:	External User			
Name*:	Mr. Salutation	Jared First Name	Lee Middle Name	Hodes Last Name
Title:	Engineer			
Email*:	jared.hode	s@kimley-h	om.com	
Address*:	11400 Cor	nmerce Parl	k Dr Suite 400	

	Reston City	Virginia State/Province	20191 Postal Code/Zip
Phone*:	571-453	-7587 Ext.	
	Phone		
	###-###	-#####	
Fax:	####-#####	-#####	
Comments:			

Organization Information

Status*:	Approved
Name*:	Kimley-Hom
Organization Type*:	
Tax ID*:	56-0885615

Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)*:	V8PKGG6NLKV6
Organization Website:	
Address*:	421 Fayetteville Street Suite 600
	Raleigh North Carolina 27601-
	City State/Province Postal Code/Zip
Phone*:	919-677-2000 Ext. ############
Fax:	#########
Benefactor:	
Vendor ID:	
Comments:	

VCFPF Applicant Information

Project Description	
Name of Local Government*:	City of Winchester
Your locality's CID number can be found at the follow	ving link: Community Status Book Report
NFIP/DCR Community Identification Number (CID)*:	510173
If a state or federally recognized Indian tribe,	
Name of Tribe:	
Authorized Individual*:	Dan Hoffman First Name Last Name
Mailing Address*:	Rouss City Hall Address Line 1
	15 North Cameron Street Address Line 2
	WinchesterVirginia22601CityStateZip Code
Telephone Number*:	540-772-3409
Cell Phone Number*:	540-772-3409
Email*:	dan.hoffman@winchesterva.gov
Is the contact person different than the authorized in	dividual?
Contact Person*:	Yes
Contact:	KellyHenshawFirst NameLast Name
	301 E. Cork Street Address Line 1
	Address Line 2
	WinchesterVirginia22601CityStateZip Code
Telephone Number:	540-773-1340
Cell Phone Number:	540-773-1340
Email Address:	kelly.henshaw@winchesterva.gov

Enter a description of the project for which you are applying to this funding opportunity

Project Description*:

Development of a Stormwater Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed within the City of Winchester, Virginia. Evaluate the hydrologic and hydraulic conditions within the Upper Town Run Watershed, hereby referred to as the Watershed, that are leading to flooding issues within the Watershed, and to conceptualize potential solutions to help mitigate flooding within the Watershed.

Low-income geographic area means any locality, or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local median household income, or any area in the Commonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.

Is the proposal in this application intended to benefit a low-income geographic area as defined above?

Benefit a low-income geographic area*: Yes Information regarding your census block(s) can be found at census.gov

Census Block(s) Where Project will Occur*:

1004, 1020, 2007, 2012, 2008, 1000, 2005, 4011, 1021, 2006, 4009, 2009, 1013, 2002, 1019, 1002, 1007, 2000, 4003,

Is Project Located in an NFIP Participating Yes Community?*:

Is Project Located in a Special Flood Hazard Area?*:	Yes
Flood Zone(s) (if applicable):	Zone AE Floodway, Zone AE, Zone X Shaded
Flood Insurance Rate Map Number(s) (if applicable):	51069C0208E, 51069C0204E, 51069C0212E, 51069C0216E

Eligibility - Round 4

Eligibility

Is the applicant a local government (including counties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created by the General Assembly or pursuant to the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)?

Local Government*:	Yes
	Yes - Eligible for consideration
	No - Not eligible for consideration
If the applicant is not a town, city, or county, are letters	of support from all affected local governments included in this application?
Letters of Support*:	N/A
	Yes - Eligible for consideration
	No - Not eligible for consideration
Has this or any portion of this project been included in	any application or program previously funded by the Department?
Previously Funded*:	No
Previously Funded*:	No Yes - Not eligible for consideration
Previously Funded*:	No Yes - Not eligible for consideration No - Eligible for consideration
Previously Funded*: Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to pro-	No Yes - Not eligible for consideration No - Eligible for consideration ovide the required matching funds?
Previously Funded*: Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to pre Evidence of Match Funds*:	No Yes - Not eligible for consideration No - Eligible for consideration ovide the required matching funds? Yes
Previously Funded*: Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to pre Evidence of Match Funds*:	No Yes - Not eligible for consideration No - Eligible for consideration ovide the required matching funds? Yes Yes - Eligible for consideration
Previously Funded*: Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to pre Evidence of Match Funds*:	No Yes - Not eligible for consideration No - Eligible for consideration ovide the required matching funds? Yes Yes - Eligible for consideration No - Not eligible for consideration

Scope of Work - Studies - Round 4

Scope of Work

Scope of Work*:

Comments:

Attached is the consultant's scope of services to provide the study necessitating this grant application. For full scope of work narrative, please see Combined Grant Application Package attached to this electronic submittal.

Budget Narrative

Budget Narrative Attachment*:

CID510173_CityofWinchester_CFPF-1_SectionB_BudgetNarrative.pdf

Comments:

Attached is Section B of the Combined Grant Application Package attached to this submittal. Section B contains a detailed budget narrative, documentation of funding source, budget narrative template, and funding request authorization

Scoring Criteria for Studies - Round 4

Scoring

Revising floodplain ordinances to maintain compliance with the NFP or to incorporate higher standards that may reduce the risk of flood damage. This must include establishing processes for implementing the ordinance, including but not limited to, permitting, record retention, violations, and variances. This may include revising a floodplain ordinance when the community is getting new Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), updating a floodplain ordinance to include floodplain setbacks or freeboard, or correcting issues identified in a Corrective Action Plan.

Revising Floodplain Ordinances*: No

Select

Creating tools or applications to identify, aggregate, or display information on flood risk or creating a crowd-sourced mapping platform that gathers data points about real-time flooding. This could include a locally or regionally based web-based mapping product that allows local residents to better understand their flood risk.

Mapping Platform*:

No Select

No Select

Conducting hydrologic and hydraulic studies of floodplains. Applicants who create new maps must apply for a Letter of Map Revision or a Physical Map Revision through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies*:

Studies and Data Collection of Statewide and Regional Significance. Funding of studies of statewide and regional significance and proposals will be considered for the following types of studies:

Updating precipitation data and IDF information (rain intensity, duration, frequency estimates) including such data at a sub-state or regional scale on a periodic basis.

Updating Precipitation Data and IDF Information*:	No Select
Regional relative sea level rise projections for use in	n determining future impacts.
Projections*:	No Select
Vulnerability analysis either statewide or regionally infrastructure from flooding.	to state transportation, water supply, water treatment, impounding structures, or other significant and vital
Vulnerability Analysis*:	Yes Select
Rash flood studies and modeling in riverine regions	of the state.
Flash Flood Studies*:	Yes Select
Statewide or regional stream gauge monitoring to ir	clude expansion of existing gauge networks.
Stream Gauge Monitoring*:	No Select
New or updated delineations of areas of recurrent fl conditions based on sea level rise, more intense rai	ooding, stormwater flooding, and storm surge vulnerability in coastal areas that include projections for future nfall events, or other relevant flood risk factors.
Delineations of Areas of Recurrent Flooding*:	Yes Select

Regional flood studies in riverine communities that may include watershed-scale evaluation, updated estimates of rainfall intensity, or other information.

Regional Flood Studies*:	Yes Select
Regional Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies of Roodp	lains
Regional Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies of Floodplains*:	Yes Select
Studies of potential land use strategies that could b	e implemented by a local government to reduce or mitigate damage from coastal or riverine flooding.
Potential Land Use Strategies*:	Yes Select
Other proposals that will significantly improve prote	ction from flooding on a statewide or regional basis.
Other Proposals*:	Yes Select
Social Vulnerability Scoring: Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) Voderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0)	
Socially Vulnerable*:	Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0)
s the proposed project part of an effort to join or re	medy the community?s probation or suspension from the NEP?
NFIP*:	No
s the proposed project in a low-income geographic "Low-income geographic area" means any locality, or median household income, or any area in the Commu authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of a	area as defined below? community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local onwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.
Low-Income Geographic Area*:	Yes
Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutries ocal and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs. Does the proposed project include implementation o established by the Virginia Department of Environmo Watershed Implementation Plan?	nt and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency ental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III
Reduction of Nutrient and Sediment Pollution*:	No
Comments:	

Scope of Work Supporting Information - Studies

New Study

Scope of Work Supporting Information

Is the proposed study a new study or updates on a prior study?

New or Updated Study*:

Describe the relationship of the study to the local government's needs for flood prevention and protection, equity, community improvement, identification of naturebased solutions or other priorities contained in this manual

Relationship of Study to Priorities

Contained in this Manual*:

This project has been identified in the City of Winchester's DCR Approved Resilience Plan. The local government has a significant need for flood prevention and protection throughout the city due to aging and inadequate drainage infrastructure, presences of significant urban stream systems, and a highly urbanized and socially vulnerable area. A goal for the City of Winchester, as outlined in its Comprehensive Plan, is to limit new development in areas prone to flooding and work to mitigate the impacts to existing structures that are already located within flood prone areas. The Upper Town Run Watershed area was highlighted in a project prioritization exercise completed by the City and scored highly due to its potential for great risk reduction, damage reduction, the number of properties that are currently affected, and potential for improvement in the area by both City staff and citizens. The project area was also identified during the City?s Resilience Plan efforts due to the proximity of citizen homes and buildings within and adjacent to the FEMA Floodway and FEMA Floodplain associated with Town Run.

Describe the qualifications of the individuals or organizations charged with conducting the study or the elements of any request for proposal that define those

Qualifications of Individuals Conducting Study*:

For project team conducting the study outlined in this application, please see Combined Grant Application Package - Section A attached to this submittal for full qualifications and resumes of the project team.

Describe the expected use of the study results in the context of the local resilience plan or, in the case of regional plans, how the study improves any regional approach

Expected use of Study Results*:

A goal of this study is to identify several holistic alternatives that alleviate flooding conditions and allow for safe and consistent access for maintenance by City staff. This study feeds into the City?s plans to enhance resiliency, per their Resilience Plan, and will help to identify flooding hotspots and areas for high impact stormwater management upgrades both in the short and long term. This study will assist the City in protecting critical infrastructure and preserving, protecting, and maintaining areas of historical significance. The 1-D/2-D SWMM model will be able to be leveraged by the City for a variety of purposes in the short and long term. In the short term, this study will enable the City to model and assess the efficacy and impacts of proposed stormwater projects to surrounding areas in the future, as well as model future development impacts on the Watershed. In the long term, this model will be the framework that the City can expand upon with the goal of eventually having a Citywide Stormwater Management Model and potentially be used to update FEMA Floodmaps in the Watershed with up to date hydrology and model geometry data.

If applicable, describe how the study may improve Virginia's flood protection and prevention abilities in a statewide context (type N/A if not applicable)

Statewide Improvements*:

N/A

Provide a list of repetitive and/or severe repetitive loss properties. Do not provide the addresses for the properties, but include an exact number of repetitive and/or severe repetitive loss structures within the project area

Repetitive Loss and/or Severe Repetitive CID510173_CityofWinchester_CFPF-1_Repetitive_Loss_Statement.pdf Loss Properties*:

Describe the residential and commercial structures impacted by this project, including how they contribute to the community such as historic, economic, or social value. Provide an exact number of these structures in the project area

Residential and/or Commercial Structures*:

There are 101 structures located within either Zone Floodway, Zone AE Floodplain, or Zone X Shaded (0.2% Annual Chance). 16 are located with Zone AE Floodway, 57 within Zone AE Floodplain, and 28 within Zone X Shaded (0.2% Annual Chance). See detailed map for exact locations in the project specific maps. The southeast portion of the study area is located within a Historic District and there is a Baptist Church within that area (Zone AE Floodway).

If there are critical facilities/infrastructure within the project area, describe each facility

Critical Facilities/Infrastructure*:

Yes, there is part of the Winchester Medical Center that overlaps with the Zone AE Floodway in the northwest portion of the study area. There are a number of roadway crossings with culverts that are undersized or in poor condition that are located within Zone AE Floodway and Zone AE Floodplain. There are a significant amount of water and sewer pipes, manholes, and access points that are located within the study area and specifically within the Flood Zones.

Budget

Budget Summary	
Grant Matching Requirement*:	LOW INCOME - Flood Prevention and Protection Studies - Fund 90%/Match 10%
I certify that my project is in a low-income geographic area:	Yes
Total Project Amount*:	\$300,001.46
REQUIRED Match Percentage Amount:	\$30,000.15

BUDGET TOTALS

Before submitting your applic	ation be sure that you <u>meet the mat</u>	<u>tch requirements</u> for your p	roject type.	
Match Percentage:	10.00% Verify that yo	10.00% Verify that your match percentage matches your required match percentage amount above.		
Total Requested Fund Ame	bunt: \$270,000.00)		
Total Match Amount:	\$30,001.46			
TOTAL:	\$300,001.46	6		
Personnel				
Description		Requested Fund Amount	Match Amount Match Source	
		No Data for Table		
Fringe Benefits				
Description		Requested Fund Amount	Match Amount Match Source	
		No Data for Table		
Travel				
Description		Requested Fund Amount	Match Amount Match Source	
		No Data for Table		
Equipment				
Description		Requested Fund Amount	Match Amount Match Source	
		No Data for Table		
Supplies				
Description		Requested Fund Amount	Match Amount Match Source	
Construction		No Data for Table		
Construction				
Description		Requested Fund Amount	Match Amount Match Source	
		No Data for Table		
Contracts				
Description	Rec	quested Fund Amount M	atch Amount Match Source	
Consultant budget needed to	perform study	\$270,000.00	\$30,001.46 Citywide Stormwater Improvements Fund FY24	
		\$270,000.00	\$30,001.46	
Pre-Award and Startup C	osts			
Description		Requested Fund Amount	Match Amount Match Source	
		No Data for Table		
Other Direct Costs				

No Data for Table

Supporting Documentation

Supporting Documentation

med Attachment Required		Description		File Name		Туре	Size	Upload Date
Detailed map of the project area(s) (Projects/Studies)		Detailed Maps of Study Ar	ea	CID510173_CityofWin 1_DetailedMaps.pdf	chester_CFPF-	pdf	6 MB	11/09/2023 03:02 PM
FIRMette of the project area(s) (Projects/Studies)		FIRMettes of the study are	a	CID510173_CityofWin 1_Fimettes.pdf	chester_CFPF-	pdf	980 KB	11/09/2023 03:03 PM
Historic flood damage data and/or images (Projects/Studies)		Photo map and photos of area	the study	CID510173_CityofWin 1_Photos.pdf	chester_CFPF-	pdf	2 MB	11/09/2023 03:04 PM
A link to or a copy of the current floodplain ordinance		City of Winchester Floodp Ordinance	lain	CID510173_CityofWin 1_FloodplainOrdinanc	chester_CFPF- æ.pdf	pdf	512 KB	11/09/2023 03:05 PM
Maintenance and management plan for project	t							
Alink to or a copy of the current hazard mitigation plan								
A link to or a copy of the current comprehensive plan	9	City of Winchester Compr Plan	ehensive	CID510173_CityofWin 1_ComprehensivePla	chester_CFPF- n.pdf	pdf	319 KB	11/09/2023 03:06 PM
Social vulnerability index score(s) for the project rea		Social Vulnerability docun	nentation	CID510173_CityofWinchester_CFPF- 1_Social_Vulnerability.pdf		pdf	1 MB	11/09/2023 03:08 PM
Authorization to request funding from the Fund from governing body or chief executive of the local government		Funding Authorization fror Manager	n City	CID510173_CityofWin 1_FundingAuthorizatio	chester_CFPF- n.pdf	pdf	189 KB	11/09/2023 03:09 PM
Signed pledge agreement from each contributing organization								
Maintenance Plan								
Benefit-cost analysis must be submitted with p to describe in detail the cost benefits and value to its cost-effectiveness.	roject applic e. The narra	cations over \$2,000,000. in tive must explicitly indicate	lieu of using ti the risk reduc	he FEMA benefit-cost a tion benefits of a flood	nalysis tool, applicants n mitigation project and co	nay si ompai	.lbmit es the	a narrative ose benefits
Benefit Cost Analysis								
Other Relevant Attachments		Combined grant application for Upper Town Run Water Stormwater Master Plan	on package ershed	CID510173_CityofWinchester_CFPF- 1_Combined_Grant_Application_Package.pdf		pdf	12 MB	11/09/2023 03:11 PM
Letters of Support								
Description F	ile Name		Туре	Size	Upload	l Date	•	
No files attached.								



Copy of the City of Winchester Floodplain Ordinance



ARTICLE 14.1

FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS - FP

STATEMENT OF INTENT

The purpose of these provisions is to prevent the loss of life and property, the creation of health and safety hazards, the disruption of commerce and governmental services, the extraordinary and unnecessary expenditure of public funds for flood protection and relief, and the impairment of the tax base by:

- A. Regulating uses, activities, and development which, alone or in combination with other existing or future uses, activities, and development, will cause unacceptable increases in flood heights, velocities, and frequencies.
- B. Restricting or prohibiting certain uses, activities, and development from locating within districts subject to flooding.
- C. Requiring all those uses, activities, and developments that do occur in flood-prone districts to be protected and/or floodproofed against flooding and flood damage.
- D. Protecting individuals from buying land and structures which are unsuited for intended purposes because of flood hazards.

14.1-1 APPLICABILITY

These provisions shall apply to all lands within the jurisdiction of the City and identified as being in the 100-year floodplain by the Federal Insurance Administration.

14.1-2 COMPLIANCE AND LIABILITY

- A. No land shall hereafter be developed and no structure shall be located, relocated, constructed, reconstructed, enlarged, or structurally altered except in full compliance with the terms and provisions of this ordinance and any other applicable ordinances and regulations which apply to uses within the jurisdiction of this ordinance.
- B. The degree of flood protection sought by the provisions of this ordinance is considered reasonable for regulatory purposes and is based on acceptable engineering methods of study. Larger floods may occur on rare occasions. Flood heights may be increased by man-made or natural causes, such as ice jams and bridge openings restricted by debris. This ordinance does not imply that districts outside the floodplain district, or that land uses permitted within such district will be free from flooding or flood damages.

- C. This ordinance shall not create liability on the part of the City or any officer or employee thereof for any flood damages that result from reliance on this ordinance or any administrative decision lawfully made thereunder.
- D. Records of actions associated with administering this ordinance shall be kept on file and maintained by the Program Administrator. (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

14.1-3 PENALTY FOR VIOLATIONS

Any person who fails to comply with any of the requirements or provisions of this article or directions of the Program Administrator or any authorized employee of the City of Winchester shall be subject to the penalties therefore.

In addition to the above penalties, all other actions are hereby reserved, including an action in equity for the proper enforcement of this article. The imposition of a fine or penalty for any violation of, or noncompliance with, this article shall not excuse the violation or noncompliance or permit it to continue; and all such persons shall be required to correct or remedy such violations or noncompliances within a reasonable time. Any structure constructed, reconstructed, enlarged, altered or relocated in noncompliance with this article may be declared by the City of Winchester to be a public nuisance and abatable as such. Flood insurance may be withheld from structures constructed in violation of this article.

(9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

14.1-4 SEVERABILITY

If any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase of this ordinance shall be declared invalid for any reason whatever, such decision shall not affect the remaining portions of this ordinance. The remaining portions shall remain in full force and effect; and for this purpose, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

(9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

14.1-5 ABROGATION AND GREATER RESTRICTIONS

This ordinance supersedes any ordinance currently in effect in flood-prone districts. However, any underlying ordinance shall remain in full force and effect to the extent that its provisions are more restrictive than this ordinance.

14.1-6 DEFINITIONS

14.1-6-1Base Flood/One-Hundred Year Flood - A flood that, on the average, is likely to occur
once every 100 years (i.e., that has a one (1) percent chance of occurring each year,
although the flood may occur in any year). (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-
24)

FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS - FP

- 14.1-6-2 <u>Base Flood Elevation (BFE)</u> The Federal Insurance Administration designated 100 year water surface elevation.
- 14.1-6-3 <u>Basement</u> (For purposes of this Article...) Any area of the building having its floor subgrade (below ground level) on all sides.
- 14.1-6-4 <u>Development</u> Any man-made change to improved or unimproved real estate, including, but not limited to, buildings or other structures, mining, dredging, filling, grading, paving, excavation or drilling operations or storage of equipment or materials.
- 14.1-6-5 <u>Elevated building</u> A non-basement building built to have the lowest floor elevated above the ground level by means of fill, solid foundation perimeter walls, pilings, or columns (posts and piers).
- 14.1-6-6 <u>Encroachment</u> The advance or infringement of uses, plant growth, fill, excavation, buildings, permanent structures or development into a floodplain, which may impede or alter the flow capacity of a floodplain.
- 14.1-6-7 <u>Existing manufactured home park or subdivision</u> a manufactured home park or subdivision for which the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufactured homes are to be affixed (including, at a minimum, the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads) is completed before the effective date of the floodplain management regulations adopted by a community.
- 14.1-6-8 Expansion to an existing manufactured home park or subdivision the preparation of additional sites by the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufacturing homes are to be affixed (including the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads).
- 14.1-6-9 <u>Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)</u> an official map of a community, on which the Administrator has delineated both the special hazard areas and the risk premium zones applicable to the community
- 14.1-6-10 Flood or flooding -
 - 1. A general or temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of normally dry land areas from
 - a. the overflow of inland or tidal waters; or,
 - b. the unusual and rapid accumulation or runoff of surface waters from any source.

- 2. The collapse or subsistence of land along the shore of a lake or other body of water as a result of erosion or undermining caused by waves or currents of water exceeding anticipated cyclical levels or suddenly caused by an unusually high water level in a natural body of water, accompanied by a severe storm, or by an unanticipated force of nature such as flash flood or an abnormal tidal surge, or by some similarly unusual and unforeseeable event which results in flooding as defined in paragraph 1 (a) of this definition.
- 3. Mudflows which are proximately caused by flooding as defined in paragraph (a)(2) of this definition and are akin to a river of liquid and flowing mud on the surfaces of normally dry land areas, as when earth is carried by a current of water and deposited along the path of the current.
- 14.1-6-11 <u>Floodway</u> The channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height.
- 14.1-6-12 <u>Freeboard</u> A factor of safety usually expressed in feet above a flood level for purposes of floodplain management. "Freeboard" tends to compensate for the many unknown factors that could contribute to flood heights greater than the height calculated for a selected size flood and floodway conditions, such as wave action, bridge openings, and the hydrological effect of urbanization in the watershed.
- 14.1-6-13 <u>Historic structure</u> Any structure that is
 - 1. listed individually in the National Register of Historic Places (a listing maintained by the Department of Interior) or preliminarily determined by the Secretary of the Interior as meeting the requirements for individual listing on the National Register;
 - 2. certified or preliminarily determined by the Secretary of the Interior as contributing to the historical significance of a registered historic district or a district preliminarily determined by the Secretary to qualify as a registered historic district;
 - 3. individually listed on a state inventory of historic places in states with historic preservation programs which have been approved by the Secretary of the Interior; or,
 - 4. individually listed on a local inventory of historic places in communities with historic preservation programs that have been certified either
 - a. by an approved state program as determined by the Secretary of the Interior; or,
 - b. directly by the Secretary of the Interior in states without approved programs.

- 14.1-6-14 <u>Lowest Floor</u> The lowest floor of the lowest enclosed area (including basement). An unfinished or flood-resistant enclosure, usable solely for parking of vehicles, building access or storage in an area other than a basement area is not considered a building's lowest floor; provided, that such enclosure is not built so as to render the structure in violation of the applicable non-elevation design requirements of Federal Code 44CFR §60.3.
- 14.1-6-15 <u>Manufactured home</u> A structure, transportable in one or more sections, which is built on a permanent chassis and is designed for use with or without a permanent foundation when connected to the required utilities. For floodplain management purposes the term manufactured home also includes park trailers, travel trailers, and other similar vehicles placed one a site for greater than 180 consecutive days.
- 14.1-6-16 <u>Manufactured home park or subdivision</u> a parcel (or contiguous parcels) of land divided into two or more manufactured home lots for rent or sale.
- 14.1-6-17 <u>New construction</u> For the purposes of determining insurance rates, structures for which the "start of construction" commenced on or after the effective date of an initial Flood Insurance Rate Map on or after December 31, 1974, whichever is later, and includes any subsequent improvements to such structures. For floodplain management purposes, *new construction* means structures for which *start of construction* commenced on or after the effective date of a floodplain management regulation adopted by a community and includes any subsequent improvements to such structures.
- 14.1-6-18 <u>Recreational vehicle</u> A vehicle which is
 - 1. built on a single chassis;
 - 2. 400 square feet or less when measured at the largest horizontal projection;
 - 3. designed to be self-propelled or permanently towable by a light duty truck; and,
 - 4. designed primarily not for use as a permanent dwelling but as temporary living quarters for recreational camping, travel, or seasonal use.
- 14.1-6-19 <u>Special flood hazard area</u> The land in the floodplain subject to a one (1%) percent or greater chance of being flooded in any given year as determined in Section 14.1-6 of this ordinance.
- 14.1-6-20 <u>Start of construction</u> The date the building permit was issued, provided the actual start of construction, repair, reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition, placement, substantial improvement or other improvement was within 180 days of the permit date. The actual start means either the first placement of permanent construction of a structure on a site, such as the pouring of slab or footings, the installation of piles, the construction of columns, or any work beyond the stage of excavation; or the placement of a manufactured home on a foundation. Permanent construction does not include

land preparation, such as clearing, grading and filling; nor does it include the installation on the property of accessory buildings, such as garages or sheds not occupied as dwelling units or not part of the main structure. For a substantial improvement, the actual start of the construction means the first alteration of any wall, ceiling, floor, or other structural part of a building, whether or not that alteration affects the external dimensions of the building.

- 14.1-6-21 <u>Structure</u> for flood plain management purposes, a walled and roofed building, including a gas or liquid storage tank, that is principally above ground, as well as a manufactured home. ``Structure'' for insurance coverage purposes, means a walled and roofed building, other than a gas or liquid storage tank, that is principally above ground and affixed to a permanent site, as well as a manufactured home on a permanent foundation. For the latter purpose, the term includes a building while in the course of construction, alteration or repair, but does not include building materials or supplies intended for use in such construction, alteration or repair, unless such materials or supplies are within an enclosed building on the premises.
- 14.1-6-22 <u>Substantial Damage</u> Damage of any origin sustained by a structure whereby the cost of restoring the structure to its before damaged condition would equal or exceed 50 percent of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred.
- 14.1-6-23 <u>Substantial Improvement</u> Any reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition, or other improvement of a structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50 percent of the market value of the structure before the "start of construction" of the improvement. This term includes structures which have incurred "substantial damage" regardless of the actual repair work performed. The term does not, however, include either: (1) any project for improvement of a structure to correct existing violations of state or local health, sanitary, or safety code specifications which have been identified by the local code enforcement official and which are the minimum necessary to assure safe living conditions or (2) any alteration of a "historic structure", provided that the alteration will not preclude the structures continued designation as a "historic structure".
- 14.1-6-24 <u>Violation</u> the failure of a structure or other development to be fully compliant with the community's flood plain management regulations. A structure or other development without the elevation certificate, other certifications, or other evidence of compliance required in Sec. 60.3(b)(5), (c)(4), (c)(10), (d)(3), (e)(2), (e)(4), or (e)(5) is presumed to be in violation until such time as that documentation is provided.
- 14.1-6-25 <u>Watercourse</u> A lake, river, creek, stream, wash, channel or other topographic feature on or over which waters flow at least periodically. Watercourse includes specifically designated areas in which substantial flood damage may occur.

14.1-7 DESCRIPTION OF DISTRICTS

14.1-7-1 Basis of Districts

The various floodplain districts shall include special flood hazard areas. The basis for the delineation of these districts shall be the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) and the Flood Insurance Rate Maps for the City of Winchester prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, Federal Insurance Administration, dated September 2, 2009, as amended. (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

- 1. The Floodway District is delineated, for purposes of this ordinance, using the criterion that certain areas within the floodplain must be capable of carrying the waters of the one hundred (100)-year flood without increasing the water surface elevation of that flood more than one (1) foot at any point. The areas included in this District are specifically defined in Table 2 of the above-referenced Flood Insurance Study and shown on the accompanying Flood Insurance Rate Map.
- 2. The Approximated Floodplain District shall be that floodplain area for which no detailed flood profiles or elevations are provided, but where a one hundred (100)-year floodplain boundary has been approximated. Such areas are shown as Zone A on the maps accompanying the Flood Insurance Study. For these areas, the one hundred (100)year flood elevations and floodway information from federal, state, and other acceptable sources shall be used, when available. Where the specific one hundred (100)-year flood elevation cannot be determined for this area using other sources of data, such as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Floodplain Information Reports, U. S. Geological Survey Flood-Prone Quadrangles, etc., then the applicant for the proposed use, development and/or activity shall determine this elevation in accordance with hydrologic and hydraulic engineering techniques. Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses shall be undertaken only by professional engineers or others of demonstrated qualifications, who shall certify that the technical methods used correctly reflect currently-accepted technical concepts. Studies, analyses, computations, etc., shall be submitted in sufficient detail to allow a thorough review by the City.
- 3. The Special Floodplain District shall be those areas identified as an AE Zone on the maps accompanying the Flood Insurance Study for which one hundred (100)-year flood elevations have been provided.

14.1-7-2 Overlay Concept

- 1. The Floodplain Districts described above shall be overlays to the existing underlying districts as shown on the Official Zoning Map, and as such, the provisions for the floodplain districts shall serve as a supplement to the underlying district provisions.
- 2. Any conflict between the provisions or requirements of the Floodplain Districts and those of any underlying district, the more restrictive provisions and/or those pertaining to the floodplain districts shall apply.

3. In the event any provision concerning a Floodplain District is declared inapplicable as a result of any legislative or administrative actions or judicial decision, the basic underlying provisions shall remain applicable.

14.1-8 OFFICIAL ZONING MAP

The boundaries of the Floodplain Districts are established as shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Map which is declared to be a part of this ordinance and which shall be kept on file at the City.

14.1-9 DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGES

The delineation of any of the Floodplain Districts may be revised by the Governing Body where natural or man-made changes have occurred and/or where more detailed studies have been conducted or undertaken by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers or other qualified agency, or an individual documents the need for such change. However, prior to any such change, approval must be obtained from the Federal Insurance Administration.

14.1-10 SUBMITTING TECHNICAL DATA

A community's base flood elevations may increase or decrease resulting from physical changes affecting flooding conditions. As soon as practicable, but not later than six months after the date such information becomes available, a community shall notify the Federal Insurance Administrator of the changes by submitting technical or scientific data. Such a submission is necessary so that upon confirmation of those physical changes affecting flooding conditions, risk premium rates and flood plain management requirements will be based upon current data. (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

14.1-11 INTERPRETATION OF DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

Initial interpretations of the boundaries of the Floodplain Districts shall be made by the Administrator. Should a dispute arise concerning the boundaries of any of the Districts, the Board of Zoning Appeals shall make the necessary determination. The person questioning or contesting the location of the District boundary shall be given a reasonable opportunity to present his case to the Board and to submit his own technical evidence if he so desires.

14.1-12 GENERAL PROVISIONS

14.1-12-1 Permit Requirement

All uses, activities, and development occurring within any floodplain district shall be undertaken only upon the issuance of a zoning permit. Such development shall be undertaken only in strict compliance with the provisions of the Ordinance and with all other applicable codes and ordinances, such as the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code and the City Land Subdivision Regulations. Prior to the issuance of any such permit, the Administrator shall require all applications to include compliance with all applicable state and federal laws. Under no circumstances shall any use, activity, and/or development adversely affect the capacity of the channels or floodway of any watercourse, drainage ditch, or any other drainage facility or system.

14.1-12-2 Alteration or Relocation of Watercourse

Prior to any proposed alteration or relocation of any channels or of any watercourse, stream, etc., within this jurisdiction a permit shall be obtained from the U. S. Corps of Engineers, the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission (a joint permit application is available from any of these organizations). Furthermore, notification of the proposal shall be given by the applicant to all affected adjacent jurisdictions, the Department of Conservation and Recreation (Division of Dam Safety and Floodplain Management) and the Federal Insurance Administration.

14.1-12-3 General Standards

In all special flood hazard areas the following provisions shall apply: (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

- a) New construction and substantial improvements shall be according to the VA USBC, and anchored to prevent flotation, collapse or lateral movement of the structure.
- b) Manufactured homes shall be anchored to prevent flotation, collapse, or lateral movement. Methods of anchoring may include, but are not limited to, use of over-the-top or frame ties to ground anchors. This standard shall be in addition to and consistent with applicable state requirements for resisting wind forces.
- c) New construction and substantial improvements shall be constructed with materials and utility equipment resistant to flood damage.
- d) New construction or substantial improvements shall be constructed by methods and practices that minimize flood damage.

- e) Electrical, heating, ventilation, plumbing, air conditioning equipment and other service facilities, including duct work, shall be designed and/or located so as to prevent water from entering or accumulating within the components during conditions of flooding.
- f) New and replacement water supply systems shall be designed to minimize or eliminate infiltration of flood waters into the system.
- g) New and replacement sanitary sewage systems shall be designed to minimize or eliminate infiltration of flood waters into the systems and discharges from the systems into flood waters.
- h) On-site waste disposal systems shall be located and constructed to avoid impairment to them or contamination from them during flooding.
- i) Any alteration, repair, reconstruction or improvements to a building that is in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance shall meet the requirements of "new construction" as contained in this ordinance.
- j) Any alteration, repair, reconstruction or improvements to a building that is not in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall be undertaken only if said non-conformity is not furthered, extended, or replaced.
- Prior to any proposed alteration or relocation of any channels or of any watercourse, stream, etc., within this jurisdiction a permit shall be obtained from the U. S. Corps of Engineers, the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission (a joint permit application is available from any of these organizations). Furthermore, notification of the proposal shall be given by the applicant to all affected adjacent jurisdictions, the Department of Conservation and Recreation (Division of Dam Safety and Floodplain Management) and the Federal Insurance Administration.
- I) The flood carrying capacity within an altered or relocated portion of any watercourse shall be maintained.

14.1-12-4 Drainage Facilities

Storm drainage facilities shall be designed to convey the flow of storm water runoff in a safe and efficient manner. The system shall insure proper drainage along streets, and provide positive drainage away from buildings. The system shall also be designed to prevent the discharge of excess runoff onto adjacent properties.

14.1-12-5 Site Plans and Permit Applications

All applications for development in the floodplain district and all building permits issued for the floodplain shall incorporate the following information:

- 1. For structures to be elevated, the elevation of the lowest floor (including basement).
- 2. For structures to be floodproofed (non-residential only), the elevation to which the structure will be floodproofed.
- 3. The elevation of the one hundred (100)-year flood.
- 4. Topographic information showing existing and proposed ground elevations.

14.1-12-6 Specific Standards

In all special flood hazard areas where base flood elevations have been provided in the Flood Insurance Study or generated according Article 4, section 4.4 (A), the following provisions shall apply: (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

a) Residential Construction

New construction or substantial improvement of any residential structure (including manufactured homes) shall have the lowest floor, including basement, elevated no lower than 1 foot above the base flood elevation.

b) Non-Residential Construction

New construction or substantial improvement of any commercial, industrial, or non-residential building (or manufactured home) shall have the lowest floor, including basement, elevated to no lower than 1 foot above the base flood elevation. Buildings located in all A1-30, AE, and AH zones may be floodproofed in lieu of being elevated provided that all areas of the building components below the elevation corresponding to the BFE plus one foot are water tight with walls substantially impermeable to the passage of water, and use structural components having the capability of resisting hydrostatic and hydrodynamic loads and the effect of buoyancy. A registered professional engineer or architect shall certify that the standards of this subsection are satisfied.

c) Elevated Buildings

Enclosed areas, of new construction or substantially improved structures, which are below the regulatory flood protection elevation shall:

- not be designed or used for human habitation, but shall only be used for parking of vehicles, building access, or limited storage of maintenance equipment used in connection with the premises. Access to the enclosed area shall be the minimum necessary to allow for parking of vehicles (garage door) or limited storage of maintenance equipment (standard exterior door), or entry to the living area (stairway or elevator).
- 2. be constructed entirely of flood resistant materials below the regulatory flood protection elevation;
- 3. include, in Zones AE and A, measures to automatically equalize hydrostatic flood forces on walls by allowing for the entry and exit of floodwaters. To meet this requirement, the openings must either be certified by a professional engineer or architect or meet the following minimum design criteria:
 - a. Provide a minimum of two openings on different sides of each enclosed area subject to flooding.
 - b. The total net area of all openings must be at least one (1) square inch for each square foot of enclosed area subject to flooding.
 - c. If a building has more than one enclosed area, each area must have openings to allow floodwaters to automatically enter and exit.
 - d. The bottom of all required openings shall be no higher than one (1) foot above the adjacent grade.
 - e. Openings may be equipped with screens, louvers, or other opening coverings or devices, provided they permit the automatic flow of floodwaters in both directions.
 - f. Foundation enclosures made of flexible skirting are not considered enclosures for regulatory purposes, and, therefore, do not require openings. Masonry or wood underpinning, regardless of structural status, is considered an enclosure and requires openings as outlined above.
- 14.1-12-7 Manufactured Homes and Recreational Vehicles
 - 1. All manufactured homes placed, or substantially improved, on individual lots or parcels, in expansions to existing manufactured home parks or subdivisions, in a new manufactured home park or subdivision or in an existing manufactured home park or subdivision on which a manufactured home has incurred substantial

FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS - FP

damage as the result of a flood, must meet all the requirements for new construction.

- 2. All manufactured homes placed or substantially improved in an existing manufactured home park or subdivision in which a manufactured home has **not** incurred substantial damage as the result of a flood shall be elevated so that either
 - a. the lowest floor of the manufactured home is elevated no lower than 3 feet above the base flood elevation; or
 - b. the manufactured home chassis is supported by reinforced piers or other foundation elements of at least equivalent strength that are no less than 36 inches in height above grade
 - c. and be securely anchored to the adequately anchored foundation system to resist flotation, collapse and lateral movement.
- 3. All recreational vehicles placed on sites must either
 - a. be on the site for fewer than 180 consecutive days;
 - b. be fully licensed and ready for highway use (a recreational vehicle is ready for highway use if it is on its wheels or jacking system, is attached to the site only by quick disconnect type utilities and security devices and has no permanently attached additions); or,
 - c. meet all the requirements for manufactured homes.

14.1-13 FLOODWAY DISTRICT

In the Floodway District no encroachments, including fill, new construction, substantial improvements, or other development shall be permitted unless it has been demonstrated through hydrologic and hydraulic analyses performed in accordance with standard engineering practice that the proposed encroachment would not result in any increase in the one hundred (100)-year flood elevation.

14.1-13-1 Permitted Uses in the Floodway District

The following uses and activities are permitted provided that they are in compliance with the provisions of the underlying area and are not prohibited by any other ordinance and provided that they do not require structures, fill, or storage of materials and equipment:

1. Agricultural uses, such as general farming, pasture, grazing, outdoor plant nurseries, horticulture, truck farming, forestry, sod farming, and wild crop harvesting.

2. Public and private recreational uses and activities, such as parks, day camps, picnic grounds, golf courses, boat launching and swimming areas, horseback riding and hiking trails, wildlife and nature preserves, game farms, fish hatcheries, trap and skeet game ranges, and hunting and fishing areas.

3. Accessory residential uses, such as yard areas, gardens, play areas, and pervious loading areas.

4. Accessory industrial and commercial uses such as yard areas, pervious parking and loading areas, airport landing strips, etc.

14.1-14 SPECIAL FLOODPLAIN DISTRICT

The following provisions shall apply within the Special Floodplain District: (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

Until a regulatory floodway is designated, no new construction, substantial improvements, or other development (including fill) shall be permitted within the areas of special flood hazard, designated as Zones A and AE on the Flood Rate Insurance Map, unless it is demonstrated that the cumulative effect of the proposed development, when combined with all other existing and anticipated development will not increase the water surface elevation of the base flood more than one foot at any point within the City of Winchester.

Development activities in Zones A and AE on the Frederick County Flood Insurance Rate Map which increase the water surface elevation of the base flood by more than one foot may be allowed, provided that the applicant first applies – with the City of Winchester's endorsement – for a conditional Flood Insurance Rate Map revision, and receives the approval of the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

14.1-14-1 Standards for Approximated Floodplain

The following provisions shall apply with the Approximate Floodplain District:

1. The Approximated Floodplain District shall be that floodplain area for which no detailed flood profiles or elevations are provided, but where a one hundred (100)-year floodplain boundary has been approximated. Such areas are shown as Zone A on the maps accompanying the Flood Insurance Study. For these areas, the one hundred (100)-year flood elevations and floodway information from federal, state, and other acceptable sources shall be used, when available. Where the specific one hundred (100)-year flood elevation cannot be determined for this area using other sources of data, such as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Floodplain Information Reports, U. S. Geological Survey Flood-Prone Quadrangles, etc., then the applicant for the proposed use, development and/or activity shall determine this elevation in accordance with hydrologic and hydraulic engineering techniques. Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses shall be undertaken only by professional engineers or others of demonstrated qualifications, who shall certify that the technical methods used

FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS - FP

correctly reflect currently-accepted technical concepts. Studies, analyses, computations, etc., shall be submitted in sufficient detail to allow a thorough review by the Program Administrator.

- 2. When such base flood elevation data is utilized, the lowest floor shall be 1 foot above the base flood elevation. During the permitting process, the Program Administrator shall obtain:
 - a) the elevation of the lowest floor (including the basement) of all new and substantially improved structures; and,
 - b) if the structure has been flood-proofed in accordance with the requirements of this article, the elevation to which the structure has been flood-proofed.

14.1-14-2Standards for Subdivision Proposals

- 1. All subdivision proposals shall be consistent with the need to minimize flood damage;
- 2. All subdivision proposals shall have public utilities and facilities such as sewer, gas, electrical and water systems located and constructed to minimize flood damage;
- 3. All subdivision proposals shall have adequate drainage provided to reduce exposure to flood hazards, and
- 4. Base flood elevation data shall be provided for subdivision proposals and other proposed development proposals (including manufactured home parks and subdivisions) that exceed fifty lots or five acres, whichever is the lesser.

14.1-15 VARIANCES: FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED

In passing upon applications for Variances, the Board of Zoning Appeals ("the Board") shall satisfy all relevant factors and procedures specified in other sections of the zoning ordinance and consider the following additional factors:

- A. The danger to life and property due to increased flood heights or velocities caused by encroachments. No variance shall be granted for any proposed use, development, or activity within any Floodway District that will cause any increase in the one hundred (100)-year flood elevation. No variance shall be granted within the Special Flood Plain District for any proposed development that would cause an increase of more than one foot in the one hundred (100) year flood elevation.
- B. The danger that materials may be swept on to other lands or downstream to the injury of others.

- C. The proposed water supply and sanitation systems and the ability of these systems to prevent disease, contamination, and unsanitary conditions.
- D. The susceptibility of the proposed facility and its contents to flood damage and the effect of such damage on the individual owners.
- E. The importance of the services provided by the proposed facility to the community.
- F. The requirements of the facility for a waterfront location.
- G. The availability of alternative locations not subject to flooding for the proposed use.
- H. The compatibility of the proposed use with existing development and development anticipated in the foreseeable future.
- I. The relationship of the proposed use to the comprehensive plan and floodplain management program for the area.
- J. The safety of access by ordinary and emergency vehicles to the property in time of flood.
- K. The expected heights, velocity, duration, rate of rise, and sediment transport of the flood waters expected at the site.
- L. The repair or rehabilitation of historic structures upon a determination that the proposed repair or rehabilitation will not preclude the structure's continued designation as a historic structure and the variance is the minimum necessary to preserve the historic character and design of the structure.
- M. Such other factors which are relevant to the purposes of this ordinance.

The Board may refer any application and accompanying documentation pertaining to any request for a variance to any engineer or other qualified person or agency for technical assistance in evaluating the proposed project in relation to flood heights and velocities, and the adequacy of the plans for flood protection and other related matters.

Variances shall be issued only after the Board has determined that the granting of such will not result in (a) unacceptable or prohibited increases in flood heights, (b) additional threats to public safety, (c) extraordinary public expense; and will not (d) create nuisances, (e) cause fraud or victimization of the public, or (f) conflict with local laws or ordinances.

Variances shall be issued only after the Board has determined that variance will be the minimum required to provide relief from hardship to the applicant.

The Board shall notify the applicant for a variance, in writing, that the issuance of a variance to construct a structure below the one hundred (100)-year flood elevation (a) increases the risks to life and property and (b) will result in increased premium rates for flood insurance.

A record shall be maintained of the above notification as well as all variance actions, including justification for the issuance of the variances. Any variances which are issued shall be noted in the annual or biennial report submitted to the Federal Insurance Administrator.

14.1-16 EXISTING STRUCTURES IN FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS

A structure or use of a structure or premises which lawfully existed before the enactment of these provisions, but which is not in conformity with these provisions, may be continued subject to the following conditions:

- A. Existing structures in the Floodway District shall not be expanded or enlarged unless it has been demonstrated through hydrologic and hydraulic analyses performed in accordance with standard engineering practice that the proposed expansion would not result in any increase in the one hundred (100)-year flood elevation.
- B. Any modifications, alteration, repair, reconstruction, or improvement of any kind to a structure and/or use located in any floodplain area to an extent or amount of less than fifty (50) percent of its market value, elevation and/or floodproofing should be considered to the greatest extent possible.
- C. The modification, alteration, repair, reconstruction, or improvement of any kind to a structure and/or use, regardless of its locations in a floodplain area, to an extent or amount of fifty (50) percent or more of its market value shall be undertaken only in full compliance with the provisions of this ordinance and the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code.
- D. Existing structures in the Special Flood Plain District shall not be expanded or enlarged unless it has been demonstrated through hydraulic and hydraulic analyses preformed in accordance with standard engineering practices that the proposed expansion or enlargement would not result in an increase of more than one foot in the one hundred (100) year flood elevation.

14.1-17 FLOODING

Land subject to flooding and land deemed to be topographically unsuitable shall not be platted for residential occupancy, nor for such other uses as may increase danger of health, life or property, or aggravate erosion or flood hazard. Such land within the subdivision shall be set aside on the plat for such uses as shall not be endangered by periodic or occasional inundation or shall not produce conditions contrary to public welfare. All subdivisions within the City of Winchester shall conform with the recommendation of the applicable Storm Drainage Report. Any new subdivision or development shall be subject to the requirements of Article 14.1 FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS, FP, of the Official Zoning Ordinance.

(Editor's note: Article 14.1 established 10/13/99, Case TA-99-05, Ord. No. 030-99; Comprehensive Revision 9/1/09, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Detailed Map(s) of Project Area













Photo 1 - Floodplain channel prior to diversion structure

Photo 3 - Mapped floodplain channel adjacent to houses

Whittler Ave

Photo 2- Flow diversion structure

> Photos 4&5 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses

> > Photo 12 - Outlet of storm system from diversion structure upstream

A CARLES AND A CARLES

Photo 11 - Facing South - grassed channel before it enters concrete channel Photo 13 - Concrete channel between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave

Photo 14 - Floodplain channel in between houses

Legend

- - Storm Lines
- Storm Junction Box
- Storm Manhole
- Storm Drop Inlet

FEMA Flood Zone

A

AE

AE FLOODWAY

X 0.2 PCT ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD HAZARD

Utilities data sourced from City of Winchester GIS data.





Upper Town Run Watershed Photolog



Photo 1 - Floodplain channel prior to diversion structure.



Photo 2 - Flow diversion structure.





City of Winchester – Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Community Flood Preparedness Fund (CFPF) Grant Application Package- Section C



Photo 3 - Mapped floodplain channel adjacent to houses.



Photo 4 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses.







Photo 5 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses.



Photo 6 - Dual CMP culvert under Whittier Ave.






Photo 7 - Dual CMP culvert under Whittier Ave.



Photo 8 - Example of additional flow impedance due to private fence and debris accumulation.







Photo 9- Example of additional flow impedance due to private fence and debris accumulation.



Photo 10 - Facing North - Floodway channel before it enters concrete channel.







Photo 11 - Facing South - grassed channel before it enters concrete channel.



Photo 12 - Outlet of storm system from diversion structure upstream.







Photo 13 - Concrete channel between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave.



Photo 14 – Floodplain channel in between houses.







Photo 15 – End of concrete floodway channel.



Photo 16 – Ponding water and debris in floodway.





FIRMette of the Project Area(s)



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023

DWLRODO ORRGEDUGDHU)51WWH



HHQG



%D/HBS, BUHU\&RXUFH & BS D/VLRQDO DS



Historic Flood Damage Data / Images



Photo 1 - Floodplain channel prior to diversion structure

Photo 3 - Mapped floodplain channel adjacent to houses

Whittler Ave

Photo 2- Flow diversion structure

> Photos 4&5 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses

> > Photo 12 - Outlet of storm system from diversion structure upstream

Photo 11 - Facing South - grassed channel before it enters concrete channel Photo 13 - Concrete channel between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave

Photo 14 - Floodplain channel in between houses

Legend

- - Storm Lines
- Storm Junction Box
- Storm Manhole
- Storm Drop Inlet

FEMA Flood Zone

A

AE

AE FLOODWAY

X 0.2 PCT ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD HAZARD

Utilities data sourced from City of Winchester GIS data.





Upper Town Run Watershed Photolog



Photo 1 - Floodplain channel prior to diversion structure.



Photo 2 - Flow diversion structure.







Photo 3 - Mapped floodplain channel adjacent to houses.



Photo 4 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses.







Photo 5 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses.



Photo 6 - Dual CMP culvert under Whittier Ave.







Photo 7 - Dual CMP culvert under Whittier Ave.



Photo 8 - Example of additional flow impedance due to private fence and debris accumulation.







Photo 9- Example of additional flow impedance due to private fence and debris accumulation.



Photo 10 - Facing North - Floodway channel before it enters concrete channel.







Photo 11 - Facing South - grassed channel before it enters concrete channel.



Photo 12 - Outlet of storm system from diversion structure upstream.







Photo 13 - Concrete channel between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave.



Photo 14 – Floodplain channel in between houses.







Photo 15 – End of concrete floodway channel.



Photo 16 – Ponding water and debris in floodway.





City of Winchester Comprehensive Plan





Link to the City of Winchester 2022 Comprehensive Plan

https://www.winchesterva.gov/planning/comprehensive-plan







winchesterva.gov/planning/comprehensive-plan
Timecard er eVA Cocogle Maps S 3DEP - FEMA_MSC IS VFRIS IS FEMA_Pretim S LoudounGIS S FEMA_EngLib S FileShare HoursOrg FEMA_LOMK
Winchesterva.gov/planning/comprehensive-plan
Departments Government Parks Contact Co

Approved: March 8, 2022

Sustainability is the key principle of Winchester's future vision. The Comprehensive Plan charts a new path toward environmental, economic and social sustainability. It promotes a walkable community made up of vibrant mixed use neighborhoods that honor Winchester's rich history and use the latest technology. This Plan reflects City Council's philosophy of proactively improving citizens' quality of life. It is a strategy to make vision into reality. **To make Winchester a Community of Choice**.

Comprehensive Plan

Chapt	ers
Cover	Page
Greet	ing
Table	of Contents
Chapt	er 1 - Introduction
Chap	er 2 - Planning Studies
Chapt Objec	er 3 - Vision, Principle, Goals & tives
Chap	er 4 - Economic Sustainability
Chap	er 5 - Environmental Sustainability
Chap	er <mark>6 -</mark> Mobility
Chapt	er 7 - Housing
Chap	er 8 - Community, Facilities & Services
Chap	er 9 - Future Development
Chap Devel	er 10 - Historic Preservation & Urban opment
Chap	er 11 - Ten Geographic Planning Area:
Chap	er 12 - Implementation
Chara	cter Map
Coun	cil, Commission, Staff List





Social Vulnerability Index Score(s) for the Project Area



The average of the 25 SVI areas that make up the City of Winchester is 0.27, which rates as Moderate Social Vulnerability. The City of Winchester is classified as a Low-income geographic area, based on the fact that the median household income is less than 80% of median household income for the State of Virginia. The City of Winchester scores 0.8635 and 0.9242 on the CDC/STSDR's SVI based on nationwide and statewide comparisons respectively. Census tract 51840000201 has a 0.7587 statewide SVI score, indicating a high level of vulnerability.

The Upper Town Run Watershed is primarily located within Areas of Low or Very Low Social Vulnerability, but impacts flooding in Areas of Moderate, High, and Very High Social Vulnerability (per VFRIS), which are located immediately downstream. The 4 SVI areas that this study area (contributing drainage area) intersects have an average score of -1.075. The subarea within the watershed where flooding is impacting properties is primarily located in an SVI area with a score of -0.695.

The areas immediately downstream of this watershed, which are areas that are impacted by flooding from this watershed include Low, Moderate, High, and Very High Social Vulnerability scores ranging from -0.585 to 2.3025.

Upper Town Run Watershed FEMA Flood Zone

Very Low Social Vulnerability AE

A

Legend

VA SVI BlockGroups 2020

Low Social Vulnerability

High Social Vulnerability

Online map service layer.

Moderate Social Vulnerability

Very High Social Vulnerability



QuickFacts

Winchester city (County), Virginia; Virginia

QuickFacts provides statistics for all states and counties, and for cities and towns with a population of 5,000 or more.

Income & Poverty	Winchester city (County), Virginia	Virginia
Population Estimates, July 1, 2022, (V2022)	△ 27,936	⚠ 8,683,619
PEOPLE		
Income & Poverty		
Median household income (in 2021 dollars), 2017-2021	\$61,321	\$80,615
Per capita income in past 12 months (in 2021 dollars), 2017-2021	\$33,908	\$43,267
		_

61,321/80,615 = 76.07% < 80%

\$33,908/\$43,267 = 78.37% < 80%

About datasets used in this table

Value Notes

🖄 Estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels due to methodology differences that may exist between different data sources.

Some estimates presented here come from sample data, and thus have sampling errors that may render some apparent differences between geographies statistically indistinguishable.] Click the Quick Info 🖸 icon to tl row in TABLE view to learn about sampling error.

In Vintage 2022, as a result of the formal request from the state, Connecticut transitioned from eight counties to nine planning regions. For more details, please see the Vintage 2022 release notes available here: Releas

The vintage year (e.g., V2022) refers to the final year of the series (2020 thru 2022). Different vintage years of estimates are not comparable.

Users should exercise caution when comparing 2017-2021 ACS 5-year estimates to other ACS estimates. For more information, please visit the 2021 5-year ACS Comparison Guidance page.

Fact Notes

- (a) (b) Includes persons reporting only one race
- Hispanics may be of any race, so also are included in applicable race categories
- (c) Economic Census - Puerto Rico data are not comparable to U.S. Economic Census data

Value Flags

- Suppressed to avoid disclosure of confidential information D
- Fewer than 25 firms FN Footnote on this item in place of data
- NA Not available
- Suppressed; does not meet publication standards s
- Not applicable Х
- Value greater than zero but less than half unit of measure shown z
- Either no or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest or upper in open ended distribution.
- N Data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.

QuickFacts data are derived from: Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, Current Population Survey, Small Area Health Insurance Estimates, Small Area Income and Pov Estimates, State and County Housing Unit Estimates, County Business Patterns, Nonemployer Statistics, Economic Census, Survey of Business Owners, Building Permits.

CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)



CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)



CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)



,≭ Exit	Full Screen	
C Reset		
ZIP code	(1)	

CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index 2020

WINCHESTER CITY, VIRGINIA



PA OH KY VA NC SC

Social vulnerability refers to a county. CDC/ATSDR SVI 2020 groups community's capacity to prepare for sixteen census-derived factors into and respond to the stress of **four themes** that summarize the hazardous events ranging from extent to which the area is socially natural disasters, such as tornadoes or disease outbreaks, to humancaused threats, such as toxic chemical spills. The CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (CDC/ATSDR ability, ethnicity, and vehicle access. SVI 2020)⁴ County Map depicts the social vulnerability of communities, at all the variables to provide a census tract level, within a specified comprehensive assessment.

vulnerable to disaster. The factors include economic data as well as data regarding education, family characteristics, housing, language Overall Social Vulnerability combines

Vulnerability Lowest

Data Sources: ²CDC/ATSDR/GRASP, U.S. Census Bureau, Esri® StreetMapTM Premium. Notes: 10verall Social Vulnerability: All 16 variables. 3Census tracts with 0 population. 4The CDC/ATSDR SVI combines percentile rankings of US Census American Community Survey (ACS) 2016-2020 variables, for the state, at the census tract level. ⁵Socioeconomic Status: Below 130% Poverty, Unemployed, Housing Costs-to-Income Ratio, No High School Diploma, No Health Insurance. ⁶Household Characteristics: Aged 65 and Over, Aged 17 and Younger, Civilian with a Disability, Single-parent Household, English Language Proficiency. ⁷Race/Ethnicity: Racial/ethnic Minority. ⁸Housing Type/Transportation: Multi-unit, Mobile Homes, Crowding, No Vehicle, Group Quarters. Projection: NAD 1983 Virginia Lambert.

References: Flanagan, B.E., et al., A Social Vulnerability Index for Disaster Management. Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management, 2011. 8(1). CDC/ATSDR SVI web page: https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/placeandhealth/svi/index.html.

CDC/ATSDR SVI 2020 - WINCHESTER CITY, VIRGINIA

Socioeconomic Status⁵





Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

G R A S P Geospatial Research, Analysis, and

Services Program

DRAFT - FOR INTERNAL REVIEW ONLY



CDC/ATSDR SVI Themes



Household Characteristics⁶



Funding Request Authorization





I, Dan Hoffman, City Manager of the City of Winchester, authorize the City of Winchester Deptartment of Public Services to request funding from the 2023 Funding Round of the Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund for the development of a Stormwater Management Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed.

-Signed: Date: 11/9/23

Winchester

City of Winchester, VA

INTERNAL ROUTING FORM

Date received for filing: 119 Control #: 2023-1

Bottom Line Up Front (BLUF)

	POUTE		DATE		INITIALS		Subject:				
DIVISION	SEQ	CODE	In	Out	Concur	Non-Concur	Grant App	CFPF-Upper Tow	n Run		
City Manager	2	S	11923	11 9 23	Ey		Date:	Originator:	Phone #:		
City Attorney							11/7/23	Julie Carter	x1455		
CFO/Support Svcs. Dir.							Due Date:	Originating Dept:			
Comm. of the Rev.							1	Public Services			
Dep. Clerk of Council							Backgrour	ground Info (BLUF):			
Downtown Manager					-		Attached is the grant application for Community Flood Protection Fund - Upper Town Run Watershed - Whittier Acres				
Economic Redev. Dir.											
Emergency Mgt. Dir.											
Financal Svcs. Director							neighborhood project study for your review				
Fire & Rescue Chief							and signa	iture.			
HR Director											
IT Director							Please let	t me know if you ha	ve any		
JDC Superintendent							questions	a. Thanks.			
Parks & Rec Director							1				
PIO/FOIA				· · · · · ·							
Planning Director							1				
Police Chief							1				
Public Services Dir.	1	X		11/9/23	₽E.		1				
Purchasing Agent			· · · · ·		10		1				
Sheriff				· · · · ·			1				
Social Services Dir.											
Tourism Director											
Treasurer											
WPA Exec. Director											
Zoning Administrator							1				
							1				
							1				
		1									
Comments (add initials	after cor	nment):									
NOTE: Pomember to		V ^{-NC-D}		ACTION C	ODES						
tab documents where	X	ORIGINA	ATOR OF R	EQUEST	F	FYI (INFORI	MATION)	Winche	ster		
CM signature is	Α	APPROF	PRIATE AC	TION	С	COMMENTS	3]	Virgin		
needed	S	SIGNAT	URE NEED	ED	R	RECOMME	NDATION	Last updated: Septe	mber 2014		



City of Winchester, Virginia Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Development Community Flood Preparedness Fund (CFPF) Grant Application Package



Submitted by:

City of Winchester 301 E. Cork Street Winchester, VA 22601





COMMUNITY FLOOD PREPAREDNESS FUND GRANT APPLICATION

The City of Winchester is pleased to submit this application for a Community Flood Preparedness Fund (CFPF) Study Grant that has been developed to meet the applicable scoring criteria outlined in Appendix D of the CFPF Grant Manual.

The impact of historical flooding issues within the Upper Town Run Watershed due to the combination of proximity of existing structures to the FEMA Floodplain and Floodway area and deficient stormwater infrastructure has necessitated this study grant. This study will assist the City in addressing one of its many urban and FEMA Floodplain/Floodway flooding issues. If awarded, this grant will be used to evaluate the hydrologic and hydraulic conditions within the watershed that lead to flooding, as well as develop conceptual strategies to prevent and mitigate damages from both channelized and urban flooding. Refer to the Scope of Services included in **Section B** for additional information about the proposed work that will be covered under this study grant and **Section A** for the qualifications of the individuals conducting the study.

Table of Contents

SECTION A - ORGANIZATIONAL DATA

- Scope of Work Narrative and Qualifications of Project Team
- Application Form for Grant and Loan Requests for All Categories

SECTION B - BUDGET DATA

- Project Budget Narrative and Scope of Services
- Budget Narrative Template
- Funding Request Authorization

SECTION C – CHECKLIST REQUIREMENTS

- Completed 2023 CFPF Funding Manual Checklist
- Detailed Map(s) of the Project Area
- FIRMette of the Project Area(s)
- Historic Flood Damage Data / Images
- Copy of the City of Winchester Floodplain Ordinance
- City of Winchester Comprehensive Plan
- Social Vulnerability Index Scores for the Project Areas





SECTION A – ORGANIZATIONAL DATA

- Scope of Work Narrative and Qualifications of Project Team
- Application Form for Grant and Loan Requests for All Categories




Scope of Work Narrative and Qualifications of Project Team





Scope of Work Narrative

This section includes a summary of the project's background, goals, scope of work, and impact on the community, the qualifications of the individuals on the study project team, and the application form for grant and loan requests for all categories of the CFPF grant. Please note that the formal Letter Agreement Proposal with the Scope of Work is included in this Appendix as an attachment.

A goal for the City of Winchester, as outlined in its Comprehensive Plan, is to limit new development in areas prone to flooding and work to mitigate the impacts to existing structures that are already located within flood prone areas. The Upper Town Run Watershed area was highlighted in a project prioritization exercise completed by the City and scored highly due to its potential for great risk reduction, damage reduction, the number of properties that are currently affected, and potential for improvement in the area by both City staff and citizens. The project area was also identified during the City's Resilience Plan efforts due to the proximity of citizen homes and buildings within and adjacent to the FEMA Floodway and FEMA Floodplain associated with Town Run.

The Upper Town Run Watershed is a developed watershed with significant impervious area and areas of insufficient or patchwork stormwater infrastructure. These factors, combined with the fact that some houses and structures are located immediately adjacent to or even partially within drainage features, makes this watershed susceptible to flooding and flood damage. Flooding within the study area is exacerbated by the accumulation of debris along private property fences that intersect open channel conveyance features and result in additional impedance of flow. The proposed alternatives portion of this study will incorporate the need to improve flooding conditions by several methods that account for the current difficulty of access for maintenance by City staff and the private property impedances in general. A goal of this study is to identify several holistic alternatives that alleviate flooding conditions and allow for safe and consistent access for maintenance by City staff.

To achieve the goals of this study, this Watershed will be modeled in a dynamic SWMM software such as InfoWorks ICM or similar to adequately capture the oddities and complexities of the drainage and conveyance networks that ultimately contribute to the flooding in the area. For example, there is a significant diversion structure within the main stem of Town Run that diverts flow through a closed storm system and then rejoins the main Floodway of Town Run farther downstream. This type of drainage network is best modeled via a combination of 1-Dimensional/2-D Dimensional model geometry, while varying in time to simulate the timing of drainage systems diverging and converging.

The 1-D/2-D SWMM model will be able to be leveraged by the City for a variety of purposes in the short and long term. In the short term, this study will enable the City to model and assess the efficacy and impacts of proposed stormwater projects to surrounding areas in the future, as well





as model future development impacts on the Watershed. In the long term, this model will be the framework that the City can expand upon with the goal of eventually having a Citywide Stormwater Management Model and potentially be used to update FEMA Floodmaps in the Watershed with up to date hydrology and model geometry data.

This study feeds into the City's plans to enhance resiliency, per their Resilience Plan, and will help to identify flooding hotspots and areas for high impact stormwater management upgrades both in the short and long term.

This watershed encompasses several elements of critical infrastructure and areas of historical significance within the City. Critical infrastructure that is within or proximate to FEMA Floodplain and Floodway delineations include a portion of the Winchester Medical Center, as well as significant linear footage of both water and sewer lines, with associated manholes and access points. The downstream portion of the watershed is located within and drains to the Historic District along Amherst St. and W Boscawen St. This study will assist the City in protecting critical infrastructure and preserving, protecting, and maintaining areas of historical significance.

Refer to the Scope of Services included in Section B for additional information about the proposed work that will be covered under this study grant and Section A for the qualifications of the individuals conducting the study. The detailed Scope of Services in Section B elaborates on each task, project assumptions and exclusions, and schedule is included. An outline of the Kimley-Horn scope of services for this project is as follows:

- 1. Survey (to be provided by Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson, Inc)
- 2. Site Base Mapping, Project Due Diligence, and Site Visit
- 3. Hydrologic Analysis of the Upper Town Run Watershed
- 4. Development of a Stormwater Management Model for the Upper Town Run Watershed
- 5. Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Drainage Improvements Concept Plan
- 6. Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report
- 7. Meetings & Coordination

The following items are anticipated deliverables for this project's scope of services:

- All developed Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model(s)
- Upper Town Run Watershed Flood and Drainage Improvements 24 x 36 AutoCAD Derived Conceptual Plans
- Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Final Report
- All maps, models, analyses, spreadsheets, and base data utilized for the design (if requested).

This project is estimated to be completed by December 31st, 2024, assuming Kimley-Horn receives notice to proceed by January 31st, 2024 and survey data is received by March 31, 2024. A detailed schedule will be developed for the City outlining project workflow and deliverables





after contract execution is complete. The City of Winchester's Department of Public Services is responsible for managing this project and project progress and budget will be tracked monthly and reported to the City with a monthly progress report containing documentation of services provided. Because the proposed project is a study, no operation or maintenance will be required on behalf of the City or Kimley-Horn. Potential project partners for this project include property owners within the watershed.

Although it will not likely impact the state's resilience to flooding, performing a floodplain improvement study utilizing the tasks and procedures in Kimley-Horn's scope of services will help strengthen the City of Winchester's resilience to flooding on a local, neighborhood, and watershed-wide scale.



Key Individuals

Kimley-Horn brings you a carefully selected team of seasoned professionals who are genuinely committed to the City of Winchester's success. Our team serving the City prides ourselves on maintaining our strong project delivery, reputation for dependability, proactive thinking, and solid, consistent results. We are committed to delivering projects successfully and improving Winchester's resilience to flooding. Brief introductions to our team can be found below and resumes for each team member can be found on subsequent pages.



Jared Hodes, P.E. Project Manager

Jared has more than 7 years of experience in technical H&H modeling with a focus on hydrologic extremes, floodplain management, and municipal flooding issues. Jared has used a variety of H&H software including HEC-RAS, HEC-HMS, XPSWMM, HY-8, FlowMaster, and PondPack to model watersheds, culverts, bridges, dams, stormwater infrastructure, and stormwater BMPs for the purposes of design, retrofitting, municipality permitting, FEMA floodplain permitting, resiliency assessment, and flooding hot spot identification. He has extensive experience using ArcGIS for spatial data analysis, map product creation, online dashboard creation, and compiling and editing ESRI geodatabases for asset management. He has performed field work for stream, stormwater, precipitation, and geophysical measurements and for pollutant sampling projects.



Jon D'Alessandro, P.E.

Jon has more than 14 years of experience in water resources engineering. He is experienced in the design and implementation of stormwater management projects with core expertise in hydrologic and hydraulic (H&H) modeling, Best Management Practice (BMP) design, BMP retrofit analysis and design, floodplain analysis, dam failure analysis, stream restoration design, and stormwater master planning. He has extensive experience using AutoCAD Civil 3D and Arc-GIS, as well as various H&H modeling software including, but not limited to, HEC-HMS, HEC-RAS, PondPack, Flowmaster, SWMM, WMS, and L-THIA. Additionally, he has supported local government municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4) permit compliance programs through TMDL Action Plan Development, Program Plan and Annual Reporting Development, and inspection of stormwater infrastructure. His municipal relationships in Virginia include

Kimley Horn

the City of Fairfax, Loudoun County, City of Winchester, Fairfax County, Stafford County, City of Fredericksburg, and support to the Loudoun County Soil and Water Conservation District.



Juan Campos, P.E.

Juan has more than 6 years of water resources experience primarily focused on support of municipal projects needed to meet local and Chesapeake Bay TMDL POC reduction requirements. Juan's core expertise lies in his knowledge of the approved design protocols required for restoration and retrofit projects in the Commonwealth and the implementation of different strategies and funding mechanisms to assist localities with improving their stormwater resiliency efforts. He has executed successful projects in the City of Fairfax, Loudoun County, City of Fredericksburg, City of Winchester, Prince William County, Fauquier County, and as part of the Virginia State Community College System.



Joe is an experienced urban stormwater management designer in the State of Virginia. His skills include technical use of ArcGIS for land cover analyses and BMP desktop siting studies, and HEC-RAS and HEC-HMS for hydrology and hydraulic analyses of stream channel characteristics. He is experienced in urban drainage assessments including conveyance system modeling, flood studies, and green infrastructure design.



Michelle Manfrey, EIT

Michelle has 2 years of water resources engineering experience. Michelle's experience is primarily focused on supporting municipal projects needed to meet local and Chesapeake TMDL POC reduction requirements as well as spearheading field campaign efforts. Michelle is well-versed in AutoCAD Civil 3D and ArcGIS and has had exposure to numerous H&H modeling software, including, but not limited to, HEC-HMS, HEC-RAS, and PondPack. Michelle has municipal relationships in the City of Fairfax, Loudoun County, City of Winchester, and Fairfax County. **City of Winchester Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Development**





Jared Hodes, P.E. Project Manager

Relevant Experience

Stafford Drive Stream Restoration CLOMR, City of Fairfax, VA -

Project Manager. Jared is responsible for managing a team that is providing hydrologic and hydraulic modeling services for approximately 2,400 linear feet of stream restoration along the North Fork Accotink Creek. As part of the permitting process, a CLOMR submittal package was prepared for FEMA approval. The tasks associated with the CLOMR package include HEC-RAS model development to reflect existing and proposed grading reflective of the restoration, evaluation of land cover changes, and utilization of model results to prepare a FEMA MT-2 application.

The Lakes Dam Inundation Study and Emergency Action Plan

Development, City of Fayetteville, NC — Project Manager. Jared performed a dam breach analysis and subsequently provided inundation mapping for The Lakes Dam, which is classified as a high hazard dam. As part of this project, Jared updated the existing Emergency Action Plan based on the results of the inundation study. 1/3 Probable Maximum Precipitation and Sunny Day breaches were modeled using HEC-RAS 1-D unsteady methodology. The project resulted in successful approval through North Carolina Dam Safety.

Fairfax County Floodplain Use Determination (FPUD) Reviews and Other Services, Fairfax County, VA — Project Manager. Jared is leading a team contracted to provide engineering augmentation services for county reviews of FPUD requests. This includes utilizing GIS-based tools and detailed Zoning Ordinance understanding to assess if the proposed work is approvable under Zoning Ordinance statutes. This work also includes cost estimation in accordance with FEMA NFIP Substantial Improvement guidelines.

East Durham Water Sewer and Belt Street Stormwater,

Durham, NC — Lead Engineer. Kimley-Horn evaluated approximately 68,500 linear feet of waterlines, 56,000 linear feet of sanitary sewer lines, and 9,000 linear feet of stormwater pipes via in-field and CCTV footage assessments. Designed approximately 2,100 linear feet of 15- through 66-inch stormwater infrastructure. A combined 1-D/2-D XPSWMM model was developed for a larger and more complex portion of the stormwater network with known flooding issues to better assess the existing system's performance. The model was field

Special Qualifications

- Experienced water resources engineer
- Applied Fluvial Geomorphology (Rosgen Level1)

Professional Credentials

- Master of Science, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Duke University, 2016
- Bachelor

 of Science,
 Atmospheric,
 Oceanic, and
 Environmental
 Sciences, University
 of California, Los
 Angeles, 2014
- Professional Engineer in Virginia and North Carolina

verified in an intense storm event and was then used to help design the proposed system. Civil 3D was utilized for iterative pipe network design and plan set development and hydraulic performance was confirmed in the XPSWMM model.

Lakeside Trail Phases, Henrico County, VA — Lead Engineer. Kimley-Horn is designing 4 phases of the overall Lakeside Trail project in Henrico County. Jared has led the floodplain permitting and modeling effort to provide Henrico County with analyses of the floodplains associated with North Run and Upham Brook in relation to the multiphase trail design. Jared guided iterative trail design updates to achieve a No-Rise for the County. The County has also asked Kimley-Horn to incorporate an additional adjacent project into Phase 1 of the analysis, since they have been so pleased with the coordination, quality of deliverables, and responsiveness of the team. This project involves coordination with Henrico County and City of Richmond Floodplain Administrators, and VDOT. There are multiple funding sources for this work including County funds, bonds, VDOT, and ARPA funding, which necessitates hitting schedule milestones to bid the work for construction in accordance with the various funding sources.

Holly Springs Road Widening Phase 2 CLOMR, Holly Springs, NC — Lead Engineer. Jared provided engineering services to the Town of Holly Springs to evaluate the effects of a proposed road widening on the floodplain. One of the main project objectives was to address the recurring flooding at the crossing of Middle Creek. Kimley-Horn designed the conversion of a triple barrel box culvert to a 150 linear foot, 3-span bridge to elevate the roadway profile to avoid roadway overtopping in the 100-year flood event. This work included HEC-RAS model development and modification using best available public data, survey data, proposed grading, land use changes, and FEMA MT-2 application preparation. Effective and Preliminary Floodway remapping was required.

Loudoun Soil and Water Conservation District (LSWCD) Floodplain Services,

Loudoun County, VA — Project Manager. Jared is responsible for leading a team that provides floodplain analyses using GIS-based tools and available FEMA models, performing site visits to characterize potential impacts on the floodplain, and coordinating with the county's floodplain administrator to facilitate permit approvals through No-Rise designations. The County has a cost sharing program to help partially fund riparian tree plantings or livestock control fence installations that will lead to improved floodplain management. These projects had previously been on hold due to impasses encountered during floodplain permitting. Kimley-Horn was hired to assist LSWCD navigate the permitting process for these projects without making them cost prohibitive. Kimley-Horn has helped LSWCD successfully navigate the permitting process in a cost-effective manner for all projects worked on thus far.

Junction and Ferrell Industrial & Beth Page Apartments No-Rise Studies, Durham, NC — Project Manager. Jared managed a team that provided engineering services on two sites (for Scannell Properties LLC and Buckingham Companies respectively) with three new roadway crossings in the floodplain. This work required HEC-RAS analyses to design the culvert crossings such that a No-Rise could be achieved for the non-encroachment areas, effective floodplain, and future conditions floodplain along Panther Creek Trib. 1 and Unnamed Trib. to Stirrup Iron Creek Tributary D, per Durham County standards.

City of Winchester Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Development



Jon D'Alessandro, P.E.

Project Engineer

Relevant Experience

Lake Drive Dam and Roadway Preliminary Engineering Design,

Loudoun County, VA — Senior Project Manager and Senior Engineer. Jon is leading an effort to develop a preliminary design that will improve Lake Drive from Thomas Avenue to the terminus of Lake Drive with the design goal of future road acceptance into the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) Secondary System of Roadways. Jon also is leading a team that is performing an evaluation of rehabilitation, repair, and retrofit options for the pond, dam, and downstream receiving channels at the 30 percent design level. The dam and roadway overtop multiple times annually, and the dam is experiencing significant downstream erosion at the toe of the dam and dam abutments. The dam impoundment area also is exhibiting enhanced sediment loading and erosion along the two main inflow channels.

Willow Lake Dam and Spillway Rehabilitation Plan/Pond Dredging and Retrofit, Loudoun County, VA — Senior Project Manager and Senior Engineer. Jon is the project manager and senior engineer responsible for leading a team in the development of a dam and spillway rehabilitation plan to repair the Willow Lake Dam and accompanying principal and emergency spillway channels. As part of this project, Jon and his team are also providing storm sewer system realignment design services to modify the discharge location of a 48-inch storm sewer pipe away from the toe of the dam to help with embankment erosion.

During the dam and spillway repair design, Jon worked with the County to identify if the corresponding Willow Pond needed maintenance and potential enhancement. From the joint analysis with County, Jon is managing a team that is preparing an accompanying Willow Lake Dredging and Pond Retrofit Design Plan that will be paired with the dam and spillway rehabilitation plan. It is anticipated that once fully designed and constructed, the conversion, enhancement, and retrofit of Willow Lake will provide Phosphorous, Nitrogen, and Total Suspended Solid (TSS) removal capabilities that will assist the County in meeting the pollutant load reduction requirements set forth in their municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) permit and Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan. Furthermore, the proposed dredging of the pond will return the pond to its original design volume and will help with pond maintenance and the aesthetics of the community.

Ashby Pond Conservancy - Pond Retrofit Final Design Services,

Fairfax, VA — Senior Project Manager and Senior Engineer. Jon is leading an effort to finalize design plans for the Ashby Pond Conservancy – Pond Retrofit project. When completed the project will restore, enhance, and retrofit Ashby Pond in the City of Fairfax. This project also includes restoration and stabilization of both inflow channels that drain to the pond. The pond was designed in 2010, constructed in 2011, and does not meet Technical II.B criteria design standards for Wet Ponds outlined in BMP Clearinghouse Specification No. 14.

The project is intended to provide Phosphorous, Nitrogen, and Sediment reductions within the Accotink Creek, Potomac River, and Chesapeake Bay Watershed. The project has duplicative benefits towards assisting the City in meeting their MS4 Permit requirements for Chesapeake Bay TMDL Pollutant of Concern Reductions, as well as assists the City in implementing one of the Means and Methods outlined in their Local TMDL Action Plan for the Benthic/Sediment

Special Qualifications

• More than 14 years of experience in water resources engineering.

Professional Credentials

- Professional Engineer in Virginia
- Bachelor of Science, Biological Systems Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2008
- Bachelor of Science, Biology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2008
- Applied Fluvial Geomorphology (Rosgen Level 1)
- River Morphology and Applications (Rosgen Level 2)
- VDEQ Stormwater Management Program Administrator
- VDEQ Stormwater Management Inspector

TMDL for Accotink Creek. Furthermore, this project is a community centerpiece with a trail that encompasses the pond. Once the pond is retrofitted, the trail around the pond will be paired with signage which will provide Public Education and Outreach opportunities for the City which will help address Minimum Control Measure 1 (MCM-1) requirements of the MS-4 Permit.

Staff Augmentation Services for Review of Floodplain Use Determination Request Package Submittals, Fairfax County, VA — Program Manager. Jon is the program manager for a team that provides staff augmentation services related to the review of County Floodplain Use Determination Request Package submissions and re-submissions received by the County.

*Riverbend Stream Restoration Design, Loudoun County, VA — Senior Project Manager and Senior Engineer. Jon was the project manager and senior engineer responsible for engineering design and analysis for a comprehensive stream and outfall restoration project totaling 3,125 linear feet of stream and five outfall channels totaling at 800 linear feet. The design and corresponding engineering analyses utilized natural channel design (NCD) restoration techniques to repair extreme channel erosion and aimed to minimize grading impacts to the floodplain fringe to preserve existing riparian areas. The outfall restorations were credited and designed in accordance with Protocol 5 *"Recommendations for Crediting Outfall and Gully Stabilization Projects in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed."*

*Moorefield Station East Pond - Dam Safety Compliance Services, Loudoun County, VA — Senior Project Manager and Senior Engineer. Jon assisted Loudoun County with Dam Safety Compliance Services for the Moorefield Station – East Pond Dam. The dam that impounds the East Pond is a State Regulated, High Hazard Dam classified through the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) dam safety program. Jon provided engineering and consulting services to assist the County in transferring ownership from the Claude Moore Charitable Foundation to the County. As part of this project Jon recreated existing inundation zone mapping, performed an update of the existing Moorefield Station East Pond – Emergency Action Plan (EAP), researched and provided recommendation(s) for installation of pond and dam gauging equipment, and provided support services for transfer of dam ownership.

*Lake Carroll - Dam Failure Analysis, Dam Inundation Zone Mapping, and Design Alternatives Analysis, Stafford County, VA — Assistant Project Manager and Lead Engineer. Jon was the lead engineer and assistant project manager for the Lake Carroll Dam Failure Analysis and Dam Design Alternatives Project. Jon was responsible for development of hydrologic, hydraulic, and dam breach modeling input parameters to determine different breach scenarios, derive breach hydrographs, and perform HEC-RAS unsteady state routing of the breach hydrographs to develop respective breach inundation boundaries. Jon was also tasked to develop conceptual design alternatives that could modify the dam to meet Virginia Dam Safety requirements for High Hazard Dams.

*2nd Phase Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan Development, Fairfax, VA — Senior Project Manager. Jon was responsible for the development of an update of the city's Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan in accordance with Part II.A.11 of the MS4 General Permit. Jon managed a team and provided technical expertise to develop the city's estimated 2nd permit cycle Chesapeake Bay TMDL Pollutant of Concern (POC) Reduction requirements. Jon also was responsible for outlining and developing means and methods to help address the city's 2nd Phase POC reduction goals.

*Smith Run – Pond D Dam Failure Analysis, Dam Inundation Zone Mapping, and Design Alternatives Analysis, City of Fredericksburg, VA — Senior Project Manager and Engineer of Record for the Dam. Jon prepared a dam failure analysis for the Smith Run – Pond D Dam in accordance with current VA DCR Dam Safety Regulation requirements. As part of this project, Jon managed a team that developed design alternatives analysis to evaluate repair/renovation alternatives needed to meet spillway design requirements in accordance with State Regulations. Also, Jon provided Virginia Dam Safety services to assist the city with the Virginia DCR regulatory requirements related to the Smith Run – Pond D Dam.

*Indicates project completed prior to joining Kimley-Horn

City of Winchester Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Development





Juan Campos, P.E.

Project Engineer

Relevant Experience

Stafford Drive Stream Restoration Construction Plans, Fairfax, VA -

Project Manager. Juan managed the design and development of the construction documents for approximately 2,400 linear feet of stream restoration and two outfall restorations along the North Fork of Accotink Creek. As part of the project the following services were performed: threatened & endangered species study, FEMA Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) submission, development of a Stormwater Construction General Permit Registration Statement (VAR10), development of a Stormwater Pollutant Prevention Plan (SWPPP), development of a USACE Nationwide Permit 27, and three community outreach presentations to obtain constituents and public official's support.

2022 Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund – Resiliency Plan and Mosby Woods Study, Fairfax, VA — Project Manager. Juan managed, prepared, and assembled two grant applications packages for the 2022 Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund – Round 3. The first grant application was submitted for the development of a Resilience Plan to assist the City of Fairfax in the development and implementation of a strategy to reduce localized flooding. Once approved, the Resilience Plan will also be used as part of the FEMA Community Rating System (CRS) program under Activity 510 – Floodplain Management Planning. The second grant application was submitted in the Studies category for the evaluation of the effects of the North Fork of Accotink Creek floodplain on the Mosby Woods Condominiums. Both grants were selected and awarded funding as part of Round 3.

Outfall and Gully Stabilization Project (OGSP) 100% Construction Plans, Fairfax, VA — Project Manager. Juan managed the design and development of construction plan sets for three outfall restoration projects on separate sites. The design was done in accordance with the Unified Guide for Crediting Stream and Floodplain Restoration Projects in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed. The projects were conducted to assist the City of Fairfax in meeting their Chesapeake Bay Phase II TMDL Pollutant of Concern (POC) reduction requirements as well as satisfy the City's Benthic (Sediment) Local TMDL Reduction Requirements for Accotink Creek.

Stormwater and Flooding Resilience Plan Development,

City of Winchester, VA — Project Manager. Juan managed the preparation and submittal of a Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund (CFPF) grant for the development of a Resiliency Plan. The grant application was successful and the City received a 90%/10% match from DCR. The Resilience Plan is now being developed to assist the City in project prioritization and implementation to reduce their localized flooding. The Resilience Plan will serve as the base document for future CFPF grant applications and allow the City to apply for project related grants to offset infrastructure improvements costs.

Tye River Stream Restoration Guidance Document, Nelson County,

VA — Project Manager. Juan managed the design and development of guidance documents for approximately 4,350 linear feet of stream restoration. The stream restoration was a critical component of a large private stream mitigation credit bank. Minimal grading practices along with structural solutions were implemented to help minimize the impact to the private property.

Special Qualifications

- 6+ years of water resources engineering experience with a focus in stream & outfall restoration, pond enhancement and retrofit, hydrology & hvdraulics, design implementation and construction administration, flood resiliency planning and improvements, and grant funding assistance.
- Applied Fluvial Geomorphology (Rosgen Level 1)

Professional Credentials

- Master of Science, Civil Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2016
- Bachelor of Science, Civil Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2015
- Professional Engineer in Virginia

City of Winchester Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Development





Joe Arizzi, P.E. Project Engineer

Relevant Experience

Kimley»Horn

Loudoun County Department of General Services (DGS) On-Call MS4 Support, Loudoun County, VA — Project Engineer. Joe actively assists Loudoun County's DGS department in providing municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) program support. This work has consisted of various tasks orders including watershed planning for quality and quantity control which includes identifying projects for stream and outfall restorations, BMP retrofits, and infrastructure improvements. Project evaluation for this client has included assessing projects for both phosphorus, nitrogen and TSS reductions associated with the Chesapeake Bay TMDL and TSS reductions for Loudoun County's local TMDL. The assessments include use of GIS to identify project locations based on hydrologic, environmental and developmental restrictions, ease of implementation, and constructibility.

Greening of Lincoln, City of Falls Church, Falls Church, VA — Project Manager. Joe is actively leading a PCSWMM analysis for a 250-acre watershed with known flooding issues in the City of Falls Church. This project is a hybrid stormwater – roadway capital improvement project which also is evaluating traffic calming measures and roadway features along an existing corridor within this watershed. As part of Phase 2 of this project, recommendations and solutions will be presented which incorporate GI along the corridor to act as both community assets and stormwater treatment practices.

Zumot Data Center, Manassas, VA — Task Manager. Joe is the task manager for a data center development in the City of Manassas that included the relocation of an existing stream around the proposed data center, along with the design of several bioretention facilities, dry ponds, and underground detention facilities to meet VSMP requirements for the development. Joe oversaw the design, modeling, and permitting of this task for the approximately 18-acre site in the city.

GMU MS4 and Annual Standards and Specifications Program Mock Audit, Fairfax, VA — Project Engineer. Joe completed a comprehensive review of George Mason's MS4 Program to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements in anticipation of a DEQ audit. This review consisted of the entire program, evaluating each individual MCM and the Annual Standards and Specifications, and determining any outstanding information that should be incorporated to comply with their MS4 permit.

*Fairfax County MSMD Facility Inspections and Reporting, Fairfax, VA — Task Manager. Joe oversaw a team of 15 stormwater management inspectors responsible for the inspection of privately and publicly owned stormwater management facilities as part of the Maintenance and Stormwater Management Division's inspection program within Fairfax County. Joe managed inspection assignments and tracked progress through ArcCollector. Joe was responsible for the quality control of completed inspection reports, including the review of identified maintenance issues and recommendations for remediation in support of Fairfax County's MS4 Requirements.

*Indicates project completed prior to joining Kimley-Horn.

Special Qualifications

 Joe is an accomplished water resources engineer providing MS4 Support Services in Virginia for nearly a decade

Professional Credentials

- Bachelor of Science, Environmental Engineering, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, 2014
- Professional Engineer in Virginia
- Rosgen II Certified
- VDEQ Stormwater Management Inspector and Plan Reviewer

CFPF Grant Application Package

City of Winchester Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Development





Michelle Manfrey, EIT Project Engineer

Relevant Experience

Lake Drive Dam and Roadway Preliminary Engineering Design,

Loudoun County, VA — Project Engineer. Michelle is assisting in evaluating rehabilitation, repair, and retrofit options for the Lake Drive pond, dam, and downstream receiving channels. The dam and roadway overtop multiple times annually and the dam is experiencing significant downstream erosion at the toe of the dam and dam abutments. The impoundment area is exhibiting enhanced sediment loading and erosion along the two main inflow channels. This project has required a considerable amount of coordination due to the risk involved as well as the project crossing County lines, HOA-owned property, and privately owned property. In addition to alternatives development, Michelle has been at the forefront of that coordination.

Ashby Pond Wet Pond Enhancement Plans (90% Design), City of Fairfax, VA — Project Engineer. The goal of this project is to restore, enhance, and retrofit Ashby Pond to return the pond to its original design volume and achieve current design standards, as well as restore and stabilize both inflow channels that drain to the pond. Michelle has provided direct engineering support for this project in the form of developing engineering plans, performing hydrologic analyses, and utilizing Quality Assurance and Quality Control protocols throughout the design process. Michelle has also provided auxiliary support by preparing SLAF Grant materials, performing field reconnaissance to provide site status updates, and provided field mapping services for ancillary service.

Accotink Creek Stream Stability Assessment and Prioritization Plan, Fairfax, VA — Project Engineer. The goal of this project is to update the 2008 Accotink Creek Stream Stability Assessment and Prioritization Plan with current stream assessment information. Michelle collaborated with the City to develop a GIS-based platform that allows multiple types of data to be collected simultaneously for rapid assessment of stream geometry and attribute data to automatically prioritize reaches of Accotink Creek in terms of their restoration potential. In addition to her role in its development, Michelle has been instrumental in the field implementation of the data collection platform. Michelle leads and manages data collection efforts, interfaces with the City to communicate progress, and continues to work with the City to refine the platform and create a user-friendly interface and dashboard.

Fairfax County Floodplain Use Determination (FPUD) Reviews and Other Services, Fairfax County, VA — Project Engineer. Kimley-Horn has been contracted to provide engineering augmentation services for county reviews of FPUD requests. Michelle intakes and reviews these cases, which includes utilizing GIS-based tools, detailed Zoning Ordinance understanding, and cost estimation in accordance with FEMA NFIP Substantial Improvement guidelines, to assess if the proposed work is approvable under Zoning Ordinance statutes.

Special Qualifications

 Applied Fluvial Geomorphology (Rosgen Level 1)

Professional Credentials

- Bachelor of Science, Environmental Engineering, University of Florida, 2021
- Engineerin-Training, 1100025639, FL



Application Form for Grant and Loan Requests for All Categories



Applicants must have prior approval from the Department to submit <u>applications</u>, forms, and <u>supporting documents by mail in lieu of the WebGrants portal.</u>

Appendix A: Application Form for Grant and Loan Requests for All Categories

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund Grant Program

Name of Local Government:

Category Being Applied for (check one):

□ Capacity Building/Planning

Project

🕅 Study

NFIP/DCR Community Identification Number (CID) <u>510173</u>							
Name of Authorized Official and Title: <u>Dan Hoffman, City Manager</u>							
Signature of Authorized Official:							
Mailing Address (1): <u>Rouss City Hall</u>							
Mailing Address (2): 15 North Cameron Street							
City: Winchester State: Virginia Zip: 22601							
Telephone Number: (<u>540</u>) <u>772-3409</u> Cell Phone Number: ()							
Email Address:							
Contact and Title (If different from authorized official): Kelly Henshaw, City Engineer							

Application Form CFPF| 1

/lailing Address (2):				
ity: Winchester	State: _	VA	Zip: _	22601
elephone Number: (<u>540)</u> <u>77</u>	3-1340	Cell Phone	Number: (_)
mail Address: kelly.hens	haw@wincl	hester.go	v	

Is the proposal in this application intended to benefit a low-income geographic area as defined

in the Part 1 Definitions? Yes \underline{X} No _____

Categories (select applicable activities that will be included in the project and used for scoring

criterion):

Capacity Building and Planning Grants

- □ Floodplain Staff Capacity.
- □ Resilience Plan Development
 - □ Revisions to existing resilience plans and modifications to existing comprehensive and hazard mitigation plans.
 - □ Resource assessments, planning, strategies, and development.
 - Policy management and/or development.
 - Stakeholder engagement and strategies.

0 Other: _____

Study Grants (Check All that Apply)

Studies to aid in updating floodplain ordinances to maintain compliance with the NFIP, or to incorporate higher standards that may reduce the risk of flood damage. This must include establishing processes for implementing the ordinance, including but not limited to, permitting, record retention, violations, and variances. This may include revising a floodplain ordinance when the community is getting new Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), updating a floodplain ordinance to include floodplain setbacks, freeboard, or other

higher standards, RiskMAP public noticing requirements, or correcting issues identified in a Corrective Action Plan.

- Revising other land use ordinances to incorporate flood protection and mitigation goals, standards, and practices.
- □ Conducting hydrologic and hydraulic (H&H) studies of floodplains. *Changes to the base flood,* as demonstrated by the H&H must be submitted to FEMA within 6 months of the data becoming available.
- □ Studies and Data Collection of Statewide and Regional Significance.
- □ Revisions to existing resilience plans and modifications to existing comprehensive and hazard.
- If the relevant flood prevention and protection project or study.

Project Grants and Loans (Check All that Apply – Hybrid Solutions will include items from both

the "Nature-Based" and "Other" categories)

Nature-based solutions

- Acquisition of property (or interests therein) and/or structures for purposes of allowing floodwater inundation, strategic retreat of existing land uses from areas vulnerable to flooding; the conservation or enhancement of natural flood resilience resources; or acquisition of structures, provided the acquired property will be protected in perpetuity from further development, and where the flood mitigation benefits will be achieved as a part of the same project as the property acquisition.
- □ Wetland restoration.
- □ Floodplain restoration.
- □ Construction of swales and settling ponds.
- □ Living shorelines and vegetated buffers.
- Permanent conservation of undeveloped lands identified as having flood resilience value by *ConserveVirginia* Floodplain and Flooding Resilience layer or a similar data driven analytic tool, or the acquisition of developed land for future conservation.
- Dam removal.
- □ Stream bank restoration or stabilization.
- □ Restoration of floodplains to natural and beneficial function.

Other Projects

- □ Structural floodwalls, levees, berms, flood gates, structural conveyances.
- □ Storm water system upgrades.
- □ Medium and large-scale Low Impact Development (LID) in urban areas.

Developing flood warning and response systems, which may include gauge installation, to
notify residents of potential emergency flooding events.

- Dam restoration.
- □ Beneficial reuse of dredge materials for flood mitigation purposes
- □ Removal or relocation of structures from flood-prone areas where the land will not be returned to open space.
- Acquisition of property (or interests therein) and/or structures for purposes of allowing floodwater inundation, strategic retreat of existing land uses from areas vulnerable to flooding; the conservation or enhancement of natural flood resilience resources; or acquisition of structures, provided the acquired property will be protected in perpetuity from further development, and where the flood mitigation benefits will not be achieved as a part of the same project as the property acquisition.
- □ Other project identified in a DCR-approved Resilience Plan.

ocation of Project or Activity (Include Maps): <u>See Appendix C</u>
IFIP Community Identification Number (CID#) : <u>510173</u>
s Project Located in an NFIP Participating Community? XYes 🗆 No
s Project Located in a Special Flood Hazard Area? X Yes 🗆 No
lood Zone(s) (If Applicable): Zone AE Floodway, Zone AE, Zone X Shaded
51069C0208E, 51069C0204E lood Insurance Rate Map Number(s) (If Applicable): <u>51069C0212E, 51069C0216E</u>
otal Cost of Project: \$300,001.46

Total Amount Requested \$270,000.00

Amount Requested as Grant <u>\$270,000.00</u>

Amount Requested as Project Loan (not including short-term loans for up-front costs) *Not Applicable*

Amount Requested as Short-Term Ioan for Up-Front Costs (not to exceed 20% of amount requested as Grant) <u>Not Applicable</u>

For projects, planning, capacity building, and studies in low-income geographic areas: Are you requesting that match be waived? \Box Yes **X**No (**Not Applicable**)

Additional Information for Loan Requests Requested Loan Security: <u>Not Applicable</u>

(General Obligation, Lease, Revenue, Special Fund Revenue, and/or Moral obligation from other government entity)

Desired loan term: <u>Not Applicable</u>

Since the date of your latest financial statements, did the applicant issue any new debt? <u>Not Applicable</u> (If yes, provide details)

Is there any pending or potential litigation by or against the applicant? <u>Not Applicable</u>

Attach five years of current audited financial statements (FY18-22) or refer to website if posted (Not necessary for existing VRA borrowers) *Not Applicable*

Attach FY2024 adopted budget or refer to website *Not Applicable* Attach current Capital Improvement Plan *Not Applicable* Attach adopted Financial Policies *Not Applicable* Attach a list of the ten largest employers in the Applicant's jurisdiction.

Not Applicable

Attach a list of the ten largest taxpayers in the Applicant's jurisdiction *Not Applicable*

Application Form CFPF| 5



SECTION B – BUDGET DATA

- Project Budget Narrative and Scope of Services
 - Budget Narrative Template
 - Funding Request Authorization





Project Budget Narrative and Scope of Services





A detailed budget narrative is included below and contains the required information outlined in the 2023 Funding Manual for the Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund. This section also includes the Kimley-Horn Scope of Services to develop the Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan.

Estimated total project cost: The total identified project cost to complete the Featherbed Lane Floodplain Improvements Study is \$300,001.46.

Amount of funds requested from the Fund: The total amount of grant assistance sought from the Fund is \$270,000.00. A detailed breakdown of how this funding is proposed to be allocated is shown in this section as an attached Scope of Services.

Amount of funds available: The amount of funds available through this project's funding source (\$3,200,000.00) is greater total estimated project cost of \$300,001.46. The following documentation is included in in the following attached documents:

- City of Winchester FY2024 Annual Budget- Stormwater Improvements Citywide
 - City of Winchester FY2024 Outcome Based Budget
 - City of Winchester FY2024 Annual Budget Stormwater Utility Fund

<u>Authorization to request for funding</u>: A signed statement from the City of Winchester, City Manager authorizing the request for funding for this project has been included in this section.





SUMMARY

PROJECT TITLE: Storm Drainage Improvements

DEPARTMENT: Public Services

BUDGET CODE: 312-4131-441.83-71

JUSTIFICATION: Improves existing service

START DATE (FY): 2024

END DATE (FY): Ongoing

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Numerous projects to improve the stormwater system throughout the City that will be funded by the Stormwater Utility recently approved by City Council.

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

Goal 5 - Support the City's high-performing operations with effective communication, innovation, and sound fiscal policies.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES / STATUS:

Projects are necessary to help alleviate flooding and ensure that the City meets the requirements of its stormwater discharge permit.

COST ESTIMATE

Cost Estimate - Stormwater Improvements Citywide

Itemization Descripti	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-2
Construction	\$0	\$2,200,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,00
Planning	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,00
Land	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,00
TOTAL	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,00
•	-					•

FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Sources - Stormwater Improvements Citywide

Object (Duplicate)	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-:
Other Financing Sour	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,00
Federal	\$0	\$200,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$0	5
TOTAL	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,00
•						۱.

Note: Reference period 2022-23 includes all prior years and 2028-29 includes all future years.

OPERATING IMPACTS

Overall, these projects will help reduce maintenance costs of the stormwater system.

OBB - Variance

	EV 2024	EV 2022		FY 2023		FY 2024	EV 2024
Collapse All	Actual		2022-23 Actual	Adopted	2023-24 Actual	Adopted	Variance
	Actual	Actual		Budget		Budget	Valiance
▼ PERSONNEL	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 57,702	\$ 430,900	\$ 430,900
► SALARIES & WAGES	0	0	0	0	40,529	289,589	289,589
▶ OVERTIME	0	0	0	0	2,281	40,000	40,000
▶ FICA	0	0	0	0	3,125	22,134	22,134
► RETIREMENT	0	0	0	0	4,556	30,175	30,175
► GROUP INSURANCE	0	0	0	0	586	3,880	3,880
► DISABILITY INSURANCE	0	0	0	0	33	1,058	1,058
► WORKER'S COMPENSATION	0	0	0	0	629	5,534	5,534
▼ OTHER BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	5,932	38,327	38,327
BENEFITS ADMIN FEE	0	0	0	0	152	1,532	1,532
HEALTH INSURANCE	0	0	0	0	5,781	36,795	36,795
▶ VRS HEALTH INS CREDIT	0	0	0	0	31	203	203
- CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	0	0	0	0	32,016	161,750	161,750
▼ PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	0	0	0	0	3,035	50,000	50,000
ENGINEERING & ARCHITECT	0	0	0	0	3,035	50,000	50,000
▼ MAINTENANCE SERVICE	0	0	0	0	28,981	111,500	111,500
REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	0	19,141	100,000	100,000
VEHICLE REPAIRS/MAINT.	0	0	0	0	0	10,000	10,000
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWAR	0	0	0	0	9,840	1,500	1,500
PRINTING & BINDING	0	0	0	0	0	150	150
▼ PURCHASE SERV OTHER GOVMT	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
SANITARY LANDFILL USAGE	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
▼ INTERNAL SERVICES	0	0	0	0	0	21,000	21,000
MOTOR POOL INTERNAL SVC	0	0	0	0	0	21,000	21,000
▼ OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	12,375	134,150	134,150
▶ UTILITIES	0	0	0	0	407	0	0
► COMMUNICATIONS	0	0	0	0	0	4,000	4,000
▶ TRAVEL	0	0	0	0	0	500	500
▶ MISCELLANEOUS	0	0	0	0	3,000	3,200	3,200
▼ MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	8,968	126,450	126,450
OFFICE SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	200	200
FOOD & FOOD SERVICE	0	0	0	0	0	200	200
LANDSCAPNG/AGRICULT SUPPL	0	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000
MEDICAL & LABORATORY	0	0	0	0	0	200	200
BLDG REPAIR/MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	0	8,968	100,000	100,000
VEHICLE & EQUIPMENT FUELS	0	0	0	0	0	500	500
VEHICLE/EQUIPMT SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000
BOOKS & SUBSCRIPTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
OTHER OPERATING SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	250	250
STREETS & SIDEWALKS	0	0	0	0	0	20,000	20,000
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWAR	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000
- CAPITAL CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	11,700,000	11,700,000

Winchester / OBB - Variance

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	2022-23 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	2023-24 Actual	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
	0	0	0	0	0	3,200,000	3,200,000
STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS	0	0	0	0	0	3,200,000	3,200,000
- ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	200,000	200,000
MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT	0	0	0	0	0	200,000	200,000
▼ CITY CIP PROJECTS	0	0	0	0	0	8,300,000	8,300,000
N CAMERON/KENT ST IMPROV	0	0	0	0	0	8,300,000	8,300,000
Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 102,093	\$ 12,447,800	\$ 12,447,800

Data filtered by Expenses, STORMWATER UTILITY FUND, STORMWATER UTILITY and exported on September 26, 2023. Created with OpenGov

Set

f

2

STORMWATER UTILITY FUND

FY 2024 ANNUAL BUDGET

DESCRIPTION

The City is facing significant challenges to fund capital improvement projects related to stormwater management, meeting regulatory requirements related to the Chesapeake Bay, and the ongoing maintenance of an aging stormwater drainage system. Therefore, the City established a stormwater utility in July 2022 and approved a fee schedule in April 2023 [effective january], 12023. A stormwater utility is a mechanism where a fee is charged to users (all properties with impervious surface) for providing services related strictly to stormwater management. Utility is a mechanism where a fee is charged to users (all properties with impervious surface) for providing services related strictly to stormwater management. Utility users.

STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal 2: Building Winchester - Establish the foundations for a vibrant community by stimulating development of affordable housing, revitalizing statist stea, and building smart infrastructure Goal 5: Supporting Winchester - Support the City's high-performing operations with effective and open communication, innovation, and sound fiscal policies.

REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE SUMMARY

Stormwater Utility Revenue by Category

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	2022 - 23 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	2023 - 24 Actual	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
Local	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000
State	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000
Federal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
Other Financing Sources	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000
TOTAL	\$0	50	\$0	S Q	\$0	\$13,400,000	\$13,400,000

Stormwater Utility Expenses by Catergory

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
PERSONNEL	50	\$0	\$0	\$430,900	\$430,900
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$161,750	\$161,750
INTERNAL SERVICES	50	\$0	\$0	\$21,000	\$21,000
OTHER CHARGES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$134,150	\$134,150
CAPITAL CHARGES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,700,000	\$11,700,000
OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$952,200	\$952,200
TOTAL	50	50	\$0	\$13,400,000	\$13,400,000
Data Lipdated Aug 10, 2023, 8:09 AM					View Report C

Data Updated Aug 10, 2023, 8:09 AM

STAFFING SUMMARY

Storm Water Fund

Division Description	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024
FTE Amount					
Stormwater	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
FTE AMOUNT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	1.00
Data Lipdated Aug 10, 2023, 2:98 PM					View Report

lete Updered Aug 10, 2023, 2.38 PM

REVENUE DETAIL

Storm Water Utility Fund Revenue Detail

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	2022 - 23 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	2023 - 24 Actual	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
REVENUE FROM LOCAL SOURCE				12 42		W	
REVENUE-USE OF MONEY/PROP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000
CHARGES FOR SERVICES	50	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$0
REVENUE FROM LOCAL SOURCE TOTAL	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000
REVENUE FROM COMMONWEALTH							
STATE CATEGORICAL FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000
REVENUE FROM COMMONWEALTH TOTAL	50	50	50	50	50	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000

REVENUE FROM FEDERAL GOVT							
CATEGORICAL AID	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL GOVT TOTAL	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES							
NON-REVENUE RECEIPTS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000
TOTAL	\$0	50	\$0	50	\$0	\$13,400,000	\$13,400,000
Data Updated Sep 25, 2023, 8:14 AM							View Report D

EXPENDITURE DETAIL

	÷	è,	200	14	 23	141	í÷.	- 24	in a i			100	1	
2		u		 0	 1.5			1 2 4	μe	12/2	~	5		

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	2022 - 23 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	2023 - 24 Actual	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
PERSONNEL							
SALARIES & WAGES	50	\$0	\$0	50	540 529	\$289 589	\$289 589
CUTITUR		50		50	62 203	540,000	840.000
	3 0	PU	PG	30	92,201	340,000	840,000
HLA	50	50	50	50	\$3,125	\$22,134	\$22,134
RE THREMEN I	so	50	-50	50	34,330	\$30,175	\$50,175
GROUP INSURANCE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$586	\$3,880	\$3,880
DISABILITY INSURANCE	\$0	50	50	50	\$33	\$1,058	\$1,058
WORKER'S COMPENSATION	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$629	\$5,534	\$5,534
OTHER BENEFITS							
BENEFITS ADMIN FEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$152	\$1,532	\$1,532
HEALTH INSURANCE	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$5,781	\$36,795	\$36,795
OTHER BENEFITS TOTAL	50	\$0	50	50	\$5,932	\$38.327	\$38,327
VRS HEALTH INS CREDIT	\$0	\$0	50	50	\$31	\$203	\$203
PERSONNEL TOTAL	50	50	50	\$0	\$57,702	\$430.900	\$430,900
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES							
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES							
	5	50	50	50	\$3,015	680.000	550.000
	20	50	10	30	-52,023	50,000	430,000
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES TOTAL	\$0	\$0	50	50	\$3,035	\$50,000	\$50,000
MAINTENANCE SERVICE							
REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19,141	\$100,000	\$100,000
VEHICLE REPAIRS/MAINT.	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$10.000	\$10.000
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWAR	50	50	\$0	\$0	59.840	\$1 500	\$1.500
MAINTENANCE SERVICE TOTAL	50	50	50	50	528.081	\$111 500	\$111 500
POINTING & DIMONS	50	50	50	50	\$20,501 \$0	\$150	\$150
		20		20	*0	4130	200
PURCHASE SERV DI HER GOVMIT							
SANITARY LANDFILL USAGE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$100	\$100
PURCHASE SERV OTHER GOVMT TOTAL	\$0	50	\$0	50	50	\$100	\$100
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES TOTAL	\$0	50	50	\$0	\$32,016	\$161,750	\$161,750
INTERNAL SERVICES							
MOTOR POOL INTERNAL SVC	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,000	\$21,000
INTERNAL SERVICES TOTAL	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,000	\$21,000
OTHER CHARGES							
UTILITIES	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$407	50	\$0
COMMUNICATIONS	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$4,000	\$4,000
TRAVEL	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500	\$500
MISCELLANEOUS	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000	\$3,200	\$3 200
				6110			
	40	40	60	10		6000	6200
Unicesuries	50	30	50	30	30	\$200	\$200
FOOD & FOOD SERVICE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$200	\$200
LANDSCAPNG/AGRICULT SUPPL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$1,000	\$1,000
MEDICAL & LABORATORY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$200
BLDG REPAIR/MAINTENANCE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,968	\$100,000	\$100,000
VEHICLE & EQUIPMENT FUELS	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500	\$500
VEHICLE/EQUIPMT SUPPLIES	50	\$0	40	60 60	50	\$2.000	\$2,000
BOOKS & SUBSCRIPTIONS	50	20	30	20	50	6100	5100
OTHER OPERATING SUPPLIES	50	50	50	50	\$0	*100	
	50	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$250	3250
STREETS & SIDEWALKS	50	50	\$0	50	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWAR	\$0	50	50	50	50	\$2,000	\$2,000
MATERIALS & SUPPLIES TOTAL	50	50	\$0	50	\$8,968	\$126,450	\$126,450
OTHER CHARGES TOTAL	\$0	50	50	50	\$12.375	\$134,150	\$134,150
CAPITAL CHARGES							
REPLACEMENT							
STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$3,200,000
REPLACEMENT TOTAL	50	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$3,200,000
ADDITIONS	-						
MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
ADDITIONS TOTAL	50	50	50	50	50	\$200.000	\$200.000
CITY CIP PROJECTS	-						
N CAMERON/KENT ST IMPROV	44	80	80	80	40	\$0 200 000	52 200 000
CUTY CIP PROJECTS TOTAL	30		30	20	50	F0,000,000	50,000 EP 300,000
	SU SU	50	50	50	50	#11 700 000	811 700 000
COPTINE CHARGES TOTAL	50	50	50	50	50	>11,700,000	\$11,700,000
TOTAL	50	50	50	50	\$102,093	\$12,447,800	\$12,447,800

November 6, 2023

Kelly Henshaw, PE, CFM City Engineer City of Winchester 15 N. Cameron Street, 3rd Floor Winchester, VA 22601

Re: Development of a Stormwater Management (SWM) Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed within the City of Winchester

Dear Ms. Henshaw,

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. (Kimley-Horn) is pleased to submit this task order proposal to the City of Winchester (City) to provide professional consulting services related to the development of a Stormwater Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed within the City of Winchester, Virginia. The language outlined below identifies our project understanding, scope of requested services, and accompanying fees related to the overall project.

PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

At the City's request, Kimley-Horn is providing this task order proposal for the services necessary to evaluate the hydrologic and hydraulic conditions within the Upper Town Run Watershed, hereby referred to as the Watershed, that are leading to flooding issues within the Watershed, and to conceptualize potential solutions to help mitigate flooding within the Watershed.

Most of the Watershed falls within the section of the City referred to within the City's Comprehensive Plan as the Northwest Planning Area, located north of Amherst St. A portion of the Watershed drains from south of Amherst St. from the West Central Planning Area. The most upland areas of the Watershed fall outside of the City limits and are located within Frederick County.

A portion of the Watershed was highlighted by the City in a meeting with Kimley-Horn as an area of existing development where the City would like to try to mitigate the impacts of flooding – the corridor between Wood Ave. and Allison Ave. In this location there are 3 major drainage features that converge:

- 1. The mapped FEMA Floodway of Town Run flows through a grassed channel from the north.
- 2. A grassed channel from the south that drains a significant portion of Amherst St. and the area south of Amherst St.
- 3. A concrete channel conveys a significant diversion of Town Run from upstream. The diversion structure is located behind 1565 Whittier Ave, where a significant portion of Town Run is diverted to the south through a closed stormwater system, rather than all of it being conveyed by grassed channel to the east as indicated by the mapped FEMA Special Flood Hazard Areas. This closed stormwater system daylights at Allison Ave and flows to the east in a concrete channel.

At the location between Allison Ave and Wood Ave, the 2 north-south grassed channels outfall into the east-west concrete channel and flow to the east, where there is a park with some detention and continues through a large structure to pass underneath Amherst St. to the south. The downstream limit of the Watershed study associated with this Scope of Work is just after the stormwater system outlet on the south side of Amherst St.

In addition to modeling the existing hydrologic and hydraulic features of the Watershed, another goal of this study is to conceptualize and quantify potential solutions to help abate flooding within the Watershed. As part of this project, Kimley-Horn will utilize dynamic SWMM modeling to identify deficient areas within the existing stormwater management system, and then model proposed solutions that will assist in developing strategies to prevent and mitigate damages from the channelized, localized, and neighborhood flooding.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

This proposal has been divided into 7 tasks. Each task is outlined below with a summary defining the Scope of Services for each task. A lump sum cost to perform this work is provided (Attachment 1) and includes Kimley-Horn project management and coordination time.

- 1. Survey (to be provided by Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson, Inc)
- 2. Site Base Mapping, Project Due Diligence, and Site Visit
- 3. Hydrologic Analysis of the Upper Town Run Watershed
- 4. Development of a Stormwater Management Model for the Upper Town Run Watershed
- 5. Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Drainage Improvements Concept Plan
- 6. Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report
- 7. Meetings & Coordination

TASK 100 – SURVEY (TO BE PROVIDED BY JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, & THOMPSON, INC)

Kimley-Horn will contract with Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson, Inc. (JMT) to conduct topographic survey of the project area. Reference Attachment 2 for detailed study scope and fee breakdown. As part of this task, Kimley-Horn will provide comments related to completeness of data for the survey deliverable and will not assume any responsibility for the precision or accuracy of the survey field data or CAD deliverable.

TASK 200 - SITE BASE MAPPING, PROJECT DUE DILIGENCE, AND SITE VISIT

Kimley-Horn will develop Geographic Information Systems (GIS) base-maps illustrating the existing site conditions for the Upper Town Run Watershed. The base mapping will utilize readily available City GIS, VFRIS, and FEMA data to depict the impacts of the existing floodplain areas on pertinent infrastructure and private property. The base mapping will be used by Kimley-Horn to assist in site reconnaissance efforts and to supplement all modeling and study deliverables outlined in this Scope of Services.

Kimley-Horn will a perform project due diligence for the study areas by compiling pertinent information from the following surveys, reports, and data sets:

- Survey Data To be derived by Others (Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson)
- Relevant Flood Insurance Studies (FIS)
- Relevant FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs)
- Any relevant studies or approved development plans within the Upper Town Run Watershed (to be provided by the City, if available)

- Available FEMA, City of Winchester, or Frederick County Hydraulic and/or Floodplain Models. Town Run appears to be a HEC-2 model that will need to be requested from the FEMA Engineering Library, along with any relevant LOMRs that have occurred since the Effective 1977 HEC-2 model.
- Available VDOT / City of Winchester Roadway/Drainage Infrastructure Plans for the Study Area.
- Best available VFRIS, FEMA, State, City of Winchester and Frederick County GIS Shapefile Data and Aerial Imagery.
- Available as-builts/electronic records of existing stormwater infrastructure.

Kimley-Horn will utilize the base mapping and background data obtained through this task to perform a site visit to photo-document the current conditions within the study areas. Kimley-Horn will use the photos, information obtained during the site visit, and base mapping to create a composite GIS map depicting photo locations captured in the field that identify potential study area opportunities and constraints. This site visit will also be utilized to confirm that stormwater/drainage connections are consistent with what will be shown in the survey data and GIS data, and to identify any areas where additional survey may be needed.

TASK 300 – HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS OF THE UPPER TOWN RUN WATERSHED

Kimley-Horn will determine existing study area hydrologic parameters such as drainage areas, Runoff Curve Numbers (RCNs), Times of Concentrations (Tc), Basin Slopes, as well as all required catchment area data needed to effectively model the study area existing hydrologic conditions. The hydrologic parameters will be derived from a compilation of the most readily available aerial landcover data, survey data, GIS Shapefile data, and soils data. The derived drainage basin hydrologic conditions will be utilized as model input parameters in Task 400 to determine each study area's flow characteristics for the 1-yr, 2-yr, 10-yr, 100-yr, and 500-yr storm events. All information derived in this task will be documented within the Upper Town Run Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

TASK 400 – DEVELOPMENT OF AN UPPER TOWN RUN WATERSHED MODEL

Task 400A – Existing Conditions Watershed Modeling

Kimley-Horn will build an existing conditions model of the Watershed to create a baseline condition off of which proposed alternatives can be compared. There is the need to model this Watershed in a dynamic SWMM software, such as InfoWorks ICM or similar, to adequately capture the oddities and complexities of the drainage and conveyance networks within the Watershed that ultimately contribute to the flooding in the Watershed. The concrete channel that starts at a pipe outlet east of Allison Ave. serves as the tailwater condition for the 2 grassed channel that drain from the north and south in between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave. Therefore, to adequately simulate the flooding conditions that occur in the Watershed, it is critical to use a model that varies in time (unsteady/temporally varied) so that that timing of the stormwater flows during storms are captured.

Additionally, from City staff and citizen complaints to City staff, it is known that some areas of the stormwater conveyance systems within the Watershed have been known to flood. This leads to the need to utilize a 1-Dimensional/2-Dimensional (1-D/2-D) modeling approach to properly simulate the behavior of any surcharged volumes of water as they move over the surface. This approach also allows for a

broader watershed model approach as there are many overland and depressional features that can be modeled with the aid of the City's new high quality and up to date LiDAR topographic data. This 1-D/2-D, time varying modeling approach will allow the model to simulate surface ponding and flooding conditions over time and to quantify the length of time that flooding occurs around structures in the area. This approach will also allow for a more explicit representation of how the flow and structures interact during flood events, since a significant portion of the flow paths through this watershed being conveyed through open channels are immediately adjacent to houses and structures.

The stormwater network model will utilize survey data and then field measurements where survey is not possible. Kimley-Horn will also leverage any City provided as-builts, record drawings, and design plans within the Watershed. For topographic data, survey will be utilized, and LiDAR data will be used to supplement where survey data is not available.

Model validation will be performed in discussion with City staff to confirm that the model outputs are in line with what has been observed in the Watershed. Kimley-Horn staff will conduct as least 1 site visit during a severe rainfall event to make in field observations to compare against model outputs. The model will be updated accordingly based on this validation exercise, to more realistically represent the existing conditions in flood events for the Watershed.

The modeled results and data generated in this task will be used to determine limits and depths of localized and basin wide flooding within the Watershed. Existing inundation depths, velocities, flow spread, and flood limits will be derived as part of this analysis. Kimley-Horn will develop and document both graphical and tabular results for the existing conditions modeling. Map products of flood extents and graphs of Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) profiles for the modeled return period storm events will be generated. These values will provide a baseline comparison off which to assess proposed conceptual design implementation scenarios both in the horizontal (mapping), and vertical (HGLs/depth) planes. All information derived in this subtask will be documented within the Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

Task 400B – Proposed Improvements Watershed Modeling

Kimley-Horn will modify the Existing Conditions Watershed Model to evaluate proposed infrastructure and grading changes that could help abate flooding throughout the study area. The modeling will focus on implementation of modern drainage infrastructure in areas without it, infrastructure changes at major stream crossings, stream and floodplain grading techniques, installation or augmentation of stormwater management facilities, and pairing of multiple practices within the study areas (if necessary). Watershed scale implementation and evaluation of conceptual solutions will be prioritized as to provide wholistic approaches to flooding issues, and to not potentially transfer any flooding downstream.

Kimley-Horn will model up to 6 conceptual solutions within the Watershed. After assessing the viability and potential success of the conceptual infrastructure or nature-based changes within the Watershed, Kimley-Horn will run revised model scenarios for the 1, 2, 10, 100, and 500-yr storm events to compare to the existing conditions modeling to quantify the effect of the proposed improvements on the localized and large-scale flooding limits within the Watershed. The graphical and tabular information generated from this task will be included in the Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

Task 500 - Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Flood and Drainage Improvements Concept Plan

Based on the results of the Watershed Modeling (Task 400), Kimley-Horn and the City will agree upon 3 conceptual solutions to evaluate further. From this, Kimley-Horn will develop 3 - 24x36 AutoCAD conceptual exhibits that will graphically depict locations of potential flood and drainage improvements within the Watershed. Proposed conceptual improvements will include, but are not limited to the following:

- Infrastructure based improvements
 - Additional pipe locations, inlet placement, junction boxes, etc.
- Natural based solutions
 - Stream and floodplain restoration techniques, outfall channel restoration, creating or enhancing areas of flood storage, etc.
- Preservation and creation of open space and focus on permanent conservation of lands having flood resilience value.

Due to the conceptual nature of this plan, all proposed structural improvements, preliminary grading and riparian enhancements, and proposed future project implementation locations will have limited engineering design and will focus on project layout, location, and feasibility.

Task 600 – Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report

Kimley-Horn will develop an Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report outlining the information derived in tasks 100 - 500. Study graphics, tabular summaries, numerical analysis, and conceptual level designs created in all previous tasks will be included in the final report. Recommendations on future drainage basin stormwater management improvements, future storm sewer designs, as well as comprehensive drainage and floodplain improvement implementation scenarios for the Study Area will be included with the report.

Task 700 – Meetings & Coordination

Kimley-Horn staff will be available for up to 4 project coordination meetings to discuss the project. In addition, Kimley-Horn staff will participate in calls to discuss the project with City staff. If additional meetings and coordination activities are requested, Kimley-Horn will prepare a separate Scope of Services and cost estimate for client approval prior to proceeding with the additional work.

DELIVERABLES

The following items are anticipated as project deliverables for this Scope of Services.

- All developed Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model(s)
- Upper Town Run Watershed Flood and Drainage Improvements 24 x 36 AutoCAD Derived Conceptual Plans
- Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Final Report
- All maps, models, analyses, spreadsheets, and base data utilized for the design (if requested).

OVERALL PROJECT ASSUMPTIONS

For the purposes of developing this proposed Scope of Services and the accompanying cost estimate, we have made the following assumptions:

- It is assumed that survey data will be provided to Kimley-Horn prior to March 31st, 2024. If this assumed schedule for survey is held, Kimley-Horn anticipates completion of this study by December 31st, 2024.
- Accuracy and precision of survey data and previous studies provided by others is solely on the firm that derived the studies. Kimley-Horn will review all data provided by the City with regards to the Masterplan Study Area but assumes no responsibility for information outlined in the studies developed by others.
- All previous project information developed by others will be provided by the City to Kimley-Horn in a timely manner to accommodate anticipated project schedule.
- The flood studies and analyses proposed in this Scope of Services are intended as a planning level and will not constitute a formal FEMA floodplain study. As such this information and data will not be stamped and sealed by a Virginia Professional Engineer (PE).
- All analyses and studies developed in this Scope of Services will be based on limited survey information, and as such, the information derived will be considered "for information purposes only".
- The Conceptual Design Exhibits referenced in this Scope of Services will be limited in terms of engineering design and analysis. As such, they will be not stamped by a licensed Virginia Professional Engineer and labeled as "Not for Construction Purposes".
- The City will provide site access permission to Kimley-Horn, for conducting all necessary fieldwork related tasks in a timely manner to facilitate the project schedule.
- Readily available City GIS shapefile and geodatabase information will be used to supplement this study, as needed.
- The City will provide all coordination with Inter-City departments with regards to this project.
- This proposal and the accompanying cost estimate are valid for a period of 90 days and will expire if not accepted within that timeframe.

OVERALL PROJECT EXCLUSIONS

Services that are not currently anticipated as part of this project and are therefore outside the scope of this task order proposal include the following:

- Grant Administration Services
- Phase I, II, III Archaeological Investigations
- Environmental Site Assessments
- Perennial Stream Assessments and/or Flow Determinations
- Wetland Permit Compliance
- Project Renderings
- VSMP Compliance
- Floodplain Studies and Submittals
- FEMA Applications
- Dam Safety Compliance

- Dam Break Inundation Zone (DBIZ) Modeling / Mapping
- Engineering Design Plan Submittals / Construction Document Development
- Utility Design (excluding Storm Sewer)
- VDOT Design or Permitting
- Right of Way Permitting or Dedication associated with planned or future development
- Development/Delivery of Presentations, Board of Supervisors, Committees, or the Public
- Notifications to impacted Property Owners
- All other services not explicitly stated in this Scope of Services

SCHEDULE

The tasks referenced in this scope will be coordinated with City Staff. Meetings, action items, and deliverables will be tracked on a monthly basis and reported to the City with a monthly progress report for documentation of services provided. Assuming Kimley-Horn receives a notice to proceed by January 1, 2024, and survey data is received by March 31, 2024, Kimley-Horn anticipates completion of the Scope of Services outlined above by December 31, 2024. A detailed schedule will be developed for the City outlining project workflow and deliverables after contract execution.

FEE AND BILLING

Kimley-Horn will provide the following Scope of Services for a lump sum fee of **\$300,001.46**. A detailed breakdown (by task) of Kimley-Horn Horn's fee estimate is provided in Attachment 1. Please note that fees will be invoiced monthly for services performed and payment will be due within 25 days of receipt of invoices related to this project.

CLOSURE

The work described with this proposal will be completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of Contract #202205 between the City of Winchester and Kimley-Horn. We appreciate the opportunity to provide these services to you. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Signed:

Goul Hoder

Signed:

Jas the

Printed Name: Jared Hodes, P.E.

Printed Name: Jon D'Alessandro, P.E.

Title: Project Manager

Title: Senior Project Manager

ATTACHMENT 1 – KIMLEY HORN FEE BREAKDOWN

Π
Kimley »Horn

ATTACHMENT 2 – JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, & THOMPSON INC, DETAILED SCOPE AND FEE BREAKDOWN



Revised October 12, 2023 September 8, 2023

Mr. Jon D'Alessandro, PE Senior Project Manager Kimley-Horn 11400 Commerce Park Drive, Suite 400 Reston, Virginia 20191

RE: Topographic Surveys for Whittier Avenue City of Winchester, VA: Ref No. 202205 JMT Job No. 22-00567-005

Dear Mr. D'Alessandro:

We are submitting, herewith, our revised person-hour estimate of the anticipated hours and estimated fee required to provide topographic surveys and sub-surface utility designating for Whittier Avenue in Winchester Virginia. The site Is broken into several different categories for survey. First, an area of full topographic survey containing approximately 17.3 acres of land (Topo Survey Only + Core Project Limits). Second, an area containing 7.5 +/- acres of land with storm survey only (pipes, structures, inlets, ditches, headwalls, etc.) (Labelled Storm Survey Only). See attachment at end of proposal.

The Scope of Services for this task will consist of the following:

- JMT will perform online research and develop a list of property owners affected by the limits of work. This information will be used to notify existing property owners of JMT's presence during the field surveys. According to Virginia law, entry to property must be 30 days from the notification. Notifications will be developed with the help of Kimley-Horn, and the City. A Property Owner Notification Letter will be developed and mailed to each owner affected by the survey. Field work will not begin until 30 days from notification.
- > Perform topographic surveys of an area outlined in the attachment below (17.3 acres +/-).
 - Survey to be on Virigina State Plane North Coordinates (NAD 83) and NAVD 1988 vertical datum.
 - Locate the stream water surface elevation (as well as 2 locations at bottom of stream and 1 thalweg location)
 - o Locate trees with DBH of 6" or greater (tree identification will be to the best of our ability)
 - Full road survey of roads inside project limits
 - Full topo along stream channel will allow for cross sections to be cut every 100'
- Perform survey of any stormwater or sanitary sewer maintenance holes inside the limits as marked on the attachment (includes the 17.3 acre site above and the 7.5 acres of storm survey only)
 - o Obtain invert elevations
 - o Obtain Pipe Diameter
 - Obtain Pipe Material
 - \circ $\;$ Connect pipes to the best of our ability based on field reconnaissance
 - Locate the upstream structure of the outfall pipe.

- Process surveys and generate AutoCAD Civil 3D 2018 drawing at the appropriate scale showing one-foot contours, horizontal and vertical control points, and any other topographic features found during the survey.
- Minor research will be completed to produce a GIS level boundary mosaic of the properties and easements in the 17.3 acre project site only. This does not constitute a boundary survey under Virginia law.
- Quality Level 'B' utility designation will be performed within the "core project limits" only. This will include filing a design ticket with Miss Utility, records research through existing utility owners, providing utility designation for underground utilities and the location of the paint lines, processing the data and creating a separate deliverable of the underground utility findings.
- Control to be established using GPS technology and referenced to Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) and NAVD88 Vertical Datum. A minimum of three points will be set as primary control. All secondary control points will be tied back to the primary control.

Deliverables shall include the following.

- Plan sheet(s) showing the following:
 - Plan view of the topographic survey. Plan view shall include:
 - Spot elevations
 - 1-foot contours
 - o Photo of structures and inflows (pipes, channels, etc.)
 - Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) and NAVD88 Vertical Datum will be used.
 - North arrow
 - Scale text and bar
 - \circ $\;$ Vicinity map showing neighborhood and closest cross streets.
 - All CAD files in AutoCAD 2018 format
 - PDF copies of all plan sheets

The proposed fee to complete this assignment is broken down with the approximate hours and rates shown in the person-hour table attached and can be performed for the lump sum price of \$126,385.00.

The site will be surveyed in the Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) horizontal datum, and NAVD88 vertical datum, unless otherwise specified by the KHA. If additional work is required outside of the normal Scope of Services listed above, JMT will contact KHA before initiating any additional work.

We understand that we will receive a written Notice-to-Proceed once you have reviewed and approved the enclosed estimates. JMT anticipates a start date within 5 days of Notice to Proceed, and delivery of completed files approximately twenty (20) weeks from NTP. If you should have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact this office. We look forward to working together on this task.

Very truly yours,

JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN & THOMPSON, INC.

David Stickles, L.S. Vice President

Whittier Avenue JMT Job No. 23-00567-005 3 | Page

MANHOUR DERIVATION									
	PERSONNEL								
	PM	ST	2PC	TOTAL	PROJECT COST				
Topographic Survey (17.3 acres +/-)	60	120	300	480	\$75,600.00				
Storm Survey Only (7.5 acres +/-)	16	32	100	148	\$23,660.00				
QL 'B' Utility Designation (Core Limits only)	25	50	100	175	\$27,125.00				
TOTAL	101	202	500	803	\$126,385.00				

Whittier Avenue JMT Job No. 23-00567-005 4 | Page

FEE DERIVATION								
CLASSIFICATION	MANHOURS		RATE		FEE			
Project Manager / Professional Land Surveyor	101	х	\$175.00	=	\$17,675.00			
Survey / SUE Technician	202	х	\$105.00	=	\$21,210.00			
2 Person Survey / SUE Crew	500	х	\$175.00	=	\$87,500.00			
Total Direct Expenses					\$126,385.00			

WHITTIER DRAINAGE, WINCHESTER, VA

Topographic survey area ~17.3 Acres

Storm survey only

300

600

900

Storm and topographic survey only limits

MHERST ST.

Core Project Limits parcels/easements, sanitary, storm, topographic survey Survey Requests:

-All survey in NAVD88 and NAD83 State Plane North. -1 foot contours throughout all areas calling for topographic survey.

-All trees within project limits over 6" DBH within the core project limits.

-All storm pipes and structures and inclusion of all sizes, inverts, lengths, type, etc. -Sanitary pipes and structures and inclusion of all sizes, inverts, lengths, type, etc. within the core project limits.

-Parcel Boundaries/Easements only within core project limits.

-City GIS data is shown for informational purposes only.

Storm and topographic survey only limits





Budget Narrative Template



Appendix B: Budget Narrative Template

Applicant Name: City of Winchester Community Flood Preparedness Fund & Resilient Virginia Revolving Loan Fund Detailed Budget Narrative Period of Performance: <u>January 1, 2024</u> through <u>December 31, 2024</u> Submission Date: <u>November 10, 2023</u>									
Grand Total State Funding Request									\$270,000.00
Grand Total Local Share of Project									\$30,001.46
Federal Funding (if applicable)									\$ \$
Project Grand Total									\$300,001.46
Locality Cost Match									% 10
Breakout By Cost Type	Personnel	Fringe	Travel	Equipment	Supplies	Contracts	Indirect Costs	Other Costs	Total
Federal Share (if applicable)									
Local Share						\$30,001.46			\$30,001.46
State Share						\$270,000.00			\$270,000.00
Pre-Award/Startup									
Maintenance									
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$300,001.46	\$	\$	\$300,001.46



Funding Request Authorization





I, Dan Hoffman, City Manager of the City of Winchester, authorize the City of Winchester Deptartment of Public Services to request funding from the 2023 Funding Round of the Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund for the development of a Stormwater Management Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed.

-Signed: Date: 11/9/23

Winchester

City of Winchester, VA

INTERNAL ROUTING FORM

Date received for filing: 119 Control #: 2023-1

Bottom Line Up Front (BLUF)

	POUTE		DATE		IN	TIALS	Subject:					
DIVISION	SEQ	CODE	In	Out	Concur	Non-Concur	Grant App	CFPF-Upper Tow	n Run			
City Manager	2	S	11923	11 9 23	Ey		Date:	Originator:	Phone #:			
City Attorney							11/7/23	Julie Carter	x1455			
CFO/Support Svcs. Dir.							Due Date:	e: Originating Dept:				
Comm. of the Rev.							1	Public Services				
Dep. Clerk of Council							Backgrour	ackground Info (BLUF):				
Downtown Manager					-		Attached	is the great applicat	ion for			
Economic Redev. Dir.							Attached is the grant application for Community Flood Protection Fund - Uppe Town Run Watershed - Whittier Acres neighborhood project study for your review					
Emergency Mgt. Dir.												
Financal Svcs. Director												
Fire & Rescue Chief							and signa	iture.				
HR Director												
IT Director							Please let	t me know if you ha	ve any			
JDC Superintendent							questions	a. Thanks.				
Parks & Rec Director							1					
PIO/FOIA				· · · · ·								
Planning Director							1					
Police Chief							1					
Public Services Dir.	1	X		11/9/23	₽E.		1					
Purchasing Agent			· · · · ·		10		1					
Sheriff				· · · · ·			1					
Social Services Dir.												
Tourism Director												
Treasurer												
WPA Exec. Director												
Zoning Administrator							1					
							1					
							1					
		1										
Comments (add initials	after cor	nment):										
NOTE: Pomember to	ACTION CODES											
tab documents where	X	ORIGINA	ATOR OF R	EQUEST	F	FYI (INFORI	MATION)	Winchester				
CM signature is	Α	APPROF	PRIATE AC	TION	С	COMMENTS	3]	Virgin			
needed	S	SIGNAT	URE NEED	ED	R	RECOMME	NDATION	Last updated: Septe	mber 2014			



SECTION C - CHECKLIST REQUIREMENTS

- Completed 2023 CFPF Funding Manual Checklist
 - Detailed Map(s) of Project Area
 - FIRMette of the Project Area(s)
 - Historic Flood Damage Data / Images
- Copy of the City of Winchester Floodplain Ordinance
 - City of Winchester Comprehensive Plan
- Social Vulnerability Index Score(s) for the Project Area





Completed 2023 CFPF Funding Manual Checklist



Appendix C: Checklist All Categories

(Benefit-cost analysis <u>must</u> be included if the proposed Project is over \$2 million.)

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation

Community Flood Preparedness Fund Grant Program

X Detailed map of the project area(s) (Projects/Studies)

Included in Section C Appendix

IT FIRMette of the project area(s) (Projects/Studies)

Included in Section C Appendix

X Historic flood damage data and/or images (Projects/Studies)

Included in Section C Appendix

A link to or a copy of the current floodplain ordinance

Included in Section C Appendix

NZANon-Fund financed maintenance and management plan for project extending a minimum of 10 years from project close

Not Applicable for this Project

A link to or a copy of the current comprehensive plan

Included in Section C Appendix

X Social vulnerability index score(s) for the project area from VFRIS SVI Layer

Included in Section C Appendix

NA If applicant is not a town, city, or county, letters of support from affected localities

Not Applicable for this Project

NA Letter of support from impacted stakeholders

Not Applicable for this Project

X Budget Narrative

Included in Section B Appendix

NA Supporting Documentation, including the Benefit-Cost Analysis tool/narrative (for projects over \$2 million)

Not Applicable for this Project

 \mathbf{X} Authorization to request funding from the Fund from governing body or chief executive of the local government

Included in Section B Appendix

NZA Signed pledge agreement from each contributing organization

Not Applicable for this Project

X Detailed budget and narrative for all costs

Included in Section B Appendix - (Kimley-Horn Scope of Services to develop a Stormwater Master Plan for Upper Town Run Watershed)



Detailed Map(s) of Project Area















FIRMette of the Project Area(s)



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023

DWLRODO ORRGEDUGICHU)51WWH



HHQG



%D/HES, EUHU\&RXUFH & D/VLRODO DS



Historic Flood Damage Data / Images



Photo 1 - Floodplain channel prior to diversion structure

Photo 3 - Mapped floodplain channel adjacent to houses

Whittler Ave

Photo 2- Flow diversion structure

> Photos 4&5 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses

> > Photo 12 - Outlet of storm system from diversion structure upstream

Photo 11 - Facing South - grassed channel before it enters concrete channel Photo 13 - Concrete channel between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave

Photo 14 - Floodplain channel in between houses

Legend

- - Storm Lines
- Storm Junction Box
- Storm Manhole
- Storm Drop Inlet

FEMA Flood Zone

A

AE

AE FLOODWAY

X 0.2 PCT ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD HAZARD

Utilities data sourced from City of Winchester GIS data.





Upper Town Run Watershed Photolog



Photo 1 - Floodplain channel prior to diversion structure.



Photo 2 - Flow diversion structure.







Photo 3 - Mapped floodplain channel adjacent to houses.



Photo 4 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses.







Photo 5 - Floodway culvert outlet adjacent to houses.



Photo 6 - Dual CMP culvert under Whittier Ave.







Photo 7 - Dual CMP culvert under Whittier Ave.



Photo 8 - Example of additional flow impedance due to private fence and debris accumulation.







Photo 9- Example of additional flow impedance due to private fence and debris accumulation.



Photo 10 - Facing North - Floodway channel before it enters concrete channel.







Photo 11 - Facing South - grassed channel before it enters concrete channel.



Photo 12 - Outlet of storm system from diversion structure upstream.







Photo 13 - Concrete channel between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave.



Photo 14 – Floodplain channel in between houses.







Photo 15 – End of concrete floodway channel.



Photo 16 – Ponding water and debris in floodway.





Copy of the City of Winchester Floodplain Ordinance



ARTICLE 14.1

FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS - FP

STATEMENT OF INTENT

The purpose of these provisions is to prevent the loss of life and property, the creation of health and safety hazards, the disruption of commerce and governmental services, the extraordinary and unnecessary expenditure of public funds for flood protection and relief, and the impairment of the tax base by:

- A. Regulating uses, activities, and development which, alone or in combination with other existing or future uses, activities, and development, will cause unacceptable increases in flood heights, velocities, and frequencies.
- B. Restricting or prohibiting certain uses, activities, and development from locating within districts subject to flooding.
- C. Requiring all those uses, activities, and developments that do occur in flood-prone districts to be protected and/or floodproofed against flooding and flood damage.
- D. Protecting individuals from buying land and structures which are unsuited for intended purposes because of flood hazards.

14.1-1 APPLICABILITY

These provisions shall apply to all lands within the jurisdiction of the City and identified as being in the 100-year floodplain by the Federal Insurance Administration.

14.1-2 COMPLIANCE AND LIABILITY

- A. No land shall hereafter be developed and no structure shall be located, relocated, constructed, reconstructed, enlarged, or structurally altered except in full compliance with the terms and provisions of this ordinance and any other applicable ordinances and regulations which apply to uses within the jurisdiction of this ordinance.
- B. The degree of flood protection sought by the provisions of this ordinance is considered reasonable for regulatory purposes and is based on acceptable engineering methods of study. Larger floods may occur on rare occasions. Flood heights may be increased by man-made or natural causes, such as ice jams and bridge openings restricted by debris. This ordinance does not imply that districts outside the floodplain district, or that land uses permitted within such district will be free from flooding or flood damages.
- C. This ordinance shall not create liability on the part of the City or any officer or employee thereof for any flood damages that result from reliance on this ordinance or any administrative decision lawfully made thereunder.
- D. Records of actions associated with administering this ordinance shall be kept on file and maintained by the Program Administrator. (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

14.1-3 PENALTY FOR VIOLATIONS

Any person who fails to comply with any of the requirements or provisions of this article or directions of the Program Administrator or any authorized employee of the City of Winchester shall be subject to the penalties therefore.

In addition to the above penalties, all other actions are hereby reserved, including an action in equity for the proper enforcement of this article. The imposition of a fine or penalty for any violation of, or noncompliance with, this article shall not excuse the violation or noncompliance or permit it to continue; and all such persons shall be required to correct or remedy such violations or noncompliances within a reasonable time. Any structure constructed, reconstructed, enlarged, altered or relocated in noncompliance with this article may be declared by the City of Winchester to be a public nuisance and abatable as such. Flood insurance may be withheld from structures constructed in violation of this article.

(9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

14.1-4 SEVERABILITY

If any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase of this ordinance shall be declared invalid for any reason whatever, such decision shall not affect the remaining portions of this ordinance. The remaining portions shall remain in full force and effect; and for this purpose, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

(9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

14.1-5 ABROGATION AND GREATER RESTRICTIONS

This ordinance supersedes any ordinance currently in effect in flood-prone districts. However, any underlying ordinance shall remain in full force and effect to the extent that its provisions are more restrictive than this ordinance.

14.1-6 DEFINITIONS

14.1-6-1Base Flood/One-Hundred Year Flood - A flood that, on the average, is likely to occur
once every 100 years (i.e., that has a one (1) percent chance of occurring each year,
although the flood may occur in any year). (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-
24)

FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS - FP

- 14.1-6-2 <u>Base Flood Elevation (BFE)</u> The Federal Insurance Administration designated 100 year water surface elevation.
- 14.1-6-3 <u>Basement</u> (For purposes of this Article...) Any area of the building having its floor subgrade (below ground level) on all sides.
- 14.1-6-4 <u>Development</u> Any man-made change to improved or unimproved real estate, including, but not limited to, buildings or other structures, mining, dredging, filling, grading, paving, excavation or drilling operations or storage of equipment or materials.
- 14.1-6-5 <u>Elevated building</u> A non-basement building built to have the lowest floor elevated above the ground level by means of fill, solid foundation perimeter walls, pilings, or columns (posts and piers).
- 14.1-6-6 <u>Encroachment</u> The advance or infringement of uses, plant growth, fill, excavation, buildings, permanent structures or development into a floodplain, which may impede or alter the flow capacity of a floodplain.
- 14.1-6-7 <u>Existing manufactured home park or subdivision</u> a manufactured home park or subdivision for which the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufactured homes are to be affixed (including, at a minimum, the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads) is completed before the effective date of the floodplain management regulations adopted by a community.
- 14.1-6-8 Expansion to an existing manufactured home park or subdivision the preparation of additional sites by the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufacturing homes are to be affixed (including the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads).
- 14.1-6-9 <u>Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)</u> an official map of a community, on which the Administrator has delineated both the special hazard areas and the risk premium zones applicable to the community
- 14.1-6-10 Flood or flooding -
 - 1. A general or temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of normally dry land areas from
 - a. the overflow of inland or tidal waters; or,
 - b. the unusual and rapid accumulation or runoff of surface waters from any source.

- 2. The collapse or subsistence of land along the shore of a lake or other body of water as a result of erosion or undermining caused by waves or currents of water exceeding anticipated cyclical levels or suddenly caused by an unusually high water level in a natural body of water, accompanied by a severe storm, or by an unanticipated force of nature such as flash flood or an abnormal tidal surge, or by some similarly unusual and unforeseeable event which results in flooding as defined in paragraph 1 (a) of this definition.
- 3. Mudflows which are proximately caused by flooding as defined in paragraph (a)(2) of this definition and are akin to a river of liquid and flowing mud on the surfaces of normally dry land areas, as when earth is carried by a current of water and deposited along the path of the current.
- 14.1-6-11 <u>Floodway</u> The channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height.
- 14.1-6-12 <u>Freeboard</u> A factor of safety usually expressed in feet above a flood level for purposes of floodplain management. "Freeboard" tends to compensate for the many unknown factors that could contribute to flood heights greater than the height calculated for a selected size flood and floodway conditions, such as wave action, bridge openings, and the hydrological effect of urbanization in the watershed.
- 14.1-6-13 <u>Historic structure</u> Any structure that is
 - 1. listed individually in the National Register of Historic Places (a listing maintained by the Department of Interior) or preliminarily determined by the Secretary of the Interior as meeting the requirements for individual listing on the National Register;
 - 2. certified or preliminarily determined by the Secretary of the Interior as contributing to the historical significance of a registered historic district or a district preliminarily determined by the Secretary to qualify as a registered historic district;
 - 3. individually listed on a state inventory of historic places in states with historic preservation programs which have been approved by the Secretary of the Interior; or,
 - 4. individually listed on a local inventory of historic places in communities with historic preservation programs that have been certified either
 - a. by an approved state program as determined by the Secretary of the Interior; or,
 - b. directly by the Secretary of the Interior in states without approved programs.

- 14.1-6-14 <u>Lowest Floor</u> The lowest floor of the lowest enclosed area (including basement). An unfinished or flood-resistant enclosure, usable solely for parking of vehicles, building access or storage in an area other than a basement area is not considered a building's lowest floor; provided, that such enclosure is not built so as to render the structure in violation of the applicable non-elevation design requirements of Federal Code 44CFR §60.3.
- 14.1-6-15 <u>Manufactured home</u> A structure, transportable in one or more sections, which is built on a permanent chassis and is designed for use with or without a permanent foundation when connected to the required utilities. For floodplain management purposes the term manufactured home also includes park trailers, travel trailers, and other similar vehicles placed one a site for greater than 180 consecutive days.
- 14.1-6-16 <u>Manufactured home park or subdivision</u> a parcel (or contiguous parcels) of land divided into two or more manufactured home lots for rent or sale.
- 14.1-6-17 <u>New construction</u> For the purposes of determining insurance rates, structures for which the "start of construction" commenced on or after the effective date of an initial Flood Insurance Rate Map on or after December 31, 1974, whichever is later, and includes any subsequent improvements to such structures. For floodplain management purposes, *new construction* means structures for which *start of construction* commenced on or after the effective date of a floodplain management regulation adopted by a community and includes any subsequent improvements to such structures.
- 14.1-6-18 <u>Recreational vehicle</u> A vehicle which is
 - 1. built on a single chassis;
 - 2. 400 square feet or less when measured at the largest horizontal projection;
 - 3. designed to be self-propelled or permanently towable by a light duty truck; and,
 - 4. designed primarily not for use as a permanent dwelling but as temporary living quarters for recreational camping, travel, or seasonal use.
- 14.1-6-19 <u>Special flood hazard area</u> The land in the floodplain subject to a one (1%) percent or greater chance of being flooded in any given year as determined in Section 14.1-6 of this ordinance.
- 14.1-6-20 <u>Start of construction</u> The date the building permit was issued, provided the actual start of construction, repair, reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition, placement, substantial improvement or other improvement was within 180 days of the permit date. The actual start means either the first placement of permanent construction of a structure on a site, such as the pouring of slab or footings, the installation of piles, the construction of columns, or any work beyond the stage of excavation; or the placement of a manufactured home on a foundation. Permanent construction does not include

land preparation, such as clearing, grading and filling; nor does it include the installation on the property of accessory buildings, such as garages or sheds not occupied as dwelling units or not part of the main structure. For a substantial improvement, the actual start of the construction means the first alteration of any wall, ceiling, floor, or other structural part of a building, whether or not that alteration affects the external dimensions of the building.

- 14.1-6-21 <u>Structure</u> for flood plain management purposes, a walled and roofed building, including a gas or liquid storage tank, that is principally above ground, as well as a manufactured home. ``Structure'' for insurance coverage purposes, means a walled and roofed building, other than a gas or liquid storage tank, that is principally above ground and affixed to a permanent site, as well as a manufactured home on a permanent foundation. For the latter purpose, the term includes a building while in the course of construction, alteration or repair, but does not include building materials or supplies intended for use in such construction, alteration or repair, unless such materials or supplies are within an enclosed building on the premises.
- 14.1-6-22 <u>Substantial Damage</u> Damage of any origin sustained by a structure whereby the cost of restoring the structure to its before damaged condition would equal or exceed 50 percent of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred.
- 14.1-6-23 <u>Substantial Improvement</u> Any reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition, or other improvement of a structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50 percent of the market value of the structure before the "start of construction" of the improvement. This term includes structures which have incurred "substantial damage" regardless of the actual repair work performed. The term does not, however, include either: (1) any project for improvement of a structure to correct existing violations of state or local health, sanitary, or safety code specifications which have been identified by the local code enforcement official and which are the minimum necessary to assure safe living conditions or (2) any alteration of a "historic structure", provided that the alteration will not preclude the structures continued designation as a "historic structure".
- 14.1-6-24 <u>Violation</u> the failure of a structure or other development to be fully compliant with the community's flood plain management regulations. A structure or other development without the elevation certificate, other certifications, or other evidence of compliance required in Sec. 60.3(b)(5), (c)(4), (c)(10), (d)(3), (e)(2), (e)(4), or (e)(5) is presumed to be in violation until such time as that documentation is provided.
- 14.1-6-25 <u>Watercourse</u> A lake, river, creek, stream, wash, channel or other topographic feature on or over which waters flow at least periodically. Watercourse includes specifically designated areas in which substantial flood damage may occur.

14.1-7 DESCRIPTION OF DISTRICTS

14.1-7-1 Basis of Districts

The various floodplain districts shall include special flood hazard areas. The basis for the delineation of these districts shall be the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) and the Flood Insurance Rate Maps for the City of Winchester prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, Federal Insurance Administration, dated September 2, 2009, as amended. (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

- 1. The Floodway District is delineated, for purposes of this ordinance, using the criterion that certain areas within the floodplain must be capable of carrying the waters of the one hundred (100)-year flood without increasing the water surface elevation of that flood more than one (1) foot at any point. The areas included in this District are specifically defined in Table 2 of the above-referenced Flood Insurance Study and shown on the accompanying Flood Insurance Rate Map.
- 2. The Approximated Floodplain District shall be that floodplain area for which no detailed flood profiles or elevations are provided, but where a one hundred (100)-year floodplain boundary has been approximated. Such areas are shown as Zone A on the maps accompanying the Flood Insurance Study. For these areas, the one hundred (100)year flood elevations and floodway information from federal, state, and other acceptable sources shall be used, when available. Where the specific one hundred (100)-year flood elevation cannot be determined for this area using other sources of data, such as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Floodplain Information Reports, U. S. Geological Survey Flood-Prone Quadrangles, etc., then the applicant for the proposed use, development and/or activity shall determine this elevation in accordance with hydrologic and hydraulic engineering techniques. Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses shall be undertaken only by professional engineers or others of demonstrated qualifications, who shall certify that the technical methods used correctly reflect currently-accepted technical concepts. Studies, analyses, computations, etc., shall be submitted in sufficient detail to allow a thorough review by the City.
- 3. The Special Floodplain District shall be those areas identified as an AE Zone on the maps accompanying the Flood Insurance Study for which one hundred (100)-year flood elevations have been provided.

14.1-7-2 Overlay Concept

- 1. The Floodplain Districts described above shall be overlays to the existing underlying districts as shown on the Official Zoning Map, and as such, the provisions for the floodplain districts shall serve as a supplement to the underlying district provisions.
- 2. Any conflict between the provisions or requirements of the Floodplain Districts and those of any underlying district, the more restrictive provisions and/or those pertaining to the floodplain districts shall apply.

3. In the event any provision concerning a Floodplain District is declared inapplicable as a result of any legislative or administrative actions or judicial decision, the basic underlying provisions shall remain applicable.

14.1-8 OFFICIAL ZONING MAP

The boundaries of the Floodplain Districts are established as shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Map which is declared to be a part of this ordinance and which shall be kept on file at the City.

14.1-9 DISTRICT BOUNDARY CHANGES

The delineation of any of the Floodplain Districts may be revised by the Governing Body where natural or man-made changes have occurred and/or where more detailed studies have been conducted or undertaken by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers or other qualified agency, or an individual documents the need for such change. However, prior to any such change, approval must be obtained from the Federal Insurance Administration.

14.1-10 SUBMITTING TECHNICAL DATA

A community's base flood elevations may increase or decrease resulting from physical changes affecting flooding conditions. As soon as practicable, but not later than six months after the date such information becomes available, a community shall notify the Federal Insurance Administrator of the changes by submitting technical or scientific data. Such a submission is necessary so that upon confirmation of those physical changes affecting flooding conditions, risk premium rates and flood plain management requirements will be based upon current data. (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

14.1-11 INTERPRETATION OF DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

Initial interpretations of the boundaries of the Floodplain Districts shall be made by the Administrator. Should a dispute arise concerning the boundaries of any of the Districts, the Board of Zoning Appeals shall make the necessary determination. The person questioning or contesting the location of the District boundary shall be given a reasonable opportunity to present his case to the Board and to submit his own technical evidence if he so desires.

14.1-12 GENERAL PROVISIONS

14.1-12-1 Permit Requirement

All uses, activities, and development occurring within any floodplain district shall be undertaken only upon the issuance of a zoning permit. Such development shall be undertaken only in strict compliance with the provisions of the Ordinance and with all other applicable codes and ordinances, such as the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code and the City Land Subdivision Regulations. Prior to the issuance of any such permit, the Administrator shall require all applications to include compliance with all applicable state and federal laws. Under no circumstances shall any use, activity, and/or development adversely affect the capacity of the channels or floodway of any watercourse, drainage ditch, or any other drainage facility or system.

14.1-12-2 Alteration or Relocation of Watercourse

Prior to any proposed alteration or relocation of any channels or of any watercourse, stream, etc., within this jurisdiction a permit shall be obtained from the U. S. Corps of Engineers, the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission (a joint permit application is available from any of these organizations). Furthermore, notification of the proposal shall be given by the applicant to all affected adjacent jurisdictions, the Department of Conservation and Recreation (Division of Dam Safety and Floodplain Management) and the Federal Insurance Administration.

14.1-12-3 General Standards

In all special flood hazard areas the following provisions shall apply: (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

- a) New construction and substantial improvements shall be according to the VA USBC, and anchored to prevent flotation, collapse or lateral movement of the structure.
- b) Manufactured homes shall be anchored to prevent flotation, collapse, or lateral movement. Methods of anchoring may include, but are not limited to, use of over-the-top or frame ties to ground anchors. This standard shall be in addition to and consistent with applicable state requirements for resisting wind forces.
- c) New construction and substantial improvements shall be constructed with materials and utility equipment resistant to flood damage.
- d) New construction or substantial improvements shall be constructed by methods and practices that minimize flood damage.

- e) Electrical, heating, ventilation, plumbing, air conditioning equipment and other service facilities, including duct work, shall be designed and/or located so as to prevent water from entering or accumulating within the components during conditions of flooding.
- f) New and replacement water supply systems shall be designed to minimize or eliminate infiltration of flood waters into the system.
- g) New and replacement sanitary sewage systems shall be designed to minimize or eliminate infiltration of flood waters into the systems and discharges from the systems into flood waters.
- h) On-site waste disposal systems shall be located and constructed to avoid impairment to them or contamination from them during flooding.
- i) Any alteration, repair, reconstruction or improvements to a building that is in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance shall meet the requirements of "new construction" as contained in this ordinance.
- j) Any alteration, repair, reconstruction or improvements to a building that is not in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall be undertaken only if said non-conformity is not furthered, extended, or replaced.
- Prior to any proposed alteration or relocation of any channels or of any watercourse, stream, etc., within this jurisdiction a permit shall be obtained from the U. S. Corps of Engineers, the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission (a joint permit application is available from any of these organizations). Furthermore, notification of the proposal shall be given by the applicant to all affected adjacent jurisdictions, the Department of Conservation and Recreation (Division of Dam Safety and Floodplain Management) and the Federal Insurance Administration.
- I) The flood carrying capacity within an altered or relocated portion of any watercourse shall be maintained.

14.1-12-4 Drainage Facilities

Storm drainage facilities shall be designed to convey the flow of storm water runoff in a safe and efficient manner. The system shall insure proper drainage along streets, and provide positive drainage away from buildings. The system shall also be designed to prevent the discharge of excess runoff onto adjacent properties.

14.1-12-5 Site Plans and Permit Applications

All applications for development in the floodplain district and all building permits issued for the floodplain shall incorporate the following information:

- 1. For structures to be elevated, the elevation of the lowest floor (including basement).
- 2. For structures to be floodproofed (non-residential only), the elevation to which the structure will be floodproofed.
- 3. The elevation of the one hundred (100)-year flood.
- 4. Topographic information showing existing and proposed ground elevations.

14.1-12-6 Specific Standards

In all special flood hazard areas where base flood elevations have been provided in the Flood Insurance Study or generated according Article 4, section 4.4 (A), the following provisions shall apply: (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

a) Residential Construction

New construction or substantial improvement of any residential structure (including manufactured homes) shall have the lowest floor, including basement, elevated no lower than 1 foot above the base flood elevation.

b) Non-Residential Construction

New construction or substantial improvement of any commercial, industrial, or non-residential building (or manufactured home) shall have the lowest floor, including basement, elevated to no lower than 1 foot above the base flood elevation. Buildings located in all A1-30, AE, and AH zones may be floodproofed in lieu of being elevated provided that all areas of the building components below the elevation corresponding to the BFE plus one foot are water tight with walls substantially impermeable to the passage of water, and use structural components having the capability of resisting hydrostatic and hydrodynamic loads and the effect of buoyancy. A registered professional engineer or architect shall certify that the standards of this subsection are satisfied.

c) Elevated Buildings

Enclosed areas, of new construction or substantially improved structures, which are below the regulatory flood protection elevation shall:

- not be designed or used for human habitation, but shall only be used for parking of vehicles, building access, or limited storage of maintenance equipment used in connection with the premises. Access to the enclosed area shall be the minimum necessary to allow for parking of vehicles (garage door) or limited storage of maintenance equipment (standard exterior door), or entry to the living area (stairway or elevator).
- 2. be constructed entirely of flood resistant materials below the regulatory flood protection elevation;
- 3. include, in Zones AE and A, measures to automatically equalize hydrostatic flood forces on walls by allowing for the entry and exit of floodwaters. To meet this requirement, the openings must either be certified by a professional engineer or architect or meet the following minimum design criteria:
 - a. Provide a minimum of two openings on different sides of each enclosed area subject to flooding.
 - b. The total net area of all openings must be at least one (1) square inch for each square foot of enclosed area subject to flooding.
 - c. If a building has more than one enclosed area, each area must have openings to allow floodwaters to automatically enter and exit.
 - d. The bottom of all required openings shall be no higher than one (1) foot above the adjacent grade.
 - e. Openings may be equipped with screens, louvers, or other opening coverings or devices, provided they permit the automatic flow of floodwaters in both directions.
 - f. Foundation enclosures made of flexible skirting are not considered enclosures for regulatory purposes, and, therefore, do not require openings. Masonry or wood underpinning, regardless of structural status, is considered an enclosure and requires openings as outlined above.
- 14.1-12-7 Manufactured Homes and Recreational Vehicles
 - 1. All manufactured homes placed, or substantially improved, on individual lots or parcels, in expansions to existing manufactured home parks or subdivisions, in a new manufactured home park or subdivision or in an existing manufactured home park or subdivision on which a manufactured home has incurred substantial

FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS - FP

damage as the result of a flood, must meet all the requirements for new construction.

- 2. All manufactured homes placed or substantially improved in an existing manufactured home park or subdivision in which a manufactured home has **not** incurred substantial damage as the result of a flood shall be elevated so that either
 - a. the lowest floor of the manufactured home is elevated no lower than 3 feet above the base flood elevation; or
 - b. the manufactured home chassis is supported by reinforced piers or other foundation elements of at least equivalent strength that are no less than 36 inches in height above grade
 - c. and be securely anchored to the adequately anchored foundation system to resist flotation, collapse and lateral movement.
- 3. All recreational vehicles placed on sites must either
 - a. be on the site for fewer than 180 consecutive days;
 - b. be fully licensed and ready for highway use (a recreational vehicle is ready for highway use if it is on its wheels or jacking system, is attached to the site only by quick disconnect type utilities and security devices and has no permanently attached additions); or,
 - c. meet all the requirements for manufactured homes.

14.1-13 FLOODWAY DISTRICT

In the Floodway District no encroachments, including fill, new construction, substantial improvements, or other development shall be permitted unless it has been demonstrated through hydrologic and hydraulic analyses performed in accordance with standard engineering practice that the proposed encroachment would not result in any increase in the one hundred (100)-year flood elevation.

14.1-13-1 Permitted Uses in the Floodway District

The following uses and activities are permitted provided that they are in compliance with the provisions of the underlying area and are not prohibited by any other ordinance and provided that they do not require structures, fill, or storage of materials and equipment:

1. Agricultural uses, such as general farming, pasture, grazing, outdoor plant nurseries, horticulture, truck farming, forestry, sod farming, and wild crop harvesting.

2. Public and private recreational uses and activities, such as parks, day camps, picnic grounds, golf courses, boat launching and swimming areas, horseback riding and hiking trails, wildlife and nature preserves, game farms, fish hatcheries, trap and skeet game ranges, and hunting and fishing areas.

3. Accessory residential uses, such as yard areas, gardens, play areas, and pervious loading areas.

4. Accessory industrial and commercial uses such as yard areas, pervious parking and loading areas, airport landing strips, etc.

14.1-14 SPECIAL FLOODPLAIN DISTRICT

The following provisions shall apply within the Special Floodplain District: (9/1/2009, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

Until a regulatory floodway is designated, no new construction, substantial improvements, or other development (including fill) shall be permitted within the areas of special flood hazard, designated as Zones A and AE on the Flood Rate Insurance Map, unless it is demonstrated that the cumulative effect of the proposed development, when combined with all other existing and anticipated development will not increase the water surface elevation of the base flood more than one foot at any point within the City of Winchester.

Development activities in Zones A and AE on the Frederick County Flood Insurance Rate Map which increase the water surface elevation of the base flood by more than one foot may be allowed, provided that the applicant first applies – with the City of Winchester's endorsement – for a conditional Flood Insurance Rate Map revision, and receives the approval of the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

14.1-14-1 Standards for Approximated Floodplain

The following provisions shall apply with the Approximate Floodplain District:

1. The Approximated Floodplain District shall be that floodplain area for which no detailed flood profiles or elevations are provided, but where a one hundred (100)-year floodplain boundary has been approximated. Such areas are shown as Zone A on the maps accompanying the Flood Insurance Study. For these areas, the one hundred (100)-year flood elevations and floodway information from federal, state, and other acceptable sources shall be used, when available. Where the specific one hundred (100)-year flood elevation cannot be determined for this area using other sources of data, such as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Floodplain Information Reports, U. S. Geological Survey Flood-Prone Quadrangles, etc., then the applicant for the proposed use, development and/or activity shall determine this elevation in accordance with hydrologic and hydraulic engineering techniques. Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses shall be undertaken only by professional engineers or others of demonstrated qualifications, who shall certify that the technical methods used

FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS - FP

correctly reflect currently-accepted technical concepts. Studies, analyses, computations, etc., shall be submitted in sufficient detail to allow a thorough review by the Program Administrator.

- 2. When such base flood elevation data is utilized, the lowest floor shall be 1 foot above the base flood elevation. During the permitting process, the Program Administrator shall obtain:
 - a) the elevation of the lowest floor (including the basement) of all new and substantially improved structures; and,
 - b) if the structure has been flood-proofed in accordance with the requirements of this article, the elevation to which the structure has been flood-proofed.

14.1-14-2Standards for Subdivision Proposals

- 1. All subdivision proposals shall be consistent with the need to minimize flood damage;
- 2. All subdivision proposals shall have public utilities and facilities such as sewer, gas, electrical and water systems located and constructed to minimize flood damage;
- 3. All subdivision proposals shall have adequate drainage provided to reduce exposure to flood hazards, and
- 4. Base flood elevation data shall be provided for subdivision proposals and other proposed development proposals (including manufactured home parks and subdivisions) that exceed fifty lots or five acres, whichever is the lesser.

14.1-15 VARIANCES: FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED

In passing upon applications for Variances, the Board of Zoning Appeals ("the Board") shall satisfy all relevant factors and procedures specified in other sections of the zoning ordinance and consider the following additional factors:

- A. The danger to life and property due to increased flood heights or velocities caused by encroachments. No variance shall be granted for any proposed use, development, or activity within any Floodway District that will cause any increase in the one hundred (100)-year flood elevation. No variance shall be granted within the Special Flood Plain District for any proposed development that would cause an increase of more than one foot in the one hundred (100) year flood elevation.
- B. The danger that materials may be swept on to other lands or downstream to the injury of others.

- C. The proposed water supply and sanitation systems and the ability of these systems to prevent disease, contamination, and unsanitary conditions.
- D. The susceptibility of the proposed facility and its contents to flood damage and the effect of such damage on the individual owners.
- E. The importance of the services provided by the proposed facility to the community.
- F. The requirements of the facility for a waterfront location.
- G. The availability of alternative locations not subject to flooding for the proposed use.
- H. The compatibility of the proposed use with existing development and development anticipated in the foreseeable future.
- I. The relationship of the proposed use to the comprehensive plan and floodplain management program for the area.
- J. The safety of access by ordinary and emergency vehicles to the property in time of flood.
- K. The expected heights, velocity, duration, rate of rise, and sediment transport of the flood waters expected at the site.
- L. The repair or rehabilitation of historic structures upon a determination that the proposed repair or rehabilitation will not preclude the structure's continued designation as a historic structure and the variance is the minimum necessary to preserve the historic character and design of the structure.
- M. Such other factors which are relevant to the purposes of this ordinance.

The Board may refer any application and accompanying documentation pertaining to any request for a variance to any engineer or other qualified person or agency for technical assistance in evaluating the proposed project in relation to flood heights and velocities, and the adequacy of the plans for flood protection and other related matters.

Variances shall be issued only after the Board has determined that the granting of such will not result in (a) unacceptable or prohibited increases in flood heights, (b) additional threats to public safety, (c) extraordinary public expense; and will not (d) create nuisances, (e) cause fraud or victimization of the public, or (f) conflict with local laws or ordinances.

Variances shall be issued only after the Board has determined that variance will be the minimum required to provide relief from hardship to the applicant.

The Board shall notify the applicant for a variance, in writing, that the issuance of a variance to construct a structure below the one hundred (100)-year flood elevation (a) increases the risks to life and property and (b) will result in increased premium rates for flood insurance.

A record shall be maintained of the above notification as well as all variance actions, including justification for the issuance of the variances. Any variances which are issued shall be noted in the annual or biennial report submitted to the Federal Insurance Administrator.

14.1-16 EXISTING STRUCTURES IN FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS

A structure or use of a structure or premises which lawfully existed before the enactment of these provisions, but which is not in conformity with these provisions, may be continued subject to the following conditions:

- A. Existing structures in the Floodway District shall not be expanded or enlarged unless it has been demonstrated through hydrologic and hydraulic analyses performed in accordance with standard engineering practice that the proposed expansion would not result in any increase in the one hundred (100)-year flood elevation.
- B. Any modifications, alteration, repair, reconstruction, or improvement of any kind to a structure and/or use located in any floodplain area to an extent or amount of less than fifty (50) percent of its market value, elevation and/or floodproofing should be considered to the greatest extent possible.
- C. The modification, alteration, repair, reconstruction, or improvement of any kind to a structure and/or use, regardless of its locations in a floodplain area, to an extent or amount of fifty (50) percent or more of its market value shall be undertaken only in full compliance with the provisions of this ordinance and the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code.
- D. Existing structures in the Special Flood Plain District shall not be expanded or enlarged unless it has been demonstrated through hydraulic and hydraulic analyses preformed in accordance with standard engineering practices that the proposed expansion or enlargement would not result in an increase of more than one foot in the one hundred (100) year flood elevation.

14.1-17 FLOODING

Land subject to flooding and land deemed to be topographically unsuitable shall not be platted for residential occupancy, nor for such other uses as may increase danger of health, life or property, or aggravate erosion or flood hazard. Such land within the subdivision shall be set aside on the plat for such uses as shall not be endangered by periodic or occasional inundation or shall not produce conditions contrary to public welfare. All subdivisions within the City of Winchester shall conform with the recommendation of the applicable Storm Drainage Report. Any new subdivision or development shall be subject to the requirements of Article 14.1 FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS, FP, of the Official Zoning Ordinance.

(Editor's note: Article 14.1 established 10/13/99, Case TA-99-05, Ord. No. 030-99; Comprehensive Revision 9/1/09, Case TA-09-204, Ord. No. 2009-24)

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



City of Winchester Comprehensive Plan





Link to the City of Winchester 2022 Comprehensive Plan

https://www.winchesterva.gov/planning/comprehensive-plan







winchesterva.gov/planning/comprehensive-plan
Timecard er eVA Cocogle Maps S 3DEP - FEMA_MSC IS VFRIS IS FEMA_Pretim S LoudounGIS S FEMA_EngLib S FileShare HoursOrg FEMA_LOMK
Winchesterva.gov/planning/comprehensive-plan
Departments Government Parks Contact Co

Approved: March 8, 2022

Sustainability is the key principle of Winchester's future vision. The Comprehensive Plan charts a new path toward environmental, economic and social sustainability. It promotes a walkable community made up of vibrant mixed use neighborhoods that honor Winchester's rich history and use the latest technology. This Plan reflects City Council's philosophy of proactively improving citizens' quality of life. It is a strategy to make vision into reality. **To make Winchester a Community of Choice**.

Comprehensive Plan

Chapt	ers
Cover	Page
Greet	ing
Table	of Contents
Chapt	er 1 - Introduction
Chap	er 2 - Planning Studies
Chapt Objec	er 3 - Vision, Principle, Goals & tives
Chap	er 4 - Economic Sustainability
Chap	er 5 - Environmental Sustainability
Chap	er <mark>6 - M</mark> obility
Chapt	er 7 - Housing
Chap	er 8 - Community, Facilities & Services
Chap	er 9 - Future Development
Chap Devel	er 10 - Historic Preservation & Urban opment
Chap	er 11 - Ten Geographic Planning Area:
Chap	er 12 - Implementation
Chara	cter Map
Coun	cil, Commission, Staff List





Social Vulnerability Index Score(s) for the Project Area



The average of the 25 SVI areas that make up the City of Winchester is 0.27, which rates as Moderate Social Vulnerability. The City of Winchester is classified as a Low-income geographic area, based on the fact that the median household income is less than 80% of median household income for the State of Virginia. The City of Winchester scores 0.8635 and 0.9242 on the CDC/STSDR's SVI based on nationwide and statewide comparisons respectively. Census tract 51840000201 has a 0.7587 statewide SVI score, indicating a high level of vulnerability.

The Upper Town Run Watershed is primarily located within Areas of Low or Very Low Social Vulnerability, but impacts flooding in Areas of Moderate, High, and Very High Social Vulnerability (per VFRIS), which are located immediately downstream. The 4 SVI areas that this study area (contributing drainage area) intersects have an average score of -1.075. The subarea within the watershed where flooding is impacting properties is primarily located in an SVI area with a score of -0.695.

The areas immediately downstream of this watershed, which are areas that are impacted by flooding from this watershed include Low, Moderate, High, and Very High Social Vulnerability scores ranging from -0.585 to 2.3025.

Upper Town Run Watershed FEMA Flood Zone

Very Low Social Vulnerability AE

A

Legend

VA SVI BlockGroups 2020

Low Social Vulnerability

High Social Vulnerability

Online map service layer.

Moderate Social Vulnerability

Very High Social Vulnerability



QuickFacts

Winchester city (County), Virginia; Virginia

QuickFacts provides statistics for all states and counties, and for cities and towns with a population of 5,000 or more.

Income & Poverty	Winchester city (County), Virginia	Virginia
Population Estimates, July 1, 2022, (V2022)	△ 27,936	⚠ 8,683,619
PEOPLE		
Income & Poverty		
Median household income (in 2021 dollars), 2017-2021	\$61,321	\$80,615
Per capita income in past 12 months (in 2021 dollars), 2017-2021	\$33,908	\$43,267
		_

61,321/80,615 = 76.07% < 80%

\$33,908/\$43,267 = 78.37% < 80%

About datasets used in this table

Value Notes

🖄 Estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels due to methodology differences that may exist between different data sources.

Some estimates presented here come from sample data, and thus have sampling errors that may render some apparent differences between geographies statistically indistinguishable.] Click the Quick Info 🖸 icon to tl row in TABLE view to learn about sampling error.

In Vintage 2022, as a result of the formal request from the state, Connecticut transitioned from eight counties to nine planning regions. For more details, please see the Vintage 2022 release notes available here: Releas

The vintage year (e.g., V2022) refers to the final year of the series (2020 thru 2022). Different vintage years of estimates are not comparable.

Users should exercise caution when comparing 2017-2021 ACS 5-year estimates to other ACS estimates. For more information, please visit the 2021 5-year ACS Comparison Guidance page.

Fact Notes

- (a) (b) Includes persons reporting only one race
- Hispanics may be of any race, so also are included in applicable race categories
- (c) Economic Census - Puerto Rico data are not comparable to U.S. Economic Census data

Value Flags

- Suppressed to avoid disclosure of confidential information D
- Fewer than 25 firms FN Footnote on this item in place of data
- NA Not available
- Suppressed; does not meet publication standards s
- Not applicable Х
- Value greater than zero but less than half unit of measure shown z
- Either no or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest or upper in open ended distribution.
- N Data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.

QuickFacts data are derived from: Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, Current Population Survey, Small Area Health Insurance Estimates, Small Area Income and Pov Estimates, State and County Housing Unit Estimates, County Business Patterns, Nonemployer Statistics, Economic Census, Survey of Business Owners, Building Permits.

CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)



CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)



CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)



≠ Exit	Full Screen	
C Reset		
ZIP code	(1)	

CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index 2020

WINCHESTER CITY, VIRGINIA



PA OH KY VA NC SC

Social vulnerability refers to a county. CDC/ATSDR SVI 2020 groups community's capacity to prepare for sixteen census-derived factors into and respond to the stress of **four themes** that summarize the hazardous events ranging from extent to which the area is socially natural disasters, such as tornadoes or disease outbreaks, to humancaused threats, such as toxic chemical spills. The CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index (CDC/ATSDR ability, ethnicity, and vehicle access. SVI 2020)⁴ County Map depicts the social vulnerability of communities, at all the variables to provide a census tract level, within a specified comprehensive assessment.

vulnerable to disaster. The factors include economic data as well as data regarding education, family characteristics, housing, language Overall Social Vulnerability combines

Vulnerability Lowest

Data Sources: ²CDC/ATSDR/GRASP, U.S. Census Bureau, Esri® StreetMapTM Premium. Notes: 10verall Social Vulnerability: All 16 variables. 3Census tracts with 0 population. 4The CDC/ATSDR SVI combines percentile rankings of US Census American Community Survey (ACS) 2016-2020 variables, for the state, at the census tract level. ⁵Socioeconomic Status: Below 130% Poverty, Unemployed, Housing Costs-to-Income Ratio, No High School Diploma, No Health Insurance. ⁶Household Characteristics: Aged 65 and Over, Aged 17 and Younger, Civilian with a Disability, Single-parent Household, English Language Proficiency. ⁷Race/Ethnicity: Racial/ethnic Minority. ⁸Housing Type/Transportation: Multi-unit, Mobile Homes, Crowding, No Vehicle, Group Quarters. Projection: NAD 1983 Virginia Lambert.

References: Flanagan, B.E., et al., A Social Vulnerability Index for Disaster Management. Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management, 2011. 8(1). CDC/ATSDR SVI web page: https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/placeandhealth/svi/index.html.

CDC/ATSDR SVI 2020 - WINCHESTER CITY, VIRGINIA

Socioeconomic Status⁵





Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

G R A S P Geospatial Research, Analysis, and

Services Program

DRAFT - FOR INTERNAL REVIEW ONLY



CDC/ATSDR SVI Themes



Household Characteristics⁶



SECTION B – BUDGET DATA

- Project Budget Narrative and Scope of Services
 - Budget Narrative Template
 - Funding Request Authorization





Project Budget Narrative and Scope of Services





A detailed budget narrative is included below and contains the required information outlined in the 2023 Funding Manual for the Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund. This section also includes the Kimley-Horn Scope of Services to develop the Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan.

Estimated total project cost: The total identified project cost to complete the Featherbed Lane Floodplain Improvements Study is \$300,001.46.

Amount of funds requested from the Fund: The total amount of grant assistance sought from the Fund is \$270,000.00. A detailed breakdown of how this funding is proposed to be allocated is shown in this section as an attached Scope of Services.

Amount of funds available: The amount of funds available through this project's funding source (\$3,200,000.00) is greater total estimated project cost of \$300,001.46. The following documentation is included in in the following attached documents:

- City of Winchester FY2024 Annual Budget- Stormwater Improvements Citywide
 - City of Winchester FY2024 Outcome Based Budget
 - City of Winchester FY2024 Annual Budget Stormwater Utility Fund

<u>Authorization to request for funding</u>: A signed statement from the City of Winchester, City Manager authorizing the request for funding for this project has been included in this section.





SUMMARY

PROJECT TITLE: Storm Drainage Improvements

DEPARTMENT: Public Services

BUDGET CODE: 312-4131-441.83-71

JUSTIFICATION: Improves existing service

START DATE (FY): 2024

END DATE (FY): Ongoing

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Numerous projects to improve the stormwater system throughout the City that will be funded by the Stormwater Utility recently approved by City Council.

RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

Goal 5 - Support the City's high-performing operations with effective communication, innovation, and sound fiscal policies.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES / STATUS:

Projects are necessary to help alleviate flooding and ensure that the City meets the requirements of its stormwater discharge permit.

COST ESTIMATE

Cost Estimate - Stormwater Improvements Citywide

Itemization Descripti	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-2
Construction	\$0	\$2,200,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,00
Planning	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,00
Land	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,00
TOTAL	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,00
•	-					•

FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Sources - Stormwater Improvements Citywide

Object (Duplicate)	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-:
Other Financing Sour	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,00
Federal	\$0	\$200,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$0	5
TOTAL	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,00
•						۱.

Note: Reference period 2022-23 includes all prior years and 2028-29 includes all future years.

OPERATING IMPACTS

Overall, these projects will help reduce maintenance costs of the stormwater system.

OBB - Variance

	EV 2024	EV 2022		FY 2023		FY 2024	EV 2024
Collapse All		Actual	2022-23 Actual	Adopted	2023-24 Actual	Adopted	F f 2024
	Actual	Actual		Budget		Budget	variance
▼ PERSONNEL	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 57,702	\$ 430,900	\$ 430,900
SALARIES & WAGES	0	0	0	0	40,529	289,589	289,589
► OVERTIME	0	0	0	0	2,281	40,000	40,000
▶ FICA	0	0	0	0	3,125	22,134	22,134
► RETIREMENT	0	0	0	0	4,556	30,175	30,175
► GROUP INSURANCE	0	0	0	0	586	3,880	3,880
DISABILITY INSURANCE	0	0	0	0	33	1,058	1,058
▶ WORKER'S COMPENSATION	0	0	0	0	629	5,534	5,534
▼ OTHER BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	5,932	38,327	38,327
BENEFITS ADMIN FEE	0	0	0	0	152	1,532	1,532
HEALTH INSURANCE	0	0	0	0	5,781	36,795	36,795
▶ VRS HEALTH INS CREDIT	0	0	0	0	31	203	203
▼ CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	0	0	0	0	32,016	161,750	161,750
▼ PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	0	0	0	0	3,035	50,000	50,000
ENGINEERING & ARCHITECT	0	0	0	0	3,035	50,000	50,000
▼ MAINTENANCE SERVICE	0	0	0	0	28,981	111,500	111,500
REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	0	19,141	100,000	100,000
VEHICLE REPAIRS/MAINT.	0	0	0	0	0	10,000	10,000
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWAR	0	0	0	0	9,840	1,500	1,500
PRINTING & BINDING	0	0	0	0	0	150	150
▼ PURCHASE SERV OTHER GOVMT	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
SANITARY LANDFILL USAGE	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
▼ INTERNAL SERVICES	0	0	0	0	0	21,000	21,000
MOTOR POOL INTERNAL SVC	0	0	0	0	0	21,000	21,000
▼ OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0	0	12,375	134,150	134,150
▶ UTILITIES	0	0	0	0	407	0	0
► COMMUNICATIONS	0	0	0	0	0	4,000	4,000
▶ TRAVEL	0	0	0	0	0	500	500
▶ MISCELLANEOUS	0	0	0	0	3,000	3,200	3,200
▼ MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	8,968	126,450	126,450
OFFICE SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	200	200
FOOD & FOOD SERVICE	0	0	0	0	0	200	200
LANDSCAPNG/AGRICULT SUPPL	0	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000
MEDICAL & LABORATORY	0	0	0	0	0	200	200
BLDG REPAIR/MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	0	8,968	100,000	100,000
VEHICLE & EQUIPMENT FUELS	0	0	0	0	0	500	500
VEHICLE/EQUIPMT SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000
BOOKS & SUBSCRIPTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	100	100
OTHER OPERATING SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0	250	250
STREETS & SIDEWALKS	0	0	0	0	0	20,000	20,000
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWAR	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000
- CAPITAL CHARGES	0	0	0	0	0	11,700,000	11,700,000

Winchester / OBB - Variance

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	2022-23 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	2023-24 Actual	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
▼ REPLACEMENT	0	0	0	0	0	3,200,000	3,200,000
STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS	0	0	0	0	0	3,200,000	3,200,000
- ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	200,000	200,000
MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT	0	0	0	0	0	200,000	200,000
▼ CITY CIP PROJECTS	0	0	0	0	0	8,300,000	8,300,000
N CAMERON/KENT ST IMPROV	0	0	0	0	0	8,300,000	8,300,000
Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 102,093	\$ 12,447,800	\$ 12,447,800

Data filtered by Expenses, STORMWATER UTILITY FUND, STORMWATER UTILITY and exported on September 26, 2023. Created with OpenGov
Select Lan

f

9

STORMWATER UTILITY FUND

FY 2024 ANNUAL BUDGET

DESCRIPTION

The City is facing significant challenges to fund capital improvement projects related to stormwater management, meeting regulatory requirements related to the Chesapeake Bay, and the ongoing maintenance of an aging stormwater drainage system. Therefore, the City established a stormwater utility in July 2022 and approved a fee schedule in April 2023 (effective January 1, 2024). A stormwater utility is a mechanism where a fee is charged to users (all properties with impervious surface) for providing services related strictly to stormwater utility is a mechanism where a fee is charged to users (all properties with impervious surface) for providing services related strictly to stormwater management. Current estimates of stormwater capital improvements needed in the City total over \$50.0 million within the next 20 plus years.

STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal 2: Building Winchester - Establish the foundations for a vibrant community by stimulating development of affordable housing, revitalizing catalyst sites, and building smart infrastructure. Goal 5: Supporting Winchester - Support the City's high-performing operations with effective and open communication, innovation, and sound fiscal policies.

REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE SUMMARY

Stormwater Utility Revenue by Category

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	2022 - 23 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	2023 - 24 Actual	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
Local	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000
State	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000
Federal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
Other Financing Sources	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,400,000	\$13,400,000

Stormwater Utility Expenses by Catergory

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
PERSONNEL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$430,900	\$430,900
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$161,750	\$161,750
INTERNAL SERVICES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,000	\$21,000
OTHER CHARGES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$134,150	\$134,150
CAPITAL CHARGES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,700,000	\$11,700,000
OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$952,200	\$952,200
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,400,000	\$13,400,000
Data Updated Aug 10, 2023, 8:09 AM					View Report 🗳

Data Updated Aug 10, 2023, 8:09 AM

STAFFING SUMMARY

Storm Water Fund

Division Description	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024
FTE Amount					
Stormwater	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
FTE AMOUNT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
Data Updated Aug 10, 2023, 2:38 PM					View Report 🗗

Data Updated Aug 10, 2023, 2:38 PM

REVENUE DETAIL

Storm Water Utility Fund Revenue Detail

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	2022 - 23 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	2023 - 24 Actual	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance
REVENUE FROM LOCAL SOURCE							
REVENUE-USE OF MONEY/PROP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000
CHARGES FOR SERVICES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$0
REVENUE FROM LOCAL SOURCE TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000
REVENUE FROM COMMONWEALTH							
STATE CATEGORICAL FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000
PEVENUE EROM COMMONWEAU TH TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7 900 000	\$3 900 000

REVENUE FROM FEDERAL GOVT							
CATEGORICAL AID	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL GOVT TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES							
NON-REVENUE RECEIPTS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,400,000	\$13,400,000
Data Updated Sep 26, 2023, 8:14 AM							View Report 🗳

EXPENDITURE DETAIL

Stormwater Utility Expense Detail

	FY 2021 Actual	FY 2022 Actual	2022 - 23 Actual	FY 2023 Adopted Budget	2023 - 24 Actual	FY 2024 Adopted Budget	FY 2024 Variance 🧧
PERSONNEL							
SALARIES & WAGES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$40.529	\$289.589	\$289.589
OVERTIME	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2.201	\$40,000	\$40,000
	50	50	50	50	52,201	540,000	540,000
HCA	50	30	50	\$0	\$3,125	\$22,134	\$22,134
RETIREMENT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,556	\$30,175	\$30,175
GROUP INSURANCE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$586	\$3,880	\$3,880
DISABILITY INSURANCE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33	\$1,058	\$1,058
WORKER'S COMPENSATION	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$629	\$5,534	\$5,534
OTHER BENEFITS							
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$152	\$1.522	\$1 522
	50	50	50	50	5152	51,552	51,352
HEALTH INSUKANCE	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,781	\$36,795	\$36,795
OTHER BENEFITS TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,932	\$38,327	\$38,327
VRS HEALTH INS CREDIT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31	\$203	\$203
PERSONNEL TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$57,702	\$430,900	\$430,900
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES							
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES							
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2.025	\$50,000	\$50.000
	50	50	50	50	53,035	550,000	550,000
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES TOTAL	50	50	50	\$0	\$3,035	\$50,000	\$50,000
MAINTENANCE SERVICE							
REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19 141	\$100.000	\$100.000
	50 60	\$0 \$0	50 60	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$10.000	\$10,000
	50	\$0	50	50	50	\$10,000	\$10,000
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWAR	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,840	\$1,500	\$1,500
MAINTENANCE SERVICE TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,981	\$111,500	\$111,500
PRINTING & BINDING	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$150
PURCHASE SERV OTHER GOVMT							
SANITARY LANDFILL USAGE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$100
PURCHASE SERV OTHER GOVMT TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$100
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES TOTAL	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,016	\$161,750	\$161.750
MOTOR POOL INTERNAL SVC	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,000	\$21,000
INTERNAL SERVICES TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,000	\$21,000
OTHER CHARGES							
UTILITIES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$407	\$0	\$0
COMMUNICATIONS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000	\$4,000
TRAVEL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500	\$500
	50	\$0	50	\$0	\$3,000	\$3 200	\$3 200
		**	**		\$3,000	+5,200	\$5,200
		**				£000	
OFFICE SUPPLIES	50	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$200
FOOD & FOOD SERVICE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$200
LANDSCAPNG/AGRICULT SUPPL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000
MEDICAL & LABORATORY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$200
BLDG REPAIR/MAINTENANCE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,968	\$100,000	\$100,000 -
	_						
VEHICLE & EQUIPMENT FUELS	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$500	\$500
VEHICLE/EQUIPMT SUPPLIES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000
BOOKS & SUBSCRIPTIONS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$100
OTHER OPERATING SUPPLIES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$250
STREETS & SIDEWALKS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWAR	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000
MATERIALS & SUPPLIES TOTAL	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8.968	\$126.450	\$126.450
OTHER CHARGES TOTAL	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12 375	\$134 150	\$134.150
		••			\$12,575	0154,150	0154,150
CAPITAL CHARGES							
REPLACEMENT							
STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$3,200,000
REPLACEMENT TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,200,000	\$3,200,000
ADDITIONS							
MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000
ADDITIONS TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200.000	\$200,000
	*0	¢0.	¢0	¢0.	¢n	\$9 200 000	\$8 200 000
	30	30	30	30	30	\$0,000,000	\$6,300,000
	50	50	50	50	50	\$6,500,000	\$8,500,000
CAPITAL CHARGES TOTAL	so	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,700,000	\$11,700,000
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$102,093	\$12,447,800	\$12,447,800 🛫

November 6, 2023

Kelly Henshaw, PE, CFM City Engineer City of Winchester 15 N. Cameron Street, 3rd Floor Winchester, VA 22601

Re: Development of a Stormwater Management (SWM) Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed within the City of Winchester

Dear Ms. Henshaw,

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. (Kimley-Horn) is pleased to submit this task order proposal to the City of Winchester (City) to provide professional consulting services related to the development of a Stormwater Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed within the City of Winchester, Virginia. The language outlined below identifies our project understanding, scope of requested services, and accompanying fees related to the overall project.

PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

At the City's request, Kimley-Horn is providing this task order proposal for the services necessary to evaluate the hydrologic and hydraulic conditions within the Upper Town Run Watershed, hereby referred to as the Watershed, that are leading to flooding issues within the Watershed, and to conceptualize potential solutions to help mitigate flooding within the Watershed.

Most of the Watershed falls within the section of the City referred to within the City's Comprehensive Plan as the Northwest Planning Area, located north of Amherst St. A portion of the Watershed drains from south of Amherst St. from the West Central Planning Area. The most upland areas of the Watershed fall outside of the City limits and are located within Frederick County.

A portion of the Watershed was highlighted by the City in a meeting with Kimley-Horn as an area of existing development where the City would like to try to mitigate the impacts of flooding – the corridor between Wood Ave. and Allison Ave. In this location there are 3 major drainage features that converge:

- 1. The mapped FEMA Floodway of Town Run flows through a grassed channel from the north.
- 2. A grassed channel from the south that drains a significant portion of Amherst St. and the area south of Amherst St.
- 3. A concrete channel conveys a significant diversion of Town Run from upstream. The diversion structure is located behind 1565 Whittier Ave, where a significant portion of Town Run is diverted to the south through a closed stormwater system, rather than all of it being conveyed by grassed channel to the east as indicated by the mapped FEMA Special Flood Hazard Areas. This closed stormwater system daylights at Allison Ave and flows to the east in a concrete channel.

At the location between Allison Ave and Wood Ave, the 2 north-south grassed channels outfall into the east-west concrete channel and flow to the east, where there is a park with some detention and continues through a large structure to pass underneath Amherst St. to the south. The downstream limit of the Watershed study associated with this Scope of Work is just after the stormwater system outlet on the south side of Amherst St.

In addition to modeling the existing hydrologic and hydraulic features of the Watershed, another goal of this study is to conceptualize and quantify potential solutions to help abate flooding within the Watershed. As part of this project, Kimley-Horn will utilize dynamic SWMM modeling to identify deficient areas within the existing stormwater management system, and then model proposed solutions that will assist in developing strategies to prevent and mitigate damages from the channelized, localized, and neighborhood flooding.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

This proposal has been divided into 7 tasks. Each task is outlined below with a summary defining the Scope of Services for each task. A lump sum cost to perform this work is provided (Attachment 1) and includes Kimley-Horn project management and coordination time.

- 1. Survey (to be provided by Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson, Inc)
- 2. Site Base Mapping, Project Due Diligence, and Site Visit
- 3. Hydrologic Analysis of the Upper Town Run Watershed
- 4. Development of a Stormwater Management Model for the Upper Town Run Watershed
- 5. Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Drainage Improvements Concept Plan
- 6. Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report
- 7. Meetings & Coordination

TASK 100 – SURVEY (TO BE PROVIDED BY JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, & THOMPSON, INC)

Kimley-Horn will contract with Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson, Inc. (JMT) to conduct topographic survey of the project area. Reference Attachment 2 for detailed study scope and fee breakdown. As part of this task, Kimley-Horn will provide comments related to completeness of data for the survey deliverable and will not assume any responsibility for the precision or accuracy of the survey field data or CAD deliverable.

TASK 200 - SITE BASE MAPPING, PROJECT DUE DILIGENCE, AND SITE VISIT

Kimley-Horn will develop Geographic Information Systems (GIS) base-maps illustrating the existing site conditions for the Upper Town Run Watershed. The base mapping will utilize readily available City GIS, VFRIS, and FEMA data to depict the impacts of the existing floodplain areas on pertinent infrastructure and private property. The base mapping will be used by Kimley-Horn to assist in site reconnaissance efforts and to supplement all modeling and study deliverables outlined in this Scope of Services.

Kimley-Horn will a perform project due diligence for the study areas by compiling pertinent information from the following surveys, reports, and data sets:

- Survey Data To be derived by Others (Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson)
- Relevant Flood Insurance Studies (FIS)
- Relevant FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs)
- Any relevant studies or approved development plans within the Upper Town Run Watershed (to be provided by the City, if available)

- Available FEMA, City of Winchester, or Frederick County Hydraulic and/or Floodplain Models. Town Run appears to be a HEC-2 model that will need to be requested from the FEMA Engineering Library, along with any relevant LOMRs that have occurred since the Effective 1977 HEC-2 model.
- Available VDOT / City of Winchester Roadway/Drainage Infrastructure Plans for the Study Area.
- Best available VFRIS, FEMA, State, City of Winchester and Frederick County GIS Shapefile Data and Aerial Imagery.
- Available as-builts/electronic records of existing stormwater infrastructure.

Kimley-Horn will utilize the base mapping and background data obtained through this task to perform a site visit to photo-document the current conditions within the study areas. Kimley-Horn will use the photos, information obtained during the site visit, and base mapping to create a composite GIS map depicting photo locations captured in the field that identify potential study area opportunities and constraints. This site visit will also be utilized to confirm that stormwater/drainage connections are consistent with what will be shown in the survey data and GIS data, and to identify any areas where additional survey may be needed.

TASK 300 – HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS OF THE UPPER TOWN RUN WATERSHED

Kimley-Horn will determine existing study area hydrologic parameters such as drainage areas, Runoff Curve Numbers (RCNs), Times of Concentrations (Tc), Basin Slopes, as well as all required catchment area data needed to effectively model the study area existing hydrologic conditions. The hydrologic parameters will be derived from a compilation of the most readily available aerial landcover data, survey data, GIS Shapefile data, and soils data. The derived drainage basin hydrologic conditions will be utilized as model input parameters in Task 400 to determine each study area's flow characteristics for the 1-yr, 2-yr, 10-yr, 100-yr, and 500-yr storm events. All information derived in this task will be documented within the Upper Town Run Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

TASK 400 – DEVELOPMENT OF AN UPPER TOWN RUN WATERSHED MODEL

Task 400A – Existing Conditions Watershed Modeling

Kimley-Horn will build an existing conditions model of the Watershed to create a baseline condition off of which proposed alternatives can be compared. There is the need to model this Watershed in a dynamic SWMM software, such as InfoWorks ICM or similar, to adequately capture the oddities and complexities of the drainage and conveyance networks within the Watershed that ultimately contribute to the flooding in the Watershed. The concrete channel that starts at a pipe outlet east of Allison Ave. serves as the tailwater condition for the 2 grassed channel that drain from the north and south in between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave. Therefore, to adequately simulate the flooding conditions that occur in the Watershed, it is critical to use a model that varies in time (unsteady/temporally varied) so that that timing of the stormwater flows during storms are captured.

Additionally, from City staff and citizen complaints to City staff, it is known that some areas of the stormwater conveyance systems within the Watershed have been known to flood. This leads to the need to utilize a 1-Dimensional/2-Dimensional (1-D/2-D) modeling approach to properly simulate the behavior of any surcharged volumes of water as they move over the surface. This approach also allows for a

broader watershed model approach as there are many overland and depressional features that can be modeled with the aid of the City's new high quality and up to date LiDAR topographic data. This 1-D/2-D, time varying modeling approach will allow the model to simulate surface ponding and flooding conditions over time and to quantify the length of time that flooding occurs around structures in the area. This approach will also allow for a more explicit representation of how the flow and structures interact during flood events, since a significant portion of the flow paths through this watershed being conveyed through open channels are immediately adjacent to houses and structures.

The stormwater network model will utilize survey data and then field measurements where survey is not possible. Kimley-Horn will also leverage any City provided as-builts, record drawings, and design plans within the Watershed. For topographic data, survey will be utilized, and LiDAR data will be used to supplement where survey data is not available.

Model validation will be performed in discussion with City staff to confirm that the model outputs are in line with what has been observed in the Watershed. Kimley-Horn staff will conduct as least 1 site visit during a severe rainfall event to make in field observations to compare against model outputs. The model will be updated accordingly based on this validation exercise, to more realistically represent the existing conditions in flood events for the Watershed.

The modeled results and data generated in this task will be used to determine limits and depths of localized and basin wide flooding within the Watershed. Existing inundation depths, velocities, flow spread, and flood limits will be derived as part of this analysis. Kimley-Horn will develop and document both graphical and tabular results for the existing conditions modeling. Map products of flood extents and graphs of Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) profiles for the modeled return period storm events will be generated. These values will provide a baseline comparison off which to assess proposed conceptual design implementation scenarios both in the horizontal (mapping), and vertical (HGLs/depth) planes. All information derived in this subtask will be documented within the Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

Task 400B – Proposed Improvements Watershed Modeling

Kimley-Horn will modify the Existing Conditions Watershed Model to evaluate proposed infrastructure and grading changes that could help abate flooding throughout the study area. The modeling will focus on implementation of modern drainage infrastructure in areas without it, infrastructure changes at major stream crossings, stream and floodplain grading techniques, installation or augmentation of stormwater management facilities, and pairing of multiple practices within the study areas (if necessary). Watershed scale implementation and evaluation of conceptual solutions will be prioritized as to provide wholistic approaches to flooding issues, and to not potentially transfer any flooding downstream.

Kimley-Horn will model up to 6 conceptual solutions within the Watershed. After assessing the viability and potential success of the conceptual infrastructure or nature-based changes within the Watershed, Kimley-Horn will run revised model scenarios for the 1, 2, 10, 100, and 500-yr storm events to compare to the existing conditions modeling to quantify the effect of the proposed improvements on the localized and large-scale flooding limits within the Watershed. The graphical and tabular information generated from this task will be included in the Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

Task 500 - Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Flood and Drainage Improvements Concept Plan

Based on the results of the Watershed Modeling (Task 400), Kimley-Horn and the City will agree upon 3 conceptual solutions to evaluate further. From this, Kimley-Horn will develop 3 - 24x36 AutoCAD conceptual exhibits that will graphically depict locations of potential flood and drainage improvements within the Watershed. Proposed conceptual improvements will include, but are not limited to the following:

- Infrastructure based improvements
 - Additional pipe locations, inlet placement, junction boxes, etc.
- Natural based solutions
 - Stream and floodplain restoration techniques, outfall channel restoration, creating or enhancing areas of flood storage, etc.
- Preservation and creation of open space and focus on permanent conservation of lands having flood resilience value.

Due to the conceptual nature of this plan, all proposed structural improvements, preliminary grading and riparian enhancements, and proposed future project implementation locations will have limited engineering design and will focus on project layout, location, and feasibility.

Task 600 – Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report

Kimley-Horn will develop an Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report outlining the information derived in tasks 100 - 500. Study graphics, tabular summaries, numerical analysis, and conceptual level designs created in all previous tasks will be included in the final report. Recommendations on future drainage basin stormwater management improvements, future storm sewer designs, as well as comprehensive drainage and floodplain improvement implementation scenarios for the Study Area will be included with the report.

Task 700 – Meetings & Coordination

Kimley-Horn staff will be available for up to 4 project coordination meetings to discuss the project. In addition, Kimley-Horn staff will participate in calls to discuss the project with City staff. If additional meetings and coordination activities are requested, Kimley-Horn will prepare a separate Scope of Services and cost estimate for client approval prior to proceeding with the additional work.

DELIVERABLES

The following items are anticipated as project deliverables for this Scope of Services.

- All developed Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model(s)
- Upper Town Run Watershed Flood and Drainage Improvements 24 x 36 AutoCAD Derived Conceptual Plans
- Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Final Report
- All maps, models, analyses, spreadsheets, and base data utilized for the design (if requested).

OVERALL PROJECT ASSUMPTIONS

For the purposes of developing this proposed Scope of Services and the accompanying cost estimate, we have made the following assumptions:

- It is assumed that survey data will be provided to Kimley-Horn prior to March 31st, 2024. If this assumed schedule for survey is held, Kimley-Horn anticipates completion of this study by December 31st, 2024.
- Accuracy and precision of survey data and previous studies provided by others is solely on the firm that derived the studies. Kimley-Horn will review all data provided by the City with regards to the Masterplan Study Area but assumes no responsibility for information outlined in the studies developed by others.
- All previous project information developed by others will be provided by the City to Kimley-Horn in a timely manner to accommodate anticipated project schedule.
- The flood studies and analyses proposed in this Scope of Services are intended as a planning level and will not constitute a formal FEMA floodplain study. As such this information and data will not be stamped and sealed by a Virginia Professional Engineer (PE).
- All analyses and studies developed in this Scope of Services will be based on limited survey information, and as such, the information derived will be considered "for information purposes only".
- The Conceptual Design Exhibits referenced in this Scope of Services will be limited in terms of engineering design and analysis. As such, they will be not stamped by a licensed Virginia Professional Engineer and labeled as "Not for Construction Purposes".
- The City will provide site access permission to Kimley-Horn, for conducting all necessary fieldwork related tasks in a timely manner to facilitate the project schedule.
- Readily available City GIS shapefile and geodatabase information will be used to supplement this study, as needed.
- The City will provide all coordination with Inter-City departments with regards to this project.
- This proposal and the accompanying cost estimate are valid for a period of 90 days and will expire if not accepted within that timeframe.

OVERALL PROJECT EXCLUSIONS

Services that are not currently anticipated as part of this project and are therefore outside the scope of this task order proposal include the following:

- Grant Administration Services
- Phase I, II, III Archaeological Investigations
- Environmental Site Assessments
- Perennial Stream Assessments and/or Flow Determinations
- Wetland Permit Compliance
- Project Renderings
- VSMP Compliance
- Floodplain Studies and Submittals
- FEMA Applications
- Dam Safety Compliance

- Dam Break Inundation Zone (DBIZ) Modeling / Mapping
- Engineering Design Plan Submittals / Construction Document Development
- Utility Design (excluding Storm Sewer)
- VDOT Design or Permitting
- Right of Way Permitting or Dedication associated with planned or future development
- Development/Delivery of Presentations, Board of Supervisors, Committees, or the Public
- Notifications to impacted Property Owners
- All other services not explicitly stated in this Scope of Services

SCHEDULE

The tasks referenced in this scope will be coordinated with City Staff. Meetings, action items, and deliverables will be tracked on a monthly basis and reported to the City with a monthly progress report for documentation of services provided. Assuming Kimley-Horn receives a notice to proceed by January 1, 2024, and survey data is received by March 31, 2024, Kimley-Horn anticipates completion of the Scope of Services outlined above by December 31, 2024. A detailed schedule will be developed for the City outlining project workflow and deliverables after contract execution.

FEE AND BILLING

Kimley-Horn will provide the following Scope of Services for a lump sum fee of **\$300,001.46**. A detailed breakdown (by task) of Kimley-Horn Horn's fee estimate is provided in Attachment 1. Please note that fees will be invoiced monthly for services performed and payment will be due within 25 days of receipt of invoices related to this project.

CLOSURE

The work described with this proposal will be completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of Contract #202205 between the City of Winchester and Kimley-Horn. We appreciate the opportunity to provide these services to you. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Signed:

Jour Hoder

Signed:

Jos the

Printed Name: Jared Hodes, P.E.

Printed Name: Jon D'Alessandro, P.E.

Title: Project Manager

Title: Senior Project Manager

ATTACHMENT 1 – KIMLEY HORN FEE BREAKDOWN

Π

			Upper To	wn Run V	City Vatershed Nov Lump S	of Winch Stormwat ember 6, 2 um Cost F	ester ter Manag 2023 Sstimate	ement Ma	aster Plan				
	Loaded Hourly Rate	\$271.10	\$240.41	\$291.56	\$219.95	\$189.26	\$240.41	\$158.57	\$138.11	\$112.53			
Task	Description	Senior Project Manager	Project Manager	Senior Engineer	Engineer III	Engineer II	Senior Landscape Architect	Analyst II	Analyst I	Administrative		Budget Total Hours	Budg
100	Survey Coordination, QC	4				4			4			12	\$2
200	Site Base Mapping, Project Due Diligence, and Site Visit	15				20			30			65	\$11
300	Hydrologic Analysis of the Upper Town Run Watershed	20			-	30			60	-		110	\$19
400	Development of a Upper Town Run Watershed Model	60				120			150			330	\$59
500	Development of an Upper Town Run Flood and Drainage Improvements Concept Plan	30				65			120			215	\$37
600	Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report	30			ļ	35			60			125	\$23
700	Meetings & Coordination	11				12			6	10		39	\$7,
	TOTAL	170	0	0	0	286	0	0	430	10	0	896	\$16
¹ Rates ((Year 2) per City of Winchester Task Order Contract #202205												

			10%	
get Labor	Reimbursable	Subconsultant	Subconsultant	Budget Total
Cost	Expenses	Services	Mark-up	Cost
,393.88	\$0.00	\$126,385.00	\$12,638.50	\$141,417.38
1,995.00	\$125.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,120.00
9,386.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,386.40
9,693.70	\$125.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$59,818.70
7,008.10	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,008.10
3,043.70	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$23,043.70
,207.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,207.18
0,727.96	\$250.00	\$126.385.00	\$12,638.50	\$300,001.46
	+	+ ·)= · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/

ATTACHMENT 2 – JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, & THOMPSON INC, DETAILED SCOPE AND FEE BREAKDOWN



Revised October 12, 2023 September 8, 2023

Mr. Jon D'Alessandro, PE Senior Project Manager Kimley-Horn 11400 Commerce Park Drive, Suite 400 Reston, Virginia 20191

RE: Topographic Surveys for Whittier Avenue City of Winchester, VA: Ref No. 202205 JMT Job No. 22-00567-005

Dear Mr. D'Alessandro:

We are submitting, herewith, our revised person-hour estimate of the anticipated hours and estimated fee required to provide topographic surveys and sub-surface utility designating for Whittier Avenue in Winchester Virginia. The site Is broken into several different categories for survey. First, an area of full topographic survey containing approximately 17.3 acres of land (Topo Survey Only + Core Project Limits). Second, an area containing 7.5 +/- acres of land with storm survey only (pipes, structures, inlets, ditches, headwalls, etc.) (Labelled Storm Survey Only). See attachment at end of proposal.

The Scope of Services for this task will consist of the following:

- JMT will perform online research and develop a list of property owners affected by the limits of work. This information will be used to notify existing property owners of JMT's presence during the field surveys. According to Virginia law, entry to property must be 30 days from the notification. Notifications will be developed with the help of Kimley-Horn, and the City. A Property Owner Notification Letter will be developed and mailed to each owner affected by the survey. Field work will not begin until 30 days from notification.
- > Perform topographic surveys of an area outlined in the attachment below (17.3 acres +/-).
 - Survey to be on Virigina State Plane North Coordinates (NAD 83) and NAVD 1988 vertical datum.
 - Locate the stream water surface elevation (as well as 2 locations at bottom of stream and 1 thalweg location)
 - o Locate trees with DBH of 6" or greater (tree identification will be to the best of our ability)
 - Full road survey of roads inside project limits
 - Full topo along stream channel will allow for cross sections to be cut every 100'
- Perform survey of any stormwater or sanitary sewer maintenance holes inside the limits as marked on the attachment (includes the 17.3 acre site above and the 7.5 acres of storm survey only)
 - o Obtain invert elevations
 - o Obtain Pipe Diameter
 - Obtain Pipe Material
 - \circ $\;$ Connect pipes to the best of our ability based on field reconnaissance
 - Locate the upstream structure of the outfall pipe.

- Process surveys and generate AutoCAD Civil 3D 2018 drawing at the appropriate scale showing one-foot contours, horizontal and vertical control points, and any other topographic features found during the survey.
- Minor research will be completed to produce a GIS level boundary mosaic of the properties and easements in the 17.3 acre project site only. This does not constitute a boundary survey under Virginia law.
- Quality Level 'B' utility designation will be performed within the "core project limits" only. This will include filing a design ticket with Miss Utility, records research through existing utility owners, providing utility designation for underground utilities and the location of the paint lines, processing the data and creating a separate deliverable of the underground utility findings.
- Control to be established using GPS technology and referenced to Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) and NAVD88 Vertical Datum. A minimum of three points will be set as primary control. All secondary control points will be tied back to the primary control.

Deliverables shall include the following.

- Plan sheet(s) showing the following:
 - Plan view of the topographic survey. Plan view shall include:
 - Spot elevations
 - 1-foot contours
 - o Photo of structures and inflows (pipes, channels, etc.)
 - Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) and NAVD88 Vertical Datum will be used.
 - North arrow
 - Scale text and bar
 - \circ $\;$ Vicinity map showing neighborhood and closest cross streets.
 - All CAD files in AutoCAD 2018 format
 - PDF copies of all plan sheets

The proposed fee to complete this assignment is broken down with the approximate hours and rates shown in the person-hour table attached and can be performed for the lump sum price of \$126,385.00.

The site will be surveyed in the Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) horizontal datum, and NAVD88 vertical datum, unless otherwise specified by the KHA. If additional work is required outside of the normal Scope of Services listed above, JMT will contact KHA before initiating any additional work.

We understand that we will receive a written Notice-to-Proceed once you have reviewed and approved the enclosed estimates. JMT anticipates a start date within 5 days of Notice to Proceed, and delivery of completed files approximately twenty (20) weeks from NTP. If you should have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact this office. We look forward to working together on this task.

Very truly yours,

JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN & THOMPSON, INC.

David Stickles, L.S. Vice President

Whittier Avenue JMT Job No. 23-00567-005 3 | Page

MANHOUR DERIVATION									
	PERSONNEL								
	PM	ST	2PC	TOTAL	PROJECT COST				
Topographic Survey (17.3 acres +/-)	60	120	300	480	\$75,600.00				
Storm Survey Only (7.5 acres +/-)	16	32	100	148	\$23,660.00				
QL 'B' Utility Designation (Core Limits only)	25	50	100	175	\$27,125.00				
TOTAL	101 202 500 803 \$126,385.00								

Whittier Avenue JMT Job No. 23-00567-005 4 | Page

FEE DERIVATION								
CLASSIFICATION	MANHOURS		RATE		FEE			
Project Manager / Professional Land Surveyor	101	х	\$175.00	=	\$17,675.00			
Survey / SUE Technician	202	х	\$105.00	=	\$21,210.00			
2 Person Survey / SUE Crew	500	х	\$175.00	=	\$87,500.00			
Total Direct Expenses					\$126,385.00			

WHITTIER DRAINAGE, WINCHESTER, VA

Topographic survey area ~17.3 Acres

Storm survey only

300

900

600

Storm and topographic survey only limits

IHERST ST.

Core Project Limits parcels/easements, sanitary, storm, topographic survey Survey Requests:

-All survey in NAVD88 and NAD83 State Plane North. -1 foot contours throughout all areas calling for topographic survey.

-All trees within project limits over 6" DBH within the core project limits.

-All storm pipes and structures and inclusion of all sizes, inverts, lengths, type, etc. -Sanitary pipes and structures and inclusion of all sizes, inverts, lengths, type, etc. within the core project limits.

-Parcel Boundaries/Easements only within core project limits.

-City GIS data is shown for informational purposes only.

Storm and topographic survey only limits





Budget Narrative Template



Appendix B: Budget Narrative Template

	Period of F	Cor Re Performai	/ mmunity esilient Vi Detai nce: <u>Jan</u> u Submiss	Applicant Nam Flood Prepare rginia Revolvi led Budget Na uary 1, 2024 ion Date: <u>No</u>	ne: City of edness Fund ng Loan Fui arrative through vember 10	Wincheste d & nd December 0, 2023	er • <u>31, 2</u> 024		
Grand Total State Funding Request \$2									\$270,000.00
Grand Total Local Share of Project								\$30,001.46	
Federal Funding (if applicable)								\$ \$	
Project Grand Total								\$300,001.46	
							Locality Cos	t Match	% 10
					H				
Breakout By Cost Type	Personnel	Fringe	Travel	Equipment	Supplies	Contracts	Indirect Costs	Other Costs	Total
Federal Share (if applicable)									
Local Share						\$30,001.46			\$30,001.46
State Share						\$270,000.00			\$270,000.00
Pre-Award/Startup									
Maintenance									
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$300,001.46	\$	\$	\$300,001.46



Funding Request Authorization





I, Dan Hoffman, City Manager of the City of Winchester, authorize the City of Winchester Department of Public Services to request funding from the 2023 Funding Round of the Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund for the development of a Stormwater Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed.

Signed:	 	 	
Date:	 	 	



November 6, 2023

Kelly Henshaw, PE, CFM City Engineer City of Winchester 15 N. Cameron Street, 3rd Floor Winchester, VA 22601

Re: Development of a Stormwater Management (SWM) Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed within the City of Winchester

Dear Ms. Henshaw,

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. (Kimley-Horn) is pleased to submit this task order proposal to the City of Winchester (City) to provide professional consulting services related to the development of a Stormwater Master Plan for the Upper Town Run Watershed within the City of Winchester, Virginia. The language outlined below identifies our project understanding, scope of requested services, and accompanying fees related to the overall project.

PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

At the City's request, Kimley-Horn is providing this task order proposal for the services necessary to evaluate the hydrologic and hydraulic conditions within the Upper Town Run Watershed, hereby referred to as the Watershed, that are leading to flooding issues within the Watershed, and to conceptualize potential solutions to help mitigate flooding within the Watershed.

Most of the Watershed falls within the section of the City referred to within the City's Comprehensive Plan as the Northwest Planning Area, located north of Amherst St. A portion of the Watershed drains from south of Amherst St. from the West Central Planning Area. The most upland areas of the Watershed fall outside of the City limits and are located within Frederick County.

A portion of the Watershed was highlighted by the City in a meeting with Kimley-Horn as an area of existing development where the City would like to try to mitigate the impacts of flooding – the corridor between Wood Ave. and Allison Ave. In this location there are 3 major drainage features that converge:

- 1. The mapped FEMA Floodway of Town Run flows through a grassed channel from the north.
- 2. A grassed channel from the south that drains a significant portion of Amherst St. and the area south of Amherst St.
- 3. A concrete channel conveys a significant diversion of Town Run from upstream. The diversion structure is located behind 1565 Whittier Ave, where a significant portion of Town Run is diverted to the south through a closed stormwater system, rather than all of it being conveyed by grassed channel to the east as indicated by the mapped FEMA Special Flood Hazard Areas. This closed stormwater system daylights at Allison Ave and flows to the east in a concrete channel.

At the location between Allison Ave and Wood Ave, the 2 north-south grassed channels outfall into the east-west concrete channel and flow to the east, where there is a park with some detention and continues through a large structure to pass underneath Amherst St. to the south. The downstream limit of the Watershed study associated with this Scope of Work is just after the stormwater system outlet on the south side of Amherst St.

In addition to modeling the existing hydrologic and hydraulic features of the Watershed, another goal of this study is to conceptualize and quantify potential solutions to help abate flooding within the Watershed. As part of this project, Kimley-Horn will utilize dynamic SWMM modeling to identify deficient areas within the existing stormwater management system, and then model proposed solutions that will assist in developing strategies to prevent and mitigate damages from the channelized, localized, and neighborhood flooding.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

This proposal has been divided into 7 tasks. Each task is outlined below with a summary defining the Scope of Services for each task. A lump sum cost to perform this work is provided (Attachment 1) and includes Kimley-Horn project management and coordination time.

- 1. Survey (to be provided by Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson, Inc)
- 2. Site Base Mapping, Project Due Diligence, and Site Visit
- 3. Hydrologic Analysis of the Upper Town Run Watershed
- 4. Development of a Stormwater Management Model for the Upper Town Run Watershed
- 5. Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Drainage Improvements Concept Plan
- 6. Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report
- 7. Meetings & Coordination

TASK 100 – SURVEY (TO BE PROVIDED BY JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, & THOMPSON, INC)

Kimley-Horn will contract with Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson, Inc. (JMT) to conduct topographic survey of the project area. Reference Attachment 2 for detailed study scope and fee breakdown. As part of this task, Kimley-Horn will provide comments related to completeness of data for the survey deliverable and will not assume any responsibility for the precision or accuracy of the survey field data or CAD deliverable.

TASK 200 - SITE BASE MAPPING, PROJECT DUE DILIGENCE, AND SITE VISIT

Kimley-Horn will develop Geographic Information Systems (GIS) base-maps illustrating the existing site conditions for the Upper Town Run Watershed. The base mapping will utilize readily available City GIS, VFRIS, and FEMA data to depict the impacts of the existing floodplain areas on pertinent infrastructure and private property. The base mapping will be used by Kimley-Horn to assist in site reconnaissance efforts and to supplement all modeling and study deliverables outlined in this Scope of Services.

Kimley-Horn will a perform project due diligence for the study areas by compiling pertinent information from the following surveys, reports, and data sets:

- Survey Data To be derived by Others (Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson)
- Relevant Flood Insurance Studies (FIS)
- Relevant FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs)
- Any relevant studies or approved development plans within the Upper Town Run Watershed (to be provided by the City, if available)

- Available FEMA, City of Winchester, or Frederick County Hydraulic and/or Floodplain Models. Town Run appears to be a HEC-2 model that will need to be requested from the FEMA Engineering Library, along with any relevant LOMRs that have occurred since the Effective 1977 HEC-2 model.
- Available VDOT / City of Winchester Roadway/Drainage Infrastructure Plans for the Study Area.
- Best available VFRIS, FEMA, State, City of Winchester and Frederick County GIS Shapefile Data and Aerial Imagery.
- Available as-builts/electronic records of existing stormwater infrastructure.

Kimley-Horn will utilize the base mapping and background data obtained through this task to perform a site visit to photo-document the current conditions within the study areas. Kimley-Horn will use the photos, information obtained during the site visit, and base mapping to create a composite GIS map depicting photo locations captured in the field that identify potential study area opportunities and constraints. This site visit will also be utilized to confirm that stormwater/drainage connections are consistent with what will be shown in the survey data and GIS data, and to identify any areas where additional survey may be needed.

TASK 300 – HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS OF THE UPPER TOWN RUN WATERSHED

Kimley-Horn will determine existing study area hydrologic parameters such as drainage areas, Runoff Curve Numbers (RCNs), Times of Concentrations (Tc), Basin Slopes, as well as all required catchment area data needed to effectively model the study area existing hydrologic conditions. The hydrologic parameters will be derived from a compilation of the most readily available aerial landcover data, survey data, GIS Shapefile data, and soils data. The derived drainage basin hydrologic conditions will be utilized as model input parameters in Task 400 to determine each study area's flow characteristics for the 1-yr, 2-yr, 10-yr, 100-yr, and 500-yr storm events. All information derived in this task will be documented within the Upper Town Run Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

TASK 400 – DEVELOPMENT OF AN UPPER TOWN RUN WATERSHED MODEL

Task 400A – Existing Conditions Watershed Modeling

Kimley-Horn will build an existing conditions model of the Watershed to create a baseline condition off of which proposed alternatives can be compared. There is the need to model this Watershed in a dynamic SWMM software, such as InfoWorks ICM or similar, to adequately capture the oddities and complexities of the drainage and conveyance networks within the Watershed that ultimately contribute to the flooding in the Watershed. The concrete channel that starts at a pipe outlet east of Allison Ave. serves as the tailwater condition for the 2 grassed channel that drain from the north and south in between Allison Ave. and Wood Ave. Therefore, to adequately simulate the flooding conditions that occur in the Watershed, it is critical to use a model that varies in time (unsteady/temporally varied) so that that timing of the stormwater flows during storms are captured.

Additionally, from City staff and citizen complaints to City staff, it is known that some areas of the stormwater conveyance systems within the Watershed have been known to flood. This leads to the need to utilize a 1-Dimensional/2-Dimensional (1-D/2-D) modeling approach to properly simulate the behavior of any surcharged volumes of water as they move over the surface. This approach also allows for a

broader watershed model approach as there are many overland and depressional features that can be modeled with the aid of the City's new high quality and up to date LiDAR topographic data. This 1-D/2-D, time varying modeling approach will allow the model to simulate surface ponding and flooding conditions over time and to quantify the length of time that flooding occurs around structures in the area. This approach will also allow for a more explicit representation of how the flow and structures interact during flood events, since a significant portion of the flow paths through this watershed being conveyed through open channels are immediately adjacent to houses and structures.

The stormwater network model will utilize survey data and then field measurements where survey is not possible. Kimley-Horn will also leverage any City provided as-builts, record drawings, and design plans within the Watershed. For topographic data, survey will be utilized, and LiDAR data will be used to supplement where survey data is not available.

Model validation will be performed in discussion with City staff to confirm that the model outputs are in line with what has been observed in the Watershed. Kimley-Horn staff will conduct as least 1 site visit during a severe rainfall event to make in field observations to compare against model outputs. The model will be updated accordingly based on this validation exercise, to more realistically represent the existing conditions in flood events for the Watershed.

The modeled results and data generated in this task will be used to determine limits and depths of localized and basin wide flooding within the Watershed. Existing inundation depths, velocities, flow spread, and flood limits will be derived as part of this analysis. Kimley-Horn will develop and document both graphical and tabular results for the existing conditions modeling. Map products of flood extents and graphs of Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) profiles for the modeled return period storm events will be generated. These values will provide a baseline comparison off which to assess proposed conceptual design implementation scenarios both in the horizontal (mapping), and vertical (HGLs/depth) planes. All information derived in this subtask will be documented within the Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

Task 400B – Proposed Improvements Watershed Modeling

Kimley-Horn will modify the Existing Conditions Watershed Model to evaluate proposed infrastructure and grading changes that could help abate flooding throughout the study area. The modeling will focus on implementation of modern drainage infrastructure in areas without it, infrastructure changes at major stream crossings, stream and floodplain grading techniques, installation or augmentation of stormwater management facilities, and pairing of multiple practices within the study areas (if necessary). Watershed scale implementation and evaluation of conceptual solutions will be prioritized as to provide wholistic approaches to flooding issues, and to not potentially transfer any flooding downstream.

Kimley-Horn will model up to 6 conceptual solutions within the Watershed. After assessing the viability and potential success of the conceptual infrastructure or nature-based changes within the Watershed, Kimley-Horn will run revised model scenarios for the 1, 2, 10, 100, and 500-yr storm events to compare to the existing conditions modeling to quantify the effect of the proposed improvements on the localized and large-scale flooding limits within the Watershed. The graphical and tabular information generated from this task will be included in the Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report (Task 600).

Task 500 - Development of an Upper Town Run Watershed Flood and Drainage Improvements Concept Plan

Based on the results of the Watershed Modeling (Task 400), Kimley-Horn and the City will agree upon 3 conceptual solutions to evaluate further. From this, Kimley-Horn will develop 3 - 24x36 AutoCAD conceptual exhibits that will graphically depict locations of potential flood and drainage improvements within the Watershed. Proposed conceptual improvements will include, but are not limited to the following:

- Infrastructure based improvements
 - Additional pipe locations, inlet placement, junction boxes, etc.
- Natural based solutions
 - Stream and floodplain restoration techniques, outfall channel restoration, creating or enhancing areas of flood storage, etc.
- Preservation and creation of open space and focus on permanent conservation of lands having flood resilience value.

Due to the conceptual nature of this plan, all proposed structural improvements, preliminary grading and riparian enhancements, and proposed future project implementation locations will have limited engineering design and will focus on project layout, location, and feasibility.

Task 600 – Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report

Kimley-Horn will develop an Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Report outlining the information derived in tasks 100 - 500. Study graphics, tabular summaries, numerical analysis, and conceptual level designs created in all previous tasks will be included in the final report. Recommendations on future drainage basin stormwater management improvements, future storm sewer designs, as well as comprehensive drainage and floodplain improvement implementation scenarios for the Study Area will be included with the report.

Task 700 – Meetings & Coordination

Kimley-Horn staff will be available for up to 4 project coordination meetings to discuss the project. In addition, Kimley-Horn staff will participate in calls to discuss the project with City staff. If additional meetings and coordination activities are requested, Kimley-Horn will prepare a separate Scope of Services and cost estimate for client approval prior to proceeding with the additional work.

DELIVERABLES

The following items are anticipated as project deliverables for this Scope of Services.

- All developed Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model(s)
- Upper Town Run Watershed Flood and Drainage Improvements 24 x 36 AutoCAD Derived Conceptual Plans
- Upper Town Run Watershed Stormwater Master Plan Final Report
- All maps, models, analyses, spreadsheets, and base data utilized for the design (if requested).

OVERALL PROJECT ASSUMPTIONS

For the purposes of developing this proposed Scope of Services and the accompanying cost estimate, we have made the following assumptions:

- It is assumed that survey data will be provided to Kimley-Horn prior to March 31st, 2024. If this assumed schedule for survey is held, Kimley-Horn anticipates completion of this study by December 31st, 2024.
- Accuracy and precision of survey data and previous studies provided by others is solely on the firm that derived the studies. Kimley-Horn will review all data provided by the City with regards to the Masterplan Study Area but assumes no responsibility for information outlined in the studies developed by others.
- All previous project information developed by others will be provided by the City to Kimley-Horn in a timely manner to accommodate anticipated project schedule.
- The flood studies and analyses proposed in this Scope of Services are intended as a planning level and will not constitute a formal FEMA floodplain study. As such this information and data will not be stamped and sealed by a Virginia Professional Engineer (PE).
- All analyses and studies developed in this Scope of Services will be based on limited survey information, and as such, the information derived will be considered "for information purposes only".
- The Conceptual Design Exhibits referenced in this Scope of Services will be limited in terms of engineering design and analysis. As such, they will be not stamped by a licensed Virginia Professional Engineer and labeled as "Not for Construction Purposes".
- The City will provide site access permission to Kimley-Horn, for conducting all necessary fieldwork related tasks in a timely manner to facilitate the project schedule.
- Readily available City GIS shapefile and geodatabase information will be used to supplement this study, as needed.
- The City will provide all coordination with Inter-City departments with regards to this project.
- This proposal and the accompanying cost estimate are valid for a period of 90 days and will expire if not accepted within that timeframe.

OVERALL PROJECT EXCLUSIONS

Services that are not currently anticipated as part of this project and are therefore outside the scope of this task order proposal include the following:

- Grant Administration Services
- Phase I, II, III Archaeological Investigations
- Environmental Site Assessments
- Perennial Stream Assessments and/or Flow Determinations
- Wetland Permit Compliance
- Project Renderings
- VSMP Compliance
- Floodplain Studies and Submittals
- FEMA Applications
- Dam Safety Compliance

- Dam Break Inundation Zone (DBIZ) Modeling / Mapping
- Engineering Design Plan Submittals / Construction Document Development
- Utility Design (excluding Storm Sewer)
- VDOT Design or Permitting
- Right of Way Permitting or Dedication associated with planned or future development
- Development/Delivery of Presentations, Board of Supervisors, Committees, or the Public
- Notifications to impacted Property Owners
- All other services not explicitly stated in this Scope of Services

SCHEDULE

The tasks referenced in this scope will be coordinated with City Staff. Meetings, action items, and deliverables will be tracked on a monthly basis and reported to the City with a monthly progress report for documentation of services provided. Assuming Kimley-Horn receives a notice to proceed by January 1, 2024, and survey data is received by March 31, 2024, Kimley-Horn anticipates completion of the Scope of Services outlined above by December 31, 2024. A detailed schedule will be developed for the City outlining project workflow and deliverables after contract execution.

FEE AND BILLING

Kimley-Horn will provide the following Scope of Services for a lump sum fee of **\$300,001.46**. A detailed breakdown (by task) of Kimley-Horn Horn's fee estimate is provided in Attachment 1. Please note that fees will be invoiced monthly for services performed and payment will be due within 25 days of receipt of invoices related to this project.

CLOSURE

The work described with this proposal will be completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of Contract #202205 between the City of Winchester and Kimley-Horn. We appreciate the opportunity to provide these services to you. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Signed:

Jour Hoder

Signed:

Jos the

Printed Name: Jared Hodes, P.E.

Printed Name: Jon D'Alessandro, P.E.

Title: Project Manager

Title: Senior Project Manager

ATTACHMENT 1 – KIMLEY HORN FEE BREAKDOWN

Π

ATTACHMENT 2 – JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, & THOMPSON INC, DETAILED SCOPE AND FEE BREAKDOWN



Revised October 12, 2023 September 8, 2023

Mr. Jon D'Alessandro, PE Senior Project Manager Kimley-Horn 11400 Commerce Park Drive, Suite 400 Reston, Virginia 20191

RE: Topographic Surveys for Whittier Avenue City of Winchester, VA: Ref No. 202205 JMT Job No. 22-00567-005

Dear Mr. D'Alessandro:

We are submitting, herewith, our revised person-hour estimate of the anticipated hours and estimated fee required to provide topographic surveys and sub-surface utility designating for Whittier Avenue in Winchester Virginia. The site Is broken into several different categories for survey. First, an area of full topographic survey containing approximately 17.3 acres of land (Topo Survey Only + Core Project Limits). Second, an area containing 7.5 +/- acres of land with storm survey only (pipes, structures, inlets, ditches, headwalls, etc.) (Labelled Storm Survey Only). See attachment at end of proposal.

The Scope of Services for this task will consist of the following:

- JMT will perform online research and develop a list of property owners affected by the limits of work. This information will be used to notify existing property owners of JMT's presence during the field surveys. According to Virginia law, entry to property must be 30 days from the notification. Notifications will be developed with the help of Kimley-Horn, and the City. A Property Owner Notification Letter will be developed and mailed to each owner affected by the survey. Field work will not begin until 30 days from notification.
- > Perform topographic surveys of an area outlined in the attachment below (17.3 acres +/-).
 - Survey to be on Virigina State Plane North Coordinates (NAD 83) and NAVD 1988 vertical datum.
 - Locate the stream water surface elevation (as well as 2 locations at bottom of stream and 1 thalweg location)
 - o Locate trees with DBH of 6" or greater (tree identification will be to the best of our ability)
 - Full road survey of roads inside project limits
 - Full topo along stream channel will allow for cross sections to be cut every 100'
- Perform survey of any stormwater or sanitary sewer maintenance holes inside the limits as marked on the attachment (includes the 17.3 acre site above and the 7.5 acres of storm survey only)
 - o Obtain invert elevations
 - o Obtain Pipe Diameter
 - Obtain Pipe Material
 - \circ $\;$ Connect pipes to the best of our ability based on field reconnaissance
 - Locate the upstream structure of the outfall pipe.

- Process surveys and generate AutoCAD Civil 3D 2018 drawing at the appropriate scale showing one-foot contours, horizontal and vertical control points, and any other topographic features found during the survey.
- Minor research will be completed to produce a GIS level boundary mosaic of the properties and easements in the 17.3 acre project site only. This does not constitute a boundary survey under Virginia law.
- Quality Level 'B' utility designation will be performed within the "core project limits" only. This will include filing a design ticket with Miss Utility, records research through existing utility owners, providing utility designation for underground utilities and the location of the paint lines, processing the data and creating a separate deliverable of the underground utility findings.
- Control to be established using GPS technology and referenced to Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) and NAVD88 Vertical Datum. A minimum of three points will be set as primary control. All secondary control points will be tied back to the primary control.

Deliverables shall include the following.

- Plan sheet(s) showing the following:
 - Plan view of the topographic survey. Plan view shall include:
 - Spot elevations
 - 1-foot contours
 - o Photo of structures and inflows (pipes, channels, etc.)
 - Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) and NAVD88 Vertical Datum will be used.
 - North arrow
 - Scale text and bar
 - \circ $\;$ Vicinity map showing neighborhood and closest cross streets.
 - All CAD files in AutoCAD 2018 format
 - PDF copies of all plan sheets

The proposed fee to complete this assignment is broken down with the approximate hours and rates shown in the person-hour table attached and can be performed for the lump sum price of \$126,385.00.

The site will be surveyed in the Virginia State Plane North NAD83(2011) horizontal datum, and NAVD88 vertical datum, unless otherwise specified by the KHA. If additional work is required outside of the normal Scope of Services listed above, JMT will contact KHA before initiating any additional work.

We understand that we will receive a written Notice-to-Proceed once you have reviewed and approved the enclosed estimates. JMT anticipates a start date within 5 days of Notice to Proceed, and delivery of completed files approximately twenty (20) weeks from NTP. If you should have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact this office. We look forward to working together on this task.

Very truly yours,

JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN & THOMPSON, INC.

David Stickles, L.S. Vice President

Whittier Avenue JMT Job No. 23-00567-005 3 | Page

MANHOUR DERIVATION								
	PERSONNEL							
	PM	ST	2PC	TOTAL	PROJECT COST			
Topographic Survey (17.3 acres +/-)	60	120	300	480	\$75,600.00			
Storm Survey Only (7.5 acres +/-)	16	32	100	148	\$23,660.00			
QL 'B' Utility Designation (Core Limits only)	25	50	100	175	\$27,125.00			
TOTAL	101	202	500	803	\$126,385.00			

Whittier Avenue JMT Job No. 23-00567-005 4 | Page

FEE DERIVATION								
CLASSIFICATION	MANHOURS		RATE		FEE			
Project Manager / Professional Land Surveyor	101	x	\$175.00	=	\$17,675.00			
Survey / SUE Technician	202	х	\$105.00	=	\$21,210.00			
2 Person Survey / SUE Crew	500	х	\$175.00	=	\$87,500.00			
Total Direct Expenses					\$126,385.00			

WHITTIER DRAINAGE, WINCHESTER, VA

Topographic survey area ~17.3 Acres

Storm survey only

300

900

600

Storm and topographic survey only limits

IHERST ST.

Core Project Limits parcels/easements, sanitary, storm, topographic survey Survey Requests:

-All survey in NAVD88 and NAD83 State Plane North. -1 foot contours throughout all areas calling for topographic survey.

-All trees within project limits over 6" DBH within the core project limits.

-All storm pipes and structures and inclusion of all sizes, inverts, lengths, type, etc. -Sanitary pipes and structures and inclusion of all sizes, inverts, lengths, type, etc. within the core project limits.

-Parcel Boundaries/Easements only within core project limits.

-City GIS data is shown for informational purposes only.

Storm and topographic survey only limits

Storm and topographic



There are currently no known repetitive loss areas documented in this project corridor.