



VA LWCF Scoring Worksheet



Criteria

Applicant - Project Title: [insert]

Date scored: [insert] Scored by: [insert]

1 Virginia Outdoors Plan (SCORP) Needs 33 possible

1A	Project provides most needed outdoor recreation opportunities identified in current VOP	Points	Score
	Access to natural areas for passive recreation	5	
	Parks (developed areas for active recreation and/or multiple recreation uses)	4	
	Trails	3	
	Water trails/ access	3	
	Access to Historic Areas	2	
	Scenic Drives	1	
	<i>Max. points</i>	18	
1B	Connections and networks (add all that apply)	Points	Score
	Project will expand an existing public outdoor recreation area (increase land area)	3	
	Project will lengthen or connect to an existing trail	4	
	Project will link two or more existing outdoor recreation areas	3	
	<i>Max. points</i>	10	
1C	VOP featured projects (add all that apply)	Points	Score
	Project is listed as a feature project in the most recent VOP	5	
	<i>Max. points</i>	5	

2 ConserveVirginia 15 possible

2A	Percent of project area within ConserveVirginia (select one)	Points	Score
	76-100% of project falls within ConserveVirginia	15	
	51-75% of project falls within ConserveVirginia	13	
	26-50% of project falls within ConserveVirginia	11	
	1-25% of project falls within ConserveVirginia	9	
	The project does not fall within ConserveVirginia	0	
	<i>Max. points</i>	15	

3 Outdoor Recreation Access for All 65 possible

3A	Land-based Recreation Need (Nature-based Recreation Access Model or ParkServe) (select one)	Pts	Score
	This project falls within "Very High" land-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model OR ParkServe Model	10	
	This project falls within "High" land-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model OR ParkServe Model	7	
	This project falls within "Moderate" land-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model OR ParkServe Model	5	
	This project falls within "Low" land-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model	3	
	This project falls within "Very Low" land-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model OR no need identified in ParkServe Model (0 pts), but other supporting evidence provided to show need: up to 5 pts. If project does not provide land-based recreation = 0 pts	0-5	
	<i>Max. points</i>	10	

3B Water-based Recreation Need (Nature-based Recreation Access Model) (select one)		Pts	Score
	This project falls within "Very High" water-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model	5	
	This project falls within "High" water-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model	3	
	This project falls within "Moderate" water-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model	2	
	This project falls within "Low" or "Very Low" water-based recreation need according to the Nature-based Recreation Access Model, but other supporting evidence provided, such as new type of water recreation for are or documented overcrowing at existing nearby sites	2	
	This project falls within "Low" or "Very Low" water-based recreation need and no supporting evidence provided, OR project does not provide water-based recreation	0	
<i>Max. points</i>		5	
3C Close to home (select one)		Points	Score
	Project will create a new close to home recreational opportunity for a community within a city or urban area that does not currently have access to public outdoor recreation opportunities within a 10 minute (1/2 mile) walk	15	
	Project will create a new close to home recreational opportunity for a non-city, rural community, or tribal land that does not currently have access to public outdoor recreation opportunities within an 10 mile drive	15	
	Project doesn't meet either condition above but is still underserved by public outdoor recreation opportunities; applicant has provided sufficient detail to justify the need	5 or 10	
	Project area is already served by public outdoor recreation opportunities	0	
<i>Max. points</i>		15	
3D Access for people with disabilities (select one)		Points	Score
	Application clearly outlines how project will exceed minimum accessibility standards AND contain specific design elements to provide an equitable experience for users with sensory, cognitive, neurological, and/or mental health disabilities	15	
	Application clearly outlines how project will exceed the minimum accessibility standards OR contain specific design elements to provide an equitable experience for users with sensory, cognitive, neurological, and/or mental health disabilities	10	
	Application clearly outlines how project will meet Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and either the ADA Standards for Accessible Design or ABA Accessibility Standards	5	
	Application contains insufficient information to verify project will meet minimum accessibility standards and requirements or standards do not apply to scope items	0	
	Project does not meet minimum accessibility standards and is not otherwise exempt	-5	
<i>Max. points</i>		15	
3E Social and Health Vulnerability (assign score from range for each item)		Points	Score
	Project will benefit a community with documented health disparities such as rates of obesity, diabetes, cancer, and/or heart disease greater than state-wide average rates (source citation and link must be included)	0-5	
	Project will benefit a socially vulnerable community as identified in the VIMS Social Vulnerability Index or other vulnerability index or mapper (source citation and link must be included)	0-5	
<i>Max. points</i>		10	
3F Income disparities (add all that apply)		Points	Score
	Project is located in a community where <i>greater than 50%</i> (5 pts) or greater than 30% (3 pts) of population is considered low income	5 or 3	
	Project site will not require an entrance fee (5 pts), or will have an entrance fee but there will be fee free or reduced fee access available (2 pts)	5 or 2	
<i>Max. points</i>		10	

4 Local Need		50 possible	
4A	Representation and public participation (add all that apply)	Points	Score
	Consultation: Project has consulted or will consult representatives from historically underrepresented people and groups in the planning and design stages. These groups may include, but are not limited to: people with disabilities, people of color, indigenous peoples and tribes, LGBTQ people, immigrants, youth organizations or representatives, senior organizations or representatives, neighborhood groups	5	
	Public Participation: Project has or will have a strong public participation component with evidence of outreach to diverse groups and communities	0-5	
	The project or acquisition has been presented at a Board of Supervisors, City or Town Council, or other similar public meeting (2), or an official public comment period specific to the project has been held (3).	2-5	
		<i>Max. points</i>	15
4B	Alignment with needs or goals of local or regional plan or survey (add all that apply)	Points	Score
	Project fulfills a need or meets goal of local or regional plan or survey	5	
	Project is specifically referenced by name and location in a local or regional plan	5	
		<i>Max. points</i>	10
4C	Project uniqueness (add all that apply)	Points	Score
	No other like recreational facility exists in the jurisdiction of the applicant	5	
	No other like recreational facility exists in the county of the applicant	5	
		<i>Max. points</i>	10
4D	Previous LWCF assistance (select one)	Points	Score
	This would be the first LWCF property in the jurisdiction and/or first for this applicant	15	
	The applicant is a previous LWCF recipient, but last award was more than 20 years ago	10	
	The applicant is a previous LWCF recipient within the last 20 years	5	
		<i>Max. points</i>	15
5	Project Readiness (all projects complete Environmental Review, then choose Development or Acquisition, complete both for combination)	20 possible/30 for combination	
Environmental Review (all projects)			
5A	NEPA/NHPA coordination (select one)	Points	Score
	NEPA/NHPA review complete	10	
	Some NEPA/NHPA review elements complete (agency has responded), others in progress (still awaiting responses)	8	
	NEPA/NHPA process started (agency correspondence sent but awaiting responses)	6	
	Phase I environmental assessment complete	4	
	NEPA/NHPA process not started	0	
		<i>Max. points</i>	10
Development Projects			
5B	Design (select one)	Points	Score
	Construction drawings complete	10	
	Site design/ construction drawings substantially or nearly complete	5	
	Preliminary designs complete	2	
	No site design complete	0	
		<i>Max. points</i>	10

Acquisition Projects			
5C	Appraisal (select one)	Points	Score
	UASFLA appraisal complete, less than 6 months old, and has been officially reviewed by a secondary certified appraiser	5	
	UASFLA appraisal complete, less than 6 months old	4	
	UASFLA appraisal complete, less than 12 months old, and has been officially reviewed by a secondary certified appraiser	3	
	UASFLA appraisal complete, less than 12 months old	2	
	Non-UASFLA appraisal complete	1	
	No appraisal complete	0	
	<i>Max. points</i>	5	
5D	Property owner agreements (select one)	Points	Score
	Purchase agreement between property owner and applicant in place and provided in application	5	
	Letter of commitment from property owner provided in application	2	
	No purchase agreement or letter of commitment in place	0	
	<i>Max. points</i>	5	
6	Budget and Grant Match	20 possible	
6A	Budget detail (select one)	Points	Score
	Exceptionally detailed, itemized budget and budget narrative thoroughly outlining how it was estimated is provided	10	
	Detailed, itemized budget and budget narrative outlining how it was estimated is provided	8	
	General budget provided but shows mostly lump sums instead of itemization OR narrative lacking detail	6	
	General budget provided but shows mostly lump sums instead of itemization AND narrative lacking detail	4	
	Budget not itemized OR narrative missing	2	
	Budget not itemized AND narrative missing	0	
	<i>Max. points</i>	10	
6B	Grant match (select one)	Points	Score
	Application demonstrates funding is in place to finance the project in its entirety	10	
	Some project funds are in place but some project funds are pending, including funds awaiting notification of other grant awards	5	
	Application does not demonstrate funding is in place and significant funds are still pending	0	
	<i>Max. points</i>	10	
7	Facility Management, Operations, and Maintenance	10 possible	
7A	Maintenance and Operations Plans (assign score from range for each item)	Points	Score
	Application demonstrates entity has a long-term operations and maintenance plan for the site/ facility	0-3	
	Application demonstrates entity has the personnel to maintain and operate the site/ facility	0-2	
	Sustainable source of maintenance and operation funds for the site/ facility is identified	0-2	
	Entity has planned for mitigation of expected and potential safety hazards	0-3	
	<i>Max. points</i>	10	
8	Application Preparation	6 possible	
8A	Completeness of application (select one)	Points	Score
	All submission requirements are met	2	
	Most submission requirements are met	1	
	Critical submission requirements are not met	0	
	<i>Max. points</i>	2	

8B Thoroughness of application (select one)		Points	Score
	Sufficient detail is provided to understand project and supporting documentation, including clear 6f map that includes all required information	2	
	Detail is provided to understand the project and supporting documentation is provided, but is missing in a few areas and/or 6f map does not include all required information	1	
	Application is missing important supporting documentation or lacks detail in key areas	0	
<i>Max. points</i>		2	
8C Clarity of application (select one)		Points	Score
	Application is exceptionally clear and well organized	2	
	Application is generally clear and well organized	1	
	Application is not clear and/or well organized	0	
<i>Max. points</i>		2	

Total Score:

out of 229 (only 219 possible for non-combination)

9	Committee Discretionary Points (scored at committee's discretion, up to 5 pts each)	Points	Score
9A	Project has a strong environmental justice value but otherwise may not score high on budget or project readiness criteria	0-5	
9B	Project will provide access to outdoor recreation for people with disabilities in an area where no similar opportunities currently exist or there are very limited opportunities for people with disabilities compared to those available to people without disabilities	0-5	
9C	Other discretionary points, could include but not limited to geographical distribution of funds, significant need	0-5	